N N	LEGISLATIVE FIS Fiscal N					
ED UNA STA		Fiscal Note On:	HB 264	HLS 18RS	274	
::Leg器和tive	Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED					
FiscaleOffice		Opp. Chamb. Action:				
- First States		Proposed Amd.:				
		Sub. Bill For.:				
Date: May 2, 2018	9:13 AM	Au	uthor: SMITH	Η, P.		
Dept./Agy.: Judiciary						
Subject: Community-Based Sentencing Alternative		Ana	alyst: Collee	n Gil		

COURTS

RE SEE FISC NOTE GF EX See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides relative to community-based sentencing alternatives for offenses committed by primary caretakers

<u>Proposed law</u> creates the Community-based Alternatives for Primary Caretakers Act which provides that each district court by rule may designate one or more divisions to which offenses committed by primary caretakers of dependent children may be assigned, and may establish a program administered by a presiding judge or bay an employee designated by the court. <u>Proposed law</u> additionally provides for the following: eligibility and screening of potential participants in the program; certain factors for the court to consider in determining a defendant's eligibility, the conditions of the defendant's participation in the program; a program agreement and terms of such agreement; the rights of the defendant with respect to representation by counsel; removal of the defendant from the program or revocation of probation for violations of his probation or participation in the program; and the vacation of judgment and conviction upon the defendant's successful completion of the program. Additionally requires the consent of the DA for the defendant to be considered for the program. Furthermore, proposed law provides that a defendant may not be excluded from participation in the program because of a defendant's inability to pay all of the related costs associated with participation in the program.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	2020-21	2021-22	<u>2022-23</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There may be increased costs to district courts in order to implement the proposed legislation to the extent the courts choose to participate in the program, however these costs are indeterminable. There are various factors that the Supreme Court cannot determine: the number of district courts that would provide this program; the amount of funding needed to designate one or more divisions of a court to be a division for primary caretakers; the number of eligible individuals for the program; the cost of supervised probation; and the number of individuals accepted into the program.

To the extent, the community-based primary caretaker programs are similar to drug courts, they potentially could be funded on a per slot basis. For example, the per slot cost of a juvenile in drug court is \$7,500 annually and the per slot cost for an adult is \$5,000 annually. The Supreme Court disburses funds to the participating district courts dependent upon the number of enrollees. Over the past 3 years, the drug court has averaged an enrollment count of 2,742 per year with an average cost of \$15.1 M per year. However, costs for the community-based alternatives for primary caretakers could vary depending on the individual served and the services that an individual may need.

Corrections Services does not anticipate an impact as a result of the proposed legislation. However the LFO believes to the extent individuals are accepted into the program and not incarcerated, there may be a potential savings to corrections services. The potential savings is indeterminable because the number of people that will be accepted into the program is unknown. The current rate to house an offender in a state facility is \$54.21 per offender per day and the rate to house an offender in a local facility is \$24.39 per offender per day. Approximately 50% of state offenders are housed in state facilities and approximately 50% of state offenders are housed in local facilities.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There may be an increase in revenues to participating district courts to the extent individuals are able to provide payment for the costs associated with participation in the program. However, the amount of revenue is indeterminable because the number of potential enrollees is unknown, and there are processes in place to subsidize costs owed by the enrollee if the individual does not have adequate financial resources.

<u>Senate</u> 13.5.1 >=	Dual Referral Rules \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	House 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	Evan	Brasseaux
	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Evan Brasseaux Staff Director	(