2018 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 698

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, GARY CARTER, JACKSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO, LAFLEUR, MARTINY, AND MORRELL

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2018-2019

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Seventy Six Million Four Hundred Forty Five
8	Thousand Three Hundred Seven No/100 (\$176,445,307) Dollars, or so much thereof as may
9	be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the
10	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans
11	Parish, and of the other courts.
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
26 27 28 29 30	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

1 Goal: To protect the rule of law. 2 3 4

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information:

4 5	General Performance Information:			
5		2015	2016	2017
6 7 8	Total Filings	2,365	2,283	2,181
7	Total Appeals Filed	6	9	4
8	Total Writs Filed	2,172	2,092	1,997
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,486	2,142	2,039
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.		
12	General Performance Information:	2015	2016	2017
13 14	Pouroutage of non-mininglogge	2015	2016	2017
15	Percentage of noncriminal case applications acted on within Supreme			
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	97.1%	94.1%	88%
17	Percentage of criminal case applications	<i>J</i> 7.170	> 1.170	0070
18	acted on within Supreme Court			
19	standard of 120 days of filing	36.1%	48.7%	34.9%
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction			
21	applications acted on within Supreme			
22	Court standard of 120 days of filing	3%	26%	20.8%
23 24	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
24 25	acted upon within Supreme Court	97%	93.6%	89.3%
26	standard of 120 days of filing Percentage of opinions rendered within	9/%	93.0%	09.3%
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
$\overline{28}$	from argument	75.8%	66.7%	73.2%
	<i>j 8</i>			,.
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	omo Court	decisions	
31	General Performance Information:	enie Court	decisions.	
32	General I erjormance Information.	2015	2016	2017
33	Percentage of written opinions available	2015	2010	2017
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
35	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activi	ties.	
35 36	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>	s and activi	ties.	
		s and activi <i>2015</i>	ties. 2016	2017
36 37	General Performance Information:	2015	2016	
36 37 38	General Performance Information:	2015 100	2016 60	70
36 37 38 39	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2015 100 66	2016 60 78	70 65
36 37 38 39 40	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	2015 100	2016 60	70
36 37 38 39	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2015 100 66 17	2016 60 78 24	70 65
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	2015 100 66	2016 60 78	70 65 18
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2015 100 66 17	2016 60 78 24	70 65 18
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	70 65 18 1,932 3,489
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	70 65 18 1,932 3,489
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	70 65 18 1,932 3,489
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010	70 65 18 1,932 3,489
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents General Performance Information: 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, into 2015	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 npetence of the 2017 29.03 533
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional contents General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 npetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 npetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 npetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 npetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601 conduct, inte 2015	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582 egrity, and con 2016	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546 mpetence of the 2017
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601 conduct, inte 2015 15.12	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582 egrity, and con 2016 15.08	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546 mpetence of the 2017 14.76
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per disposed of in the calendar year 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601 conduct, inte 2015	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582 egrity, and con 2016	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546 mpetence of the 2017
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer Number of complaints filed against lawyers Number of complaints filed against lawyers 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601 conduct, inte 2015 15.12 2,950	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and cons 2016 30.08 545 582 egrity, and cons 2016 15.08 2,922	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546 mpetence of the 2017 14.76 2,795
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per disposed of in the calendar year 	2015 100 66 17 1,632 4,700 conduct, inte 2015 34.25 529 601 conduct, inte 2015 15.12	2016 60 78 24 1,775 6,010 egrity, and con 2016 30.08 545 582 egrity, and con 2016 15.08	70 65 18 1,932 3,489 mpetence of the 2017 29.03 533 546 mpetence of the 2017 14.76

Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 1

	· J					
2			F	FY 18 EOB		FY 19
3	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and				
4 5		six (6)Associate Justices of the				
5 6		Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	1 125 252	\$	1 154 026
0		R.S. 15:102	Ф	1,125,252	Ф	1,154,936
7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the				
8		Supreme Court Proper and salary of				
9		the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	3,804,457	\$	7,898,581
10	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's				
11		Office and of the Judiciary				
12		Commission provided for in Article V,				
13 14		Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of				
15		R.S. 13:32 et seq.				
		-				
16	A.	Expenses of Judicial	¢	4 510 057	¢	4 000 00 (
17		Administrator's Office	\$	4,519,857	\$	4,809,236
18	0	m Description: The Judicial Administrator!				
19 20		stration of the state court system. It staffs the Judi na. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studi				
21	of new	judgeships and for improving the administration	of justice	e. The Judicial	Administ	trator's Office
21 22 23 24 25		es payroll and other fiscal services to the Judicia ent system; support for the Supreme Court and J				
23		t for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Ju				
25	ad hoc	judgeship system, monitors cases under advisem	ent, pro	vides support fo	or initiati	ives to ensure
26 27		to the courts for limited English proficiency indivious of the courts for and administers grants to im				
28	Commi	ttee on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's	office a	dministers sever	al progra	ams, including
29 30		vreme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, and FINS through the Division of Children and Far				
31		es staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College,				
32	Suprem	e Court and the Judiciary.				
33	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,821,921	\$	1,930,613
34 35		m Description: <i>The Judiciary Commission of Loui</i> V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept				
36	of judic	cial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may r	ecomme	end to the Supre	me Cour	t the censure,
37	suspens	sion, removal from office, or involuntary retirement	nt of any	judge for ethic	ıl miscor	nduct.

38 39	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$ 355,504	\$ 370,225
40 41	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$ 158,650	\$ 158,650
42 43 44	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
45 46 47 48 49	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$ 1,070,364	\$ 1,510,646
50 51 52	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$ 1,671,322	\$ 1,754,471

40 41

1	Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information needs of the state
2	judiciary, and is open to members of the bar and public.

2	juuici	ary, and is open to members of the bar and public.				
3 4 5 6	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	86,663	\$	86,663
7 8 9 10	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669	\$	894,459
11 12 13 14	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984	\$	1,469,984
15	10	Judicial College	\$	33,072	\$	55,502
16 17		ram Description: <i>The Judicial College was establ</i> . <i>de continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	ished l	by order of the Sup	oreme	Court in 1976 to
18 19 20 21 22	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,155,129	\$	18,595,302
23 24	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424	\$	243,075
25 26 27	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,048,254	\$	1,048,254
32	15	Information Technology	\$	1,054,733	\$	1,096,238
33 34 35 36	16	Payable out of State General Funds for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,995,709	\$	1,995,709
37 38 39	develo	ram Description: The mission of the FINS Assistan oping and implementing a needs-based allocation for e of a uniform data system for tracking, managing,	mula; c	leveloping, implen	ienting	, and mandating

developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.

42		enerally supervising and assisting local FINS pro	~	1 0	iunciui	uccountaonity,
43 44	17	Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts	\$	9,755,000	\$	9,755,000

45 Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts,
46 authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301 - R.S. 13:5304, Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401,
47 Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358, and Veterans Treatment Courts,
48 authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal
49 justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health
50 disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place
51 participants in need of services into appropriate community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation

HLS 18RS-460

ENGROSSED HB NO. 698

1 programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and 2 treatment requirements pursuant to current best practices and the proven national model.

3 18 Court Appointed Special Advocates <u>\$ 1,794,035</u> <u>\$ 1,794,036</u>

4 Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of 5 children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of 6 Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, 7 screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified 8 advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best 9 interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the 9 judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

11	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	\$ 51,491,999	\$ 56,684,580
12			<u>FY 18 EOB</u>	<u>FY 19</u>
13	19	Payable out of the State General Fund		
14		from Statutory Dedications, Judges'		
15		Supplemental Compensation Fund,		
16		R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less		
17		estimated at	\$ 6,223,724	\$ 6,223,724

Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature
 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding
 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

21			FY 18 EOB	FY 19
22	20	Payable out of the State General		
23		Fund from Statutory Dedications,		
24		Trial Court Case Management		
25		Information Fund, for the Case		
26		Management Information System,		
27		Article 887 (F) of the Code of		
28		Criminal Procedure, be it more or less		
29		estimated at	\$ 4,017,201	\$ 4,017,201

 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.
 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).

