HLS 201ES-7 ORIGINAL

2020 First Extraordinary Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 7

1

BY REPRESENTATIVES ZERINGUE AND SCHEXNAYDER AND SENATORS CORTEZ AND WHITE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 (Item #4)

AN ACT

2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Eight Million Seven Hundred
8	Twenty-One Thousand Eight Hundred Seventeen No/100 (\$188,721,817.00) Dollars, or so
9	much thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the
10	judiciary, including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal
11	District Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
24 25 26	<b>Mission Statement:</b> The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration

and performance of all courts under its authority.

1	Goal: To protect the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportundecisions made by lower tribunals. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	nity for liti	gants to seek 1	review in the S	upreme Court of
5	General Teljormanee Injormanon.	2017	2018	2019	
6	Total Filings	2,181	2,117	2,096	
7	Total Appeals Filed	4	6	5	
8	Total Writs Filed	1,997	1,925	1,906	
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,039	2,344	2,183	
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely manner	er.			
12	General Performance Information:	2017	2010	2010	
13 14	Dougoutage of non-animinal eage	2017	2018	2019	
15	Percentage of noncriminal case applications acted on within Supreme				
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	88%	83.4%	89.8%	
17	Percentage of criminal case applications	0070	03.470	09.070	
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	34.9%	34.1%	42.9%	
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction	51.570	37.170	72.570	
21	applications acted on within Supreme				
$\overline{22}$	Court standard of 120 days of filing	20.8%	23%	23.5%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25	standard of 120 days of filing	89.3%	85%	97 %	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
28	from argument	73.2%	74%	77.1%	
29	Cook To ensure the public trust				
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
30	<b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Supro	eme Court	decisions		
31	General Performance Information:	onic court	decisions.		
32	<i>y</i>	<i>2017</i>	2018	2019	
32 33	Percentage of written opinions available				
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
35	Objection To inform the multiple formation				
36	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>	and activi	illes.		
37	General Terjormance Injormation.	2017	2018	2019	
		2017	2010	2017	
38	Number of outreach programs	70	84	90	
39	Number of media releases on court decisions	65	56	54	
40	Number of media releases on other matters	18	20	18	
41	Number of recipients of releases on				
42	court decisions	1,932	2,054	2,153	
43	Number of recipients of releases on other	2 400	5.025	4 7 7 7	
44	matters	3,489	5,835	4,777	
45	<b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional	conduct. i	ntegrity, and co	ompetence of th	ne bench.
46	General Performance Information:			<b>-</b>	
47	g	2017	2018	2019	
48	Average number of hours acquired				
49	through continuing legal education				
50	per judge	29.03	29.47	25.13	
51	Number of complaints filed against				
52	judges and justices of the peace	533	543	417	
53	Number of complaints against judges				
54	and justices of the peace resolved or				
55	disposed of in the calendar year	546	428	474	
56	Oktober Transport de Liste de la Carina 1	1 . 4 .			1
56 57	<b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional	conduct, 1	ntegrity, and co	ompetence of the	ie bar.
57 58	General Performance Information:	2017	2018	2019	
59	Average number of hours acquired through	201/	2010	2019	
60	continuing legal education per lawyer	14.76	14.75	14.17	
61	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	2,795	2,534	2,334	
62	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	-,,,,	2,001	_,00,	
63	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	3,096	2,692	2,446	
		- ,	-, -, -	.,	

