
DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 151 Reengrossed

2025 Regular Session

Cox

Abstract: Eliminates the requirement that a non-gaming supplier obtain a permit when doing business with casino operators.

Present law provides that a non-gaming supplier may be required by the La. Gaming Control Board to be found suitable or obtain a non-gaming supplier permit when providing non-gaming goods or services to a casino gaming operator or sports wagering operator.

Proposed law removes the provisions applicable to the non-gaming supplier permit and retains present law regarding the finding of suitability.

Proposed law provides that a gaming operator who is found unsuitable may be required to reimburse the board and division for reasonable costs up to \$250.

Proposed law provides that no gaming operator licensee shall contract for goods or services with any employee who holds a key-gaming employee permit.

(Amends R.S. 27:29.3(A) and (B)(1)(b) and (2); Repeals R.S. 27:29.3(F))

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by House Committee on Administration of Criminal Justice to the original bill:

1. Specify that gaming operators are subject to the jurisdiction of the La. Gaming Control Board and the state police gaming division.
2. Add that a gaming operator who is found unsuitable may be required to reimburse the board and division for reasonable costs up to \$250.