## DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 74 Original	2025 Regular Session	Mike Johnson
TID ( TOTISHIM		

Abstract: Provides a mechanism for a survivor of a covered act to disable the remote access technology on a motor vehicle.

Proposed law defines "abuser", "covered act", "remote access technology", and "survivor".

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that a motor vehicle manufacturer shall suspend the remote access technology on a motor vehicle within two days of a complete remote access suspension request.

Proposed law provides the requirements for a complete remote access suspension request.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the remote access technology may remain disabled for the duration of the protective order, temporary restraining order, or judgment granting exclusive use of the motor vehicle.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the motor vehicle manufacturer shall provide secure and remote online means for the survivor to submit a complete remote access suspension request.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that a motor vehicle manufacturer shall be subject to a civil fine of ten thousand dollars per violation, not to exceed a sum of one million dollars. The attorney general may maintain a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction to recover such fines.

<u>Proposed law</u> establishes a fund