1 2	Payable ou	at of the State General Fund (Direct):		<b>FY 20 EOB</b>		<u>FY 21</u>
3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the				
5		Supreme Court, as provided by				
6		R.S. 13:102	\$	1,155,208	\$	1,185,978
7 8 9	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	4,963,652	\$	11,502,926
10 11 12 13 14 15	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.				
16 17	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,595,723	\$	4,987,548
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	adminis Louisian of new j provides retireme support ad hoc j access t local co Commit the Supp CASA as	m Description: The Judicial Administrator's tration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Through the Judicial Council, it performs studie udgeships and for improving the administration of a payroll and other fiscal services to the Judicial ent system; support for the Supreme Court and A for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Judicial system, monitors cases under advisem to the courts for limited English proficiency individuals, applies for and administers grants to impute on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's treme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, and FINS through the Division of Children and Fan a staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College, the Court and the Judiciary.	cial C es and of just try, ind Appell dicial ent, pa duals; prove toffice the Lo nilies.	ouncil and the Junakes recommendice. The Judicial cluding the adminate Court human Administrator's Oprovides support for provides outreacy judicial administers severouisiana Protectiv The Judicial Adm	diciary dation. Admin istrati resou ffice a or initi h serv ration al prog ee Ord ainistrati	o Commission of sforthe creation istrator's Office on of a judicial rce system; and lso manages the atives to ensure ices to state and and staffs the grams, including ter Registry, and ator's office also
33	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,853,140	\$	2,079,002
34 35 36 37	Article ) of judic	m <b>Description:</b> The Judiciary Commission of Loui. V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, ial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may rion, removal from office, or involuntary retiremen	scree ecomn	n, investigate, and nend to the Supre	d prose me Co	cute complaints urt the censure,
38 39	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	343,317	\$	369,429
40 41	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	170,061	\$	178,565
42 43 44	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000	\$	3,000
45 46 47 48 49	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,126,948	\$	1,245,129
50 51 52	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,669,075	\$	1,812,665

1 2	<b>Program Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information needs of the state judiciary, and is open to members of the bar and public.					
3 4 5 6	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	32,095	\$	32,095
7 8 9 10	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	916,846	\$	935,183
11 12 13 14	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	939,894	\$	958,692
15	10	Judicial College	\$	31,938	\$	119,563
16 17		m Description: The Judicial College was establis continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.	shed by	order of the Sup	oreme (	Court in 1976 to
18 19 20 21 22	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,810,289	\$	20,407,214
23 24	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	231,546	\$	352,983
25 26 27	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,012,320	\$	1,299,782
32	15	Information Technology	\$	1,069,760	\$	1,332,214
33 34 35 36	16	Payable out of State General Fund for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,927,296	\$	2,325,393
Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.						
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts, authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301-5304; Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401; Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358; Swift and Certain Program, authorized by R.S. 13:5371; and Veterans Treatment Courts, authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place participants in need of services into appropriate					

1 2 3 community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements pursuant to current best practices and the proven national model. 4 Court Appointed Special Advocates 1,794,035 2,965,884 5 6 7 8 9 Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best 10 interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. 12 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND (DIRECT) 51,946,987 65,013,662 13 Payable out of the State General Fund 19 14 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 15 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 16 R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 17 estimated at 6,223,724 \$ 6,223,724 18 19 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding 20 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 21 Payable out of the State General 22 Fund from Statutory Dedications, 23 Trial Court Case Management 24 Information Fund, for the Case 25 Management Information System, 26 Article 887(F) of the Code of 27 Criminal Procedure, be it more or less 28 4,017,201 estimated at 4,017,201 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F). TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 36 37 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 10,240,925 10,240,925 38 21 Drug court maintenance and 39 enhancement, payable out of the State 40 General Fund through Interagency 41 Transfers from the Department of 42 Children and Family Services \$ 5,400,000 \$ 5,400,000 43 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, 44 payable out of the State General Fund 45 through Interagency Transfers from the 46 Department of Children and Family 47 Services 3,992,850 3,992,850 48 TOTAL- STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH 49 INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 9,392,850 9,392,850 50 51 TOTAL SUPREME COURT 71,580,762 84,647,437

#### 03-8171 **COURTS OF APPEAL**

1

234567

8 9

10 11

12

55

**Program Description:** The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also  $has \ appellate \ jurisdiction \ over \ all \ civil \ matters, \ all \ matters \ appealed \ from \ family \ and \ juvenile \ courts, \ and$ all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

