

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **HB 293** HLS 17RS 1106  
 Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action: **w/ #2 SEN COMM AMD**  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> June 3, 2017 10:11 AM	<b>Author:</b> AMEDEE
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b> Attorney General/District Attorneys	<b>Analyst:</b> Willis Brewer
<b>Subject:</b> Pertains to liability for damage caused by a district attorney	

DISTRICT ATTORNEYS/ATT.GENERAL RE2 SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Page 1 of 1  
 Provides relative to the limitation of liability of the state.

Present law prevents the state from having any liability for damage caused by a district attorney.

Proposed law creates an exception to present law and requires the state to indemnify the district attorney's office against claims or suits in federal court based on the constitutional validity of a statute when the district attorney's office has not initiated any prosecution based upon that statute.

Proposed law permits the attorney general's office to defend the district attorney's office and provides for the means of reaching a settlement. Proposed law requires the payment of final judgments or consent judgments by legislative appropriation for claims against the district attorney and requires submission to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget.

<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>2019-20</b>	<b>2020-21</b>	<b>2021-22</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>
<b>Annual Total</b>						
<b>REVENUES</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>2019-20</b>	<b>2020-21</b>	<b>2021-22</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

The proposed law is anticipated to have an indeterminable impact on state expenditures. The proposed law is prospective only therefore there is no immediate impact. However, to the extent lawsuits occur in the future, the state will be responsible for the amount owed by the DAs.

The proposed law will require the state to indemnify the district attorney's office against claims or lawsuits in federal court based on any allegations of the constitutional validity of a statute where the DA has not taken any action to prosecute individuals pertaining to the statute. Therefore, in any case where the DA has not taken action to prosecute individuals pertaining to the statute but is named in a lawsuit alleging the statute is unconstitutional and a judgment or consent judgment is made, the state will be obligated to pay the judgment including the plaintiff's attorney fees owed by the District Attorneys.

As an example, according to the DA's Association, in a recent case the negotiated settlement (plaintiff's attorneys fees) is anticipated to be at least \$125,000. The 42 DAs will be responsible for \$122,093 or 97.7% (42/43) of the settlement and the AG will be responsible for the remaining \$2,907 (1/43). Based on the recent case, to the extent the proposed legislation becomes law, the state would now be responsible for the payment of the \$122,093. However, payment will require a separate appropriation approved by the legislative subcommittee.

The proposed law provides for the Attorney General to defend the district attorney in any of these cases at his discretion. In the past, the Attorney General has defended the District Attorney in cases that question the constitutional validity of a statute. In the event the number of these cases increases, the Office of Attorney General (AG) anticipates the additional workload will require the office to hire an additional full time attorney position (\$80,000, salaries and benefits) along with \$25,000 in associated costs including travel (\$5,000), operating services (\$9,000), supplies (\$4,000), and one-time acquisitions (\$7,000). However, this legislation does not mandate the AG to defend the DA. To the extent the anticipated increase in workload is less than expected, the AG may not require this additional position.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate Dual Referral Rules  
 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}  
 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

House  
 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}  
 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

*Evan Brasseaux*  
**Evan Brasseaux**  
**Staff Director**