37	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND	¢	10 240 025	¢	10 240 025
38	BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	2	10,240,925	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925
39	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	61,732,924	<u>\$</u>	76,318,355
40			<u>FY 18 EOB</u>		<u>FY 19</u>
41	21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement,				
42	payable out of the State General Fund				
43	through Interagency Transfers from the				
44	Department of Children and Family				
45	Services	\$	5,400,000	\$	5,400,000
46					
47	22 Court Appointed Special Advocates,				
48	payable out of the State General Fund				
49 50	through Interagency Transfers from the				
50	Department of Children and Family	¢	2 002 050	¢	2 002 050
51	Services	\$	3,992,850	<u>\$</u>	3,992,850
50	TOTAL STATE CENEDAL FUND				
52 53	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH INTERAGENCY				
55 54	TRANSFERS	¢	9,392,850	¢	0 202 850
54	ικαιόγεκο	Φ	9,392,030	Ф	9,392,850

234567

1 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

8 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to 9 all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana 10 Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public 11 resources efficiently.

12 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

13 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower
 14 tribunals.
 15 General Performance Information:

15	Gener	al Performance Information:					
16			2015	2016	2017		
17	Total	appeals filed	2,053	1,783	1,897		
18		writs filed	4,048	3,670	3,420		
19		dispositions rendered	5,019	4,761	4,439		
			5,017	7,7 01	1,107		
20	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.					
21	Objec	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously.					
22	Gener	al Performance Information:					
22 23 24 25		0 0	2015	2016	2017		
24	Avera	ge number of days from lodging of the					
25		l to argument:					
$\overline{26}$		Standard = no more than 175 days.					
27 27		nal cases	143	162	147		
$\frac{27}{28}$	Civil (143	152			
29					162		
29	Meata	in number of days for all cases	146	158	159		
30		ge number of days from argument to					
31		ring of the opinion:					
32 33	Time 2	Standard = no more than 70 days.					
33	Crimi	nal cases	43	43	43		
34	Civil c	cases	43	42	44		
35	Media	in number of days for all cases	43	43	44		
36	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
37	Ohiec	tive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions c	of the courts	ofappeal		
38		al Performance Information:			or uppeut.		
39	Gener	ai i cijoi manee injoi manon.	2015	2016	2017		
40	Porco	ntage of written opinions available	2015	2010	2017		
41		the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
10			•				
42	Payable	out of the State General Fund (D	irect):		10 500		
43				<u>FY</u>	<u>18 EOB</u>		<u>FY 19</u>
44	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	es				
45		and forty-eight (48) Judges of					
			211	¢ 7	012 150	¢	0 122 000
46		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	311	\$ 7	,913,159	\$	8,123,080
47	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	ation				
48		and maintenance of the Court					
			01	• 10	106053	•	
49		appeal, First Circuit		\$ 10	,186,973	\$	10,777,116
50	03	Salaries and expenses of operation	ation				
51		and maintenance of the Court					
			01	÷ -		.	
52		appeal, Second Circuit		\$ 5	,707,610	\$	6,013,644
53	04	Salaries and expenses of operation	ation				
54	~ •	and maintenance of the Court					
			01	ф ^с	70 0 10 -	¢	
55		appeal, Third Circuit		\$ 8	,739,437	\$	9,176,428

1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	8,121,408	\$	8,602,396
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of appeal, Fifth Circuit	<u>\$</u>	5,919,956	<u>\$</u>	6,295,517
7	TOTAL (COURTS OF APPEAL	<u>\$</u>	46,588,543	<u>\$</u>	48,988,181

8 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

9 **Program Description:** There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general 10 jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts 11 (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have 12 13 exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic court in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

26 27 28 29 30 Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

31 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. **General Performance Information:** 2015 2016 2017

	2015	2016	2017
Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating			
actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to improve compliance with			
the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	93.8%	81.3%	83.3%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

43 **General Performance Information:** 44 45

38

39

40 41

42

46

47

Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to assist self-represented 97.9% 85.4% litigants 85.4%

2015

2016

2017

48 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities 49 in a timely and expeditious manner.