cisions made by lower

<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportutiviumals.  General Performance Information:	2017	ılti-judge revi 2018	ew of deci 2019
Total appeals filed	1,897	1,827	1,702
Total writs filed Total dispositions rendered	3,420 4,439	3,345 4,431	3,364 4,259
Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information:  Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument:  Time Standard = no more than 175 days.	<b>2017</b>	2018	<b>2019</b>
			153
Median number of days for all cases	159	149	152
Average number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days. Criminal cases Civil cases Median number of days for all cases	43 44 44	44 44 44	44 48 45
	tribunals.  General Performance Information:  Total appeals filed Total writs filed Total dispositions rendered  Goal: To promote the rule of law.  Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information:  Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days. Criminal cases Civil Cases Median number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days. Criminal cases Criminal cases	tribunals.  General Performance Information:  2017  Total appeals filed 1,897 Total writs filed 3,420 Total dispositions rendered 4,439  Goal: To promote the rule of law.  Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information:  2017  Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days. Criminal cases 147 Civil Cases 162 Median number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days. Criminal cases 43 Civil cases 43 Civil cases 44	Total appeals filed 1,897 1,827 Total writs filed 3,420 3,345 Total dispositions rendered 4,439 4,431  Goal: To promote the rule of law.  Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information:  Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days. Criminal cases 147 153 Civil Cases 162 148 Median number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days. Criminal cases 43 44 Civil cases 44 44

36 Goal: To preserve public trust.

appeal, Third Circuit

**Objective:** To facilitate public access to the decisions of the courts of appeal.

38	General Performance Information:			
39		2017	2018	2019
40	Percentage of written opinions available			
41	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%

40	Percentage of written opinions available			
41	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%

42 43	Payable of	out of the State General Fund (Direct):	EV 20 EOD	EW 21
44 45 46	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judges and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:311	\$ <b>FY 20 EOB</b> 8,124,978	\$ FY 21 8,342,412
47 48 49	02	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of appeal, First Circuit	\$ 10,286,973	\$ 11,205,994
50 51 52	03	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of appeal, Second Circuit	\$ 5,807,610	\$ 6,105,936
53 54	04	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of		

\$

8,839,437

\$

9,293,132

1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	8,221,408	\$	8,708,809
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	\$	6,019,956	\$	6,353,132
7 8		COURTS OF APPEAL- TE GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	<u>\$</u>	47,300,362	<u>\$</u>	50,009,415

# 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED BY STATUTE

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in that parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic court in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in that parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

**Goal:** To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

**Objective:** To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. *General Performance Information:* 

	<i>2017</i>	2018	2019
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2018-2019 to improve compliance			
with the Americans with Disabilities Act			
(ADA)	83.3%	87.5%	87.5%

**Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

## General Performance Information:

· ·	<i>2017</i>	2018	2019
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2018-2019 to assist self-represented			
litigants	85.4%	91.7%	93.8%

**Goal:** To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

# **Objective:** To encourage timely case management and processing.

### General Performance Information:

<b>,</b>	2017	2018	2019
Number of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
Percentage of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	100%	100%	100%

1 2 3 4 5 6	jud	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had en steps within FY 2018-2019 to reduc	<b>201</b> 7 e		2018	2019		
5 6		ays and improve the timeliness case processing	93.8%	8	7.5%	93.8%		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>Genera</b> Percent jud tak ma	tive: To enhance jury service.  I Performance Information:  tage of surveyed district court chief  lges indicating that their court had  en steps within FY 2018-2019 to  ke jury service more convenient or	2017		2018	2019		
14 15 16	Goal:	Poprovide due process and equal protect To provide due process and equal protect The court; and to demonstrate integrity in		law t			ness	
17 18 19	<b>Object</b> ion operation	ive: To recognize new conditions or one as necessary.  I Performance Information:	•				ourt	
			2017		2018	2019		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	jud FY tra Percent jud	tage of surveyed district court chief less indicating actions taken in 2018-2019 to improve employee ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief less indicating actions taken in 2018-2010 to interest the surveyed district court chief less indicating actions taken in 2018-2010 to interest the survey actions taken in 2018-2010 to interest the survey actions to the survey actions	87.5%	8	5.4%	91.7%		
27 28		2018-2019 to install or implement hnologies	95.8%	9	5.8%	93.8%		
29 30		To maintain judicial independence, whi			e princip	le of comit	y in	
31 32		ive: To inform the community of the coll Performance Information:		ture a	nd functi	ion.		
33 34 35 36 37 38	jud reg and	tage of surveyed district court chief ges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2018-2019	<b>2017</b> 91.7%		<b>2018</b> 9.6%	2019 87.5%		
39	Pavable o	ut of the State General Fund (Dir	ect).					
40	1 ayaote o	at of the State General Land (Di	cci).		FY 20	EOB		<b>FY 21</b>
41	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-						
42 43		(191) District Judges as provide by R.S. 13:691	ed	\$	27,9	18,847	\$	28,668,386
44 45 46	02	Office and travel expenses of D Judges as provided by R.S. 13:6 and R.S. 13:694, respectively		\$	1,28	85,250	\$	1,285,250
47 48 49	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges Civil District Court, Orleans Pa as provided by R.S. 13:691		\$	2,04	46,408	\$	2,101,348
50 51 52 53 54	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil Dis Court, Parish of Orleans, for sa of stenographers, clerks, law be stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 1	laries ooks,	\$	{	80,500	\$	80,500
55 56 57 58	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Report of the Twentieth Judicial District including retirement contribution provided by R.S. 13:966.1	ct Court,	\$	1:	14,514	\$	117,535

