LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Louisiana egislative 🛉 **Fiscal Note** Fiscal Office Fiscal Note On: HB 10 HLS 243ES 14 Fiscal Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED Notes Opp. Chamb. Action: W/ SEN FLOOR AMD Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.: Date: November 22, 2024 3:32 PM Author: WRIGHT Dept./Agy.: Revenue **Analyst:** Benjamin Vincent **Subject:** Increase Sales Tax Levy/Reduce Income Tax Rates REF SEE FISC NOTE RV TAX/SALES & USE Page 1 of 1

Provides for the rate of the state sales and use tax and provides for the exemptions, exclusions, credits, and rebates claimed against sales and use taxes (Item #8)

Assumed posture of proposed law includes amendments that were adopted as Senate Floor Amendment set **#196, 198 and 199.** These amendments heavily modify HB10 relative to prior versions. Proposed law combines HB 10 (Re-Engrossed with Senate Committee Amendments posture) and HB 1 (Re-Engrossed with Senate Committee Amendments posture) and HB 1 (Re-Engrossed with Senate Committee Amendments posture), with minor modifications to each. Additional exemptions are added and the effective combined rate is increased, relative to prior HB 10 posture. No other major changes relative to the prior posture of these bills is noted or assumed in this analysis.

The bill as amended broadly applies a flat 3% IIT rate with increase standard deductions, and increases the combined state sales tax rate to 5%, effective January 1, 2025. Additionally, proposed law sets a combined state sales tax rate of 4.75%, effective January 1, 2030.

EXPENDITURES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$200,000	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	\$200,000
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$200,000					\$200,000
REVENUES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$7,000,000	\$181,000,000	(\$5,000,000)	(\$33,000,000)	(\$51,000,000)	\$99,000,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Ded./Other	\$0	\$79,000,000	\$79,000,000	\$79,000,000	\$79,000,000	\$316,000,000
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

LDR anticipates a one-time additional cost of approximately \$200,000 for modifications to returns, LDR software, and the web filing application, and anticipates no need for additional positions due to proposed law. Any expenditure increases would be financed via SGR out of current collections, ultimately reducing state general fund revenues mechanically. LFO assumes that the \$200,000 expenditure would impact FY25.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Broad Revenue Impacts of Major Components:

Initial estimates for major components affecting tax **receipts** are as follows (\$millions):

FY	25	26	27	28	29
Individual Income Tax Provisions	-334	-1,150	-1,338	-1,366	-1,384
Sales Tax Rate increase to 5.0% thru FY29	+300	+1,100	+1,100) +1,100	+1,100
Business Utilities sales tax of 2%	0	+230	+230	+230	+230
Sales Tax Base Modifications		+82	+82	+82	+82

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax & the Construction Subfund (CSF) of the Transportation Trust Fund:

Approximately \$110 million of the +\$1,100 million sales tax revenue impact of the proposed 1.0% levy is anticipated to be generated by sales on motor vehicles. 60% of such revenues are dedicated to the CSF, thus \$66 million of the \$1,100 million increase is included in the table above as Dedicated revenues.

Other Minor Dedications, LDR 1% SGR:

Additionally, the Dedicated and Self-generated revenue figures in the table above reflect certain minor sales tax dedications, as well as the 1% of certain collections that LDR is permitted to retain as SGR (affecting only FY26).

Overall state revenue impacts, net of motor vehicle & general sales tax dedications, are reflected in the table above.

Impact on Local Revenues:

A detailed LFO estimate on likely local revenue impacts due to this proposal, either at the statewide level or for any particular political subdivision, is unavailable for purposes of this analysis.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	House	
x 13.5.1 >= \$	5100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	\mathbf{X} 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	_
	500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	1

Alan M. Boderger

Alan M. Boxberger Legislative Fiscal Officer