50 Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. **General Performance Information:**

50	Objective. To cheodrage timery case management and prov	Jessing.		
51	General Performance Information:			
52		2015	2016	2017
53 54 55	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS Paraget of parishes reporting griminal dimensition	64	64	64
56	<i>Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition</i> <i>data to CMIS</i>	100%	100%	100%
57 58 59	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2016-2017 to reduce delays and improve the	2015	2016	2017
60	timeliness of case processing	97.9%	87.5%	93.8%

$1 \\ 2$		ive: To enhance jury service. Il Performance Information:				
3 4 5	tha	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica It their court had taken steps within FY 2016-2013 make jury service more convenient or effective		100% 90.7%	% 92	2.9%
6 7		To provide due process and equal protection of the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all proce			ousiness	3
8 9	as nece		its and	d to adjust court op	erations	5
10 11 12		al Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica	ting	2015 201	6 2	017
13 14 15	act tra Percent	tions taken in FY 2016-2017 to improve employee ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica.	ting	87.5% 85.49	% 8	7.5%
16 17		tions taken in FY 2016-2017 to install or impleme hnologies	nt	100% 91.79	% 9.	5.8%
18 19		To maintain judicial independence, while observinernmental relations and accountability to the public		e principle of comi	ty in	
20 21		ive: To inform the community of the court's structed <i>Performance Information</i> :	ture a	and function.		
21 22 23 24		tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica tt their courts regularly provided public education		2015 201	6 2	017
25	an	d public outreach services in FY 2016-2017	ı	97.9% 87.5%	% 9.	1.7%
26 27	-	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		<u>FY 18 EOB</u>		<u>FY 19</u>
28 29 30	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	27,187,585	\$	27,913,116
31 32 33	02	Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	1,285,250	\$	1,285,250
34 35 36	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,807	\$	2,045,988
37 38 39 40 41	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	80,500	\$	80,500
42 43 44 45	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	114,514	\$	115,348
46 47	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
48 49 50 51	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851	\$	6,834,271	\$	7,018,364

						112 1101 02 0
1 2 3 4	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by				
4 5 6		R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	569,959	\$	584,251
7 8 9	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicia District Court as provided by	al			
10		R.S. 13:711-713	\$	354,707	\$	401,401
11 12 13	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided				
14		by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	221,305	\$	313,594
15 16	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
17 18 19	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	se <u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000
20	SUBT	TOTAL	\$	38,735,209	<u>\$</u>	39,852,123
21	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans				
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	of the t Orlean with as the pov cases b	am Description: The Criminal District Court for trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanor s, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some of sistance from its commissioners, has the power of a ver to hold preliminary examinations, with author before the court. The court has appellate jurisdic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisor	rs, and her cou commit ity to bo tion of	offenses committe urt. The court, thre ting magistrates in ail or discharge, of all cases tried bej	ed with ough it. 1 all fel r to hol fore the	in the parish of s magistrate and ony charges and ld for trial, in all e Municipal and
29 30 31	A	. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,465	\$	1,899,846
32 33 34	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as Provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750	\$	74,750
35 36 37	C.	State's share of group insurance for personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	698,422	\$	714,162
38	SUBT	COTAL	\$	6,132,036	\$	2,688,758
39	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
40 41 42	A	. Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,907	\$	1,899,846
43	B.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court				