	HLS 201F	ES-7				ORIGINAL HB NO. 7
1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	6,541,846	\$	6,950,507
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	584,653	\$	610,546
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicia District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	1 \$	400,707	\$	413,728
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	286,234	\$	292,616
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
23 24 25	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	e <u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000
26	SUBT	OTAL	\$	39,353,270	\$	40,614,727
27	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans				
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	of the t Orlean. with ass the pow cases b	m Description: The Criminal District Court for trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some others sistance from its commissioners, has the power of cover to hold preliminary examinations, with authority efore the court. The court has appellate jurisdict Court of New Orleans and has general supervisors.	s, and ner con ommit ty to b ion of	offenses committe urt. The court, thro tting magistrates ir ail or discharge, o all cases tried bej	ed with ough it all fea r to ho fore th	hin the parish of is magistrate and lony charges and old for trial, in all e Municipal and
35 36 37	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,900,237	\$	1,951,251
38 39 40	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as Provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750	\$	74,750
41 42 43	C.	State's share of group insurance for personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	694,766	\$	729,504
44 45 46 47	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	288,064	\$	293,811

	HLS 201E	ES-7				ORIGINAL HB NO. 7
1 2 3	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	449,821	\$	456,097
4 5 6 7	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	515,703	\$	534,781
8 9 10	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	7,950	\$	10,600
11 12 13	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	75,372	\$	76,845
14 15 16	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,312	\$	58,422
17 18 19	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	910,443	\$	1,002,268
20	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	695,417	\$	775,026
21	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	204,258	\$	229,942
22	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	87,744	\$	89,595
23	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$	391,859	\$	424,869
24	SUBT	OTAL	\$	6,353,696	<u>\$</u>	6,707,761
25	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
26 27 28	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,900,237	\$	1,951,251
29 30	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	584,688	\$	600,385
31 32 33 34	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	103,500	\$	97,750
35	SUBT	OTAL	\$	2,588,425	\$	2,649,386
36 37 38 39	AND	L DISTRICT COURTS - SALARIES OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED TATUTE- STATE GENERAL FUND CCT)	<u>\$</u>	48,295,391	<u>\$</u>	49,971,874

OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS

1

03-8173

2 REQUIRED BY STATUTE 3 4 Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal and traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish). 5 6 7 8 9 Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public. 10 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to 12 13 14 the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. General Performance Information: 15 2017 2018 2019 16 17 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken 18 in FY 2018-2019 to assist 19 pro se litigants 98.1% 94.1% 98% 20 21 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 **Objective:** To encourage timely case management and processing. General Performance Information: 2017 2018 2019 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2018-2019 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of 90.4% 82.4% 80.4% case processing Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in 32 its governmental relations and accountability to the public. 33 34 35 36 37 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2017 2018 2019 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their 38 39 courts regularly provided public education and public outreach 40 services in FY 2018-2019 88.5% 78.4% 86.3% 41 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court 42 43 operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 44 45 46 2017 2018 2019 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken 47 in FY 2018-2019 to improve employee 92.3% 86.3% training and development 78.4% 49 50 51 52 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2018-2019 to install or implement technologies 90.4% 78.4% 88.2% 53 Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 54 **FY 20 EOB FY 21** 55 01 Salaries of sixty (60) City Court 56 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875 \$ 2,831,732 2,907,760

