2023 Regular Session

#### **ENROLLED**

#### SENATE BILL NO. 145

#### BY SENATOR HENSGENS

Prefiled pursuant to Article III, Section 2(A)(4)(b)(i) of the Constitution of Louisiana.

1	AN ACT
2	To enact R.S. 38:2225.2.6, relative to design-build contracts; to provide for airports to use
3	the design-build method; to provide for air traffic control tower and hangar
4	development by design-build method; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1. R.S. 38:2225.2.6 is hereby enacted to read as follows:
7	§2225.2.6. Design-build contracts; authorized use by airports; air traffic control
8	tower and hangar
9	A. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, a public
10	airport may use the design-build project delivery method to contract for
11	construction and design-build of an air traffic control tower or hangar
12	development and redevelopment project when deemed in the public interest,
13	beneficial to the entity, and in accordance with the procedures set forth by law.
14	<b>B.</b> The following are considerations for using the design-build delivery
15	method:
16	(1) Collaboration and cost control.
17	(2) Concurrent execution of design and construction.
18	(3) Complex project with a restrictive time frame.
19	(4) Public entity, designer, and contractor with mutual project goals.
20	(5) Risk identification controlled by entity.
21	(6) Minimization of the risk of construction and design disputes by using
22	a collaborative process.
23	C.(1) For purposes of this Section, "design-builder" means the entity
24	contractually responsible for delivering the project design and construction.
25	(2) Every design-builder shall be duly licensed and registered to do

## **ENROLLED**

1	business in the state of Louisiana as either an architect, an engineer, or a
2	general contractor. Each design-builder shall have the following rights and
3	powers:
4	(a) The design-builder may sublet responsibility for professional design
5	services to an individual, firm, or corporation duly licensed and registered in
6	the state of Louisiana to provide design services.
7	(b) The design-builder may sublet responsibility for construction or
8	other services requiring a contractor's or trade subcontractor's license to
9	persons or entities duly registered, licensed, or otherwise qualified to provide
10	those services as required by law.
11	D. Prior to any submittal of a proposal on a design-build project, the
12	following requirements shall be met:
13	(1)(a) All engineering and surveying firms providing design and design-
14	related services with the design-builder to which the design-build contract is
15	awarded shall be licensed to perform those services by the Louisiana
16	Professional Engineering and Land Surveying Board.
17	(b) All architectural firms providing design services with the
18	design-builder to which the design-build contract is awarded shall be licensed
19	to perform those services by the Louisiana State Board of Architectural
20	Examiners or the Louisiana Horticulture Commission.
21	(c) All contractors performing construction work for the design-build
22	contract shall be licensed by the Louisiana State Licensing Board for
23	Contractors.
24	(2) A two-stage selection process that will utilize a request for
25	qualifications graded and judged by a primary evaluation committee and a
26	request for technical proposals graded and judged by a separate technical
27	review committee shall be used to select the design-builder and shall include the
28	following specific provisions:
29	(a)(i) Public announcement procedures for the solicitation of interested
30	design-build competitors and a procedure for requesting letters of interest and

Page 2 of 7 Coding: Words which are struck through are deletions from existing law; words in **boldface type and underscored** are additions.

1	statements of qualifications from qualified firms or teams.
2	(ii) Public announcement procedures shall include a requirement for the
3	advertisement in the official journal of the municipality in which the project is
4	to take place.
5	(iii) All notices of intent to select design-build contractors shall be
6	advertised a minimum of thirty days prior to the deadline for receipt of
7	responses and shall contain a brief description of the project, the required scope
8	of services, the members of the primary evaluation committee, and sufficient
9	information for design-build entities to determine their interest.
10	(b) Decisions by the primary evaluation committee shall be made on the
11	basis of the criteria set forth in this Subsection. Members of the primary
12	evaluation committee may serve as members of the technical review committee.
13	Each member of the technical review committee shall score assigned elements.
14	Scores shall be considered public information.
15	(3) The airport shall provide a request for a qualifications package to
16	design-builders who submit a letter of interest. All required information shall
17	be identified in the request for qualifications package and in standard response
18	form. The response to a request for qualifications package shall include
19	statements of qualifications. The completed response form and any other
20	required information shall be transmitted by the responding design-builder by
21	the deadline to submit forms and information as provided in the request for
22	qualifications package. Any response failing to meet all of the requirements
23	contained in the request for qualifications package shall not be considered.
24	False and misrepresented information furnished in response to a request for
25	qualifications package shall be grounds for rejection.
26	(4)(a) The primary evaluation committee shall evaluate the responses to
27	the request for qualifications package received by the airport. The following
28	general criteria used by the primary evaluation committee in evaluating
29	responses to the request for qualifications package for design-build services
30	shall apply to both the design and construction components of any

Page 3 of 7 Coding: Words which are struck through are deletions from existing law; words in **boldface type and underscored** are additions.

1	responding entity:
2	(i) Professional training and experience of both the design and
3	construction entity components and of key personnel in general and as related
4	to the project under construction.
5	(ii) Capacity for timely completion of the work.
6	(iii) Past performance on projects of a similar nature to the project
7	described in the notice of intent.
8	(iv) The quantity and value of work awarded to both the design and
9	construction entity components.
10	(b) The primary evaluation committee may consider additional
11	project-specific needs, including but not limited to the design-builder's past
12	projects in the same metropolitan statistical area as the proposed project and
13	the domicile address of the responding design-builder verified by the secretary
14	of state online business filing database.
15	(c) The primary evaluation committee shall consist of a minimum of five
16	members designated by the head of the airport according to the rules
17	established pursuant to this Subsection. One of the members of the primary
18	evaluation committee shall be a licensed contractor in the discipline of the
19	project and one member shall be a licensed design professional in the discipline
20	of the project, neither of which shall have any involvement in the project.
21	(d) The primary evaluation committee shall evaluate the qualifications
22	of responding design-builders on the basis of the criteria set forth in this
23	Subsection and the rules established pursuant to this Subsection and shall select
24	a short list of no fewer than three of the highest rated entities. However, if fewer
25	than three responses are received, the head of the airport may approve
26	proceeding with the design-build process. The primary evaluation committee
27	may, at its discretion, be assisted by other airport personnel in its evaluation of
28	a design-builder's qualifications. The primary evaluation committee shall
29	present its short list to the head of the airport. The short-listed design-builders
30	shall be invited to submit a detailed technical proposal for the design-build

Page 4 of 7 Coding: Words which are struck through are deletions from existing law; words in **boldface type and underscored** are additions.

