

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: HB

Manager, Advisory Services

19 HLS 17RS 515

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: W/ SEN FLOOR AMD

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 24, 2017

3:57 PM

Author: MAGEE

Dept./Agy.: Parish Governments

Analyst: Sarah Futch

Page 1 of 2

CRIMINAL/PENALTIES EGF SEE FISC NOTE LF

Subject: Penalties for Violating Parish Ordinances

Allows for the imposition of community service as a possible penalty for a violation of any parish ordinance

Purpose of Bill: This bill provides that a maximum of 100 hours of community service may be imposed for a violation of any parish ordinance in addition to, or in lieu of, the fines and imprisonment in current law. It should be noted that current law (La. R.S. 33:1243) provides exceptions for violations of certain East Baton Rouge Parish ordinances and violations of any Jefferson Parish ordinances.

EXPENDITURES	<u> 2017-18</u>	<u> 2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	2021-22	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

This bill's impact on local governmental expenditures statewide is indeterminable due to a lack of information regarding how this bill will change penalties being imposed on violators of parish ordinances statewide. Depending on how penalties being imposed change and the related costs of these penalties on local government, this bill could increase expenditures, decrease expenditures, or have no impact on expenditures. However, based on information obtained from several local government entities, this bill may have little to no impact on the expenditures of these entities.

Local governmental expenditures related to overseeing community service work may increase to the extent that judges do not currently impose community service on violators. However, this bill could decrease total expenditures assuming that community service is imposed in lieu of imprisonment and imprisonment costs more than community service oversight.

Based on information from the City Court of Houma, Caddo Parish, Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Sheriff's Office, Evangeline Parish Police Jury, and the Avoyelles Parish District Attorney's Office, it appears that this bill will have little to no impact on expenditures of these entities. (See next page for continuation)

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

This bill's impact on local governmental revenues statewide is indeterminable due to a lack of information regarding how this bill will change penalties being imposed on violators of parish ordinances statewide. Depending on how penalties being imposed change and the related costs of these penalties on local government, this bill could increase revenues, decrease revenues, or have no impact on revenues. However, based on information obtained from several local government entities, this bill may have little to no impact on the revenues of these entities.

Local governmental revenues related to community service fees paid by offenders may increase to the extent that judges do not currently impose community service on violators. However, this bill could decrease total revenues assuming that community service fees are less than the fines that may otherwise have been imposed.

Based on information from the City Court of Houma, Caddo Parish, Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Sheriff's Office, Evangeline Parish Police Jury, and the Avoyelles Parish District Attorney's Office, it appears that this bill will have little to no impact on revenues of these entities. (See next page for continuation)

$\frac{\text{Senate}}{\text{13.5.1}} = \frac{\text{Dual Referral Rules}}{\text{13.5.1}} = \frac{\text{House}}{\text{100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}}} \qquad \frac{\text{House}}{\text{100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}}}$	13 5 2 >= \$500 000 Appual Tay or Fee	6 8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Foo Increase	Michael G. Battle	
		House	M. G. Battle	1

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: HB **19** HLS 17RS

Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED** Opp. Chamb. Action: W/ SEN FLOOR AMD

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 24, 2017 3:57 PM

Author: MAGEE

Dept./Agy.: Parish Governments

Analyst: Sarah Futch **Subject:** Penalties for Violating Parish Ordinances

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:

Page 2 of 2

515

Expenditures/Revenues (cont):

One reason given by some of the entities above for the minimal fiscal impact is that judges already have the authority to impose community service work in lieu of fines, fees, and court costs or as part of a probation sentence.

<u>Se</u>	<u>nate</u>	<u>Dual Re</u>	<u>eferral Ru</u>	<u>les</u>
	13.5.1 >=	\$100,000	Annual Fis	scal Cost {S&H}
	13.5.2 >=	\$500,000	Annual Ta	x or Fee

Change {S&H}

<u>House</u>

6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increaseor a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Michael G. Battle

Manager, Advisory Services