1 2 3 4	Tra Jud	aries of seven (7) Municipal and ffic and one (1) Parish Court ge as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 01.1 and 2563.5, respectively	\$	410,432	\$	421,450	
5 6 7	TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE- STATE GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)			3,242,164	<u>\$</u>	3,329,210	
8 9	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES REQUIRED BY STATUTE	S AND	OFFICE	EXPE	NSES NOT	
10 11	Payable ou	t of the State General Fund (Direct):	]	FY 20 EOB		<u>FY 21</u>	
12 13	01 Orl	eans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	536,132	\$	610,805	
14 15							
16 17	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	77,178	\$	89,893	
18 19	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	61,877	\$	63,183	
20 21							
22 23 24		L OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIREI ATUTE- STATE GENERAL FUND CT)	\$	675,187	<u>\$</u>	763,881	
25	Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the						
26	State General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total						
27	amount of Seventeen Million Thirty-One Thousand Seventy and No/100 (\$17,031,070)						
28	Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved						
29	by the Louisiana Supreme Court.						
30	Section 3. In addition to the funds appropriated in this Act, an amount equal to Four						
31	Million and No/100 (\$4,000,000) Dollars is hereby appropriated payable out of the State						
32	General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Governor's Office of Homeland						
33	Security and Emergency Preparedness to the Judicial Control Board for eligible expenses						
34	related to COVID-19 response efforts.						
35	Section 4.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and						
36	appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for						
37	so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the State						
38	General Fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other						
39	warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants						

1 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this

- 2 Act.
- B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the
- 4 judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in
- 5 the state.
- 6 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
- 7 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
- 8 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
- 9 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State
- General Fund on or before September 1, 2021.
- D. For Fiscal Year 2020-2021, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made
- in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year
- in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
- 14 Supreme Court.
- E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number
- of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected,
- subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
- 18 Supreme Court.
- F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators,
- objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and
- are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and
- 22 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by
- 23 Section 10 of Part G, General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other
- 25 judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation
- upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial
- 27 Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to
- 28 the administration of pay, retirement, or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly,
- 29 the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial
- 30 Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to

1 be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency

- 2 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.
- 3 Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2020; if vetoed by the
- 4 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on
- 5 July 1, 2020, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The existing operating budget for FY 2019-2020 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2020-2021 as contained in the original bill.

Courts and Programs	Existing Operating Budget FY 2019-2020		Appropriation Request FY 2020-2021		
By Expenditure:					
Supreme Court	\$	71,580,762	\$	84,647,437	
Courts of Appeal	\$	47,300,362	\$	50,009,415	
District Courts	\$	48,295,391	\$	49,971,874	
Other Courts	\$	3,242,164	\$	3,329,210	
Other Programs	\$	675,187	\$	763,881	
Total Funding	<u>\$</u>	171,093,866	<u>\$</u>	188,721,817	
By Means of Finance:					
Total General Fund (Direct)	\$	151,460,091	\$	169,088,042	
Total Interagency Transfer	\$	9,392,850	\$	9,392,850	
Total Statutory Dedications	\$	10,240,925	\$	10,240,925	
Total Funding	<u>\$</u>	171,093,866	<u>\$</u>	188,721,817	

#### **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 7 Original 2020 First Extraordinary Session Zeringue

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2020-2021 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$188,721,817 from the following sources: \$169,088,042 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$9,392,850 out of Interagency Transfers; and \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund. Appropriations from State General Fund (Direct) shall be reduced by \$17,031,070 and Interagency Transfers shall be increased by \$4,000,000 from the Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness for expenditures related to COVID-19 response efforts pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

		<b>FY 20 EOB</b>	<u>FY 21</u>
(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 71,580,762	\$84,647,437
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,300,362	50,009,415
(3)	District Courts	39,353,270	40,614,727
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,353,696	6,707,761
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,588,425	2,649,386
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,242,164	3,329,210
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	675,187	763,881
	TOTAL	<u>\$171,093,866</u>	\$188,721,817

Effective July 1, 2020