 43
 B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court

 44
 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691

 \$ 569,374
 \$ 584,568

1 2 3 4	 C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively 	<u>\$</u>	103,500	<u>\$</u>	103,500
5	SUBTOTAL	\$	2,665,781	\$	2,587,914
6	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	47,533,026	\$	45,128,795
7 8	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND O BY STATUTE	FFIC	E EXPENSE	S AS R	EQUIRED
9 10	Program Description: The category includes forty-seven traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascen			al and	
11 12 13 14 15	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish co access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proce independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust a	v and e edings, just res	expeditious mann to maintain ju ult by adherence	eer, to dicial to the	
16	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of	fjustice	e.		
17 18 19 20	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether r or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair <i>General Performance Information:</i>	neasure	ed in terms of mor		
20 21 22 23 24	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to assist	2015	2016	2017	
	pro se litigants	96.2%	6 96.2%	98.1%	Ó
25 26	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected timely and expeditious manner.	by the c	court and its activ	vities in a	a
27 28	Objective: To encourage timely case management and p <i>General Performance Information:</i>	rocessi	ng.		
29 30 31 32 33	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2016-2017 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	2015 88.5%	2016 6 92.3%	2017 90.4%	Ó
34 35	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observ governmental relations and accountability to the public.	ing the	principle of con	nity in it	S
36	Objective: To inform the community of the court's struc	ture and	d function.		
37 38	General Performance Information:	2015	2016	2017	
39 40 41 42	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2016-2017	92.3%	6 88.5%	88.5%	ó
43 44	Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging even as necessary.	ents and	l to adjust court o	peration	S
45 46	General Performance Information:	2015	2016	2017	
47 48	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to	-		·	
49 50 51	improve employee training and development Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges	98.1%	6 96.2%	92.3%	Ó
52	indicating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to install or implement technologies	96.2%	6 90.4%	90.4%	Ó

1 2	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		FY 18 EOB		FY 19
3 4	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	2,757,559	-	2,831,152
5 6 7 8 9	02	Salaries of seven (7) Municipal and Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judge as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	444,777	<u>\$</u>	410,347
10 11	TOTAL (BY STAT	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED TUTE	<u>\$</u>	3,202,336	<u>\$</u>	3,241,499
12 13 14	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES REQUIRED BY STATUTE	AND	OFFICE	EXPE	NSES NOT
15 16	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		EV 10 FOD		EX7 10
17 18	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	FY 18 EOB 511,132	-	<u>FY 19</u> 560,014
19 20		m Description: The program tracks and maintan Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in supp			~	
21 22	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	71,178	\$	73,976
23 24	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	61,877	<u>\$</u>	63,634
25 26						er assistance to
27 28	TOTAL (BY STAT	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED	<u>\$</u>	644,187	<u>\$</u>	697,624
29	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSE	S			
30 31	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		EV 19 EOD		EX/ 10
31 32 33	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	<u>\$</u>	FY 18 EOB 2,070,853	-	<u>FY 19</u> 2,070,853
34 35 36 37 38	in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection					
39	TOTALN	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
40	40 Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State					
41	General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount					
42	of Sixteen	n Million Five Hundred Eighty Eight 7	Thousa	nd Nine Hu	indred]	Ninety Three
43	(\$16,588,	993) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted b	y the J	udiciary Bud	getary (Control Board
ΛΛ	00 00 0000	aread by the Leviciana Symmome Count				

44 or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

HLS 18RS-460

Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State
General Fund on or before September 1, 2019.

D. For Fiscal Year 2018-2019, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial
branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the
State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the

- 1 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the
- 2 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 3 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be
- 4 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency
- 5 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.
- 6
- Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2018; if vetoed by the
- 7 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on

July 1, 2018, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 698 Engrossed	2018 Regular Session	Henry
------------------	----------------------	-------

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2018-2019 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$176,445,307 from the following sources: \$156,811,532 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund, and \$9,392,850 out of Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$	76,318,355
(2)	Courts of Appeal		48,988,181
(3)	District Courts		39,852,123
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans		2,688,758
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts		2,587,914
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)		3,241,499
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)		697,624
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses		2,070,853
	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	176,445,307

Requires that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$16,588,993, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as provided by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2018.

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Ways and Means</u> to the <u>original</u> bill:

- 1. Provide for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$9,392,850 for support of drug courts and the Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) program.
- 2. Add a requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$16,588,993, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as provided by the La. Supreme Court.
- 3. Remove funding from the District Courts appropriation for various activities, staff, officers, and functions for the Criminal Court for Orleans Parish in the total amount of \$3,731,858.