



**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE  
Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **HB 556** HLS 25RS 649  
 Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action:  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> May 8, 2025	12:33 PM	<b>Author:</b> BOURRIAQUE
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b> Department of Transportation Development		<b>Analyst:</b> Kimberly Fruge
<b>Subject:</b> Shifts to consulting/limits use of TTF/data management		

TRANSPORTATION RE INCREASE GF EX See Note Page 1 of 2  
 Reforms operations for the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

Proposed law allows the secretary to eliminate positions necessary to transition functions to the Office of Transformation and the Office Louisiana Highway Construction Authority; prohibits using the Transportation Trust Fund (TTF) for employee salaries and related benefits; prevents reimbursement for overhead on TTF and capital budget projects; requires the department develop an interactive online system to monitor project status statewide; transfers the authority of the State Highway Improvement Fund to the Office of Louisiana Highway Construction and grants it exclusive authority over agreements with local governments for non-federal-aid routes; reduces warranty duration from three years to one year; and requires the department maximize highway maintenance through third-party contracts.

EXPENDITURES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	<b>INCREASE</b>	<b>INCREASE</b>	<b>INCREASE</b>	<b>INCREASE</b>	<b>INCREASE</b>	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	<b>SEE BELOW</b>					
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Annual Total</b>						
REVENUES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Proposed law will result in a significant increase in SGF and potentially significant increases in Statutory Dedications out of the Transportation Trust Fund - Regular (TTF-R) expenditures within the Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD). Proposed law prohibits using the Transportation Trust Fund for employee salaries and benefits; and requires the department develop an interactive online system to monitor project status statewide. Many potential cost impacts are speculative and dependent on the execution of plans that are not finalized.

**Transportation Trust Fund**

Under proposed law, DOTD would be required to maximize the privatization of the maintenance of the state highway system. Many studies indicate that certain in-house services tend to be cheaper than third-party consultants, including an independent review of DOTD prepared by the Boston Consulting Group, which indicates that increased outsourcing may lead to higher costs for the department. Any potential increase in expenditures out of the Transportation Trust Fund is indeterminable at this time.

DOTD would also be responsible for developing and managing an online system to monitor the status of projects. This will result in an indeterminable, but likely significant, one-time increase in expenditures, assumed here to be TTF or SGF.

Proposed law shifts the authority of the State Highway Improvement Fund, its indebtedness, and all non-federal aid routes within Louisiana to the Office of Louisiana Highway Construction. The LFO assumes any expenditures associated with the State Highway Improvement Fund will shift from DOTD to the authority.

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO**

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate Dual Referral Rules  
 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}  
 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House  
 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}  
 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

**Patrice Thomas**  
 Deputy Fiscal Officer



# LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE

## Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 556** HLS 25RS 649  
Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
Opp. Chamb. Action:  
Proposed Amd.:  
Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> May 8, 2025	12:33 PM	<b>Author:</b> BOURRIAQUE
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b> Department of Transportation Development		
<b>Subject:</b> Shifts to consulting/limits use of TTF/data management		<b>Analyst:</b> Kimberly Fruge

**CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:**

Page 2 of 2

### EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION CONTINUED:

#### State General Fund - Personal Services

DOTD will require a recurring funding source to offset its inability to utilize the TTF or Construction Subfund for employee expenses and its inability to charge an overhead on TTF and capital outlay projects. For the purpose of this fiscal note, those expenditures are assumed to be SGF.

For illustrative purposes, the FY 26 executive budget recommends approximately \$437.9 M for personnel services. The LFO anticipates that, under proposed law, the department will shift to utilizing third-party contracts rather than employees, which would lead to a significant reduction in costs for personal services. Any such decrease will depend on the number and type of employees eliminated and is indeterminable at this time.

The Federal Highway Administration stipulates that although a consultant may provide construction engineering services, the state must always retain a qualified, full-time employed, state engineer to retain responsibility for the project. In addition, the department reports that it will need to retain some staff to monitor/manage projects and to process the allocations and reimbursements of funds.

DOTD indicates that while a portion of overhead charges are used for personal services, they may be used for other expenditure categories as well. To the extent these expenditures are not able to be shifted to the Transportation Trust Fund - Regular under proposed law, the department may require additional SGF dollars to cover existing operating expenses. The amount of SGF required is indeterminable at this time.

Note: The LFO assumes the restriction of TTF for ongoing personnel services costs would facilitate an equal increase of non-personnel services and capital outlay expenditures out of the TTF. This does not allow the draw down of additional federal match monies, as DOTD already matches its full allotment.

Senate

13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

Dual Referral Rules

House

6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}



**Patrice Thomas**  
Deputy Fiscal Officer