1	project. The invitation to the short-listed entities shall specify a deadline for
2	submission of proposals.
3	(5)(a) The specific requirements of the technical proposal shall be
4	identified by the airport to the design-builders making the short list by means
5	of a "Scope of Services Package". The technical proposal shall include design
6	strategy, preliminary design concepts, fundamental requirements, quality
7	standards, capacities, materials, the schedule of commencement and completion,
8	and a lump sum for all services in fulfillment of the requirements and within the
9	constraints of the "Scope of Services Package". Any and all weighing or grading
10	factors that will be used to judge the technical proposal shall be identified in the
11	"Scope of Services Package".
12	(b) The airport may compensate unsuccessful and responsive short-listed
13	entities for the expense of preparing the technical proposal. The amount shall
14	be predetermined by the airport and shall be identified in the "Scope of Services
15	Package". The airport may use concepts submitted by any paid short-listed
16	design-builder in the construction of the project.
17	(6) A technical review committee for the evaluation of design-build
18	proposals shall be established according to the rules set forth in this Subsection.
19	The technical review committee members shall include construction
20	professionals as defined by the rules established in this Subsection. The
21	technical review committee shall identify specific technical elements of the
22	project, depending on the characteristics of the project, that will be included in
23	the technical score and those shall be identified in the "Scope of Services
24	Package". The technical review committee may select additional engineering,
25	architectural, construction, and other technical experts to serve as committee
26	members. The technical review committee shall select one member to serve as
27	chairman of the committee.
28	(a) An adjusted score approach shall be used by the airport in
29	determining the winning proposal. The adjusted score shall be determined using
30	the following components:

Page 5 of 7 Coding: Words which are struck through are deletions from existing law; words in **boldface type and underscored** are additions.

## **ENROLLED**

1	(i) Technical score shall be determined by any weighing factors assigned
2	to each element depending on its relative magnitude or significance to the
3	overall project. Each technical review committee member shall rate their
4	assigned element of the proposal from each of the design-builder on the short
5	list and shall submit their score to the chairman of the technical review
6	committee. The schedule and price components shall not be made known to the
7	technical review committee during the scoring process. The chairman of the
8	technical review committee shall adjust the scores for any applicable weighing
9	factors and shall determine the total technical score for each proposal. Prior to
10	determining the adjusted score, the chairman of the technical review committee
10	shall notify each design-builder, in writing, of their final technical score. All
12	information pertaining to the technical review committee, including that of the
12	committee chairman, shall be public information.
13	
	(ii) The time value, consisting of the product of the design-builders
15	proposed contract time expressed in calendar days multiplied by the
1.6	
16	value-per-calendar-day expressed in dollars established by the airport and
16 17	value-per-calendar-day expressed in dollars established by the airport and identified in the "Scope of Services Package".
17	identified in the "Scope of Services Package".
17 18	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders.
17 18 19	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted
17 18 19 20	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be
17 18 19 20 21	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory and if not used, the adjusted score shall be determined by the following
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory and if not used, the adjusted score shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = Price Bid divided by the Technical Score.
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory and if not used, the adjusted score shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = Price Bid divided by the Technical Score. (7) Design-builders who have submitted bona fide proposals may, within
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory and if not used, the adjusted score shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = Price Bid divided by the Technical Score. (7) Design-builders who have submitted bona fide proposals may, within seven days of the announcement of the award, challenge the award by
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	identified in the "Scope of Services Package". (iii) The price proposal submitted by the short listed design-builders. (b) The winning proposal shall be the proposal with the lowest adjusted score. The adjusted score for each entity's design-build proposal shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = (Price Bid + Time Value) divided by the Technical Score. Use of the time value is not mandatory and if not used, the adjusted score shall be determined by the following formula: Adjusted Score = Price Bid divided by the Technical Score. (7) Design-builders who have submitted bona fide proposals may, within seven days of the announcement of the award, challenge the award by submitting a letter to the head of the airport describing in detail the reasons for

Page 6 of 7 Coding: Words which are struck through are deletions from existing law; words in **boldface type and underscored** are additions.

#### **ENROLLED**

1	be mailed or otherwise furnished immediately to the design-builder making the
2	challenge. The decision shall be final and conclusive unless the decision is
3	fraudulent or if the person adversely affected by the decision has timely
4	appealed to the court of proper venue for the airport.
5	E. Once the design-builder has been chosen and a contract for a
6	stipulated schedule and sum certain price is executed, the price of the
7	design-build contract shall not be increased other than for inflation as
8	prescribed in the contract and for site or other conditions of which the
9	design-builder had no knowledge and should not have had knowledge as a
10	reasonable possibility existing at the site or concerning the design and
11	construction.
12	F. The provisions of this Section shall supersede any conflicting
13	provisions of any other law, including but not limited to the requirements of

14 Chapter 10 of Title 38 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950.

# PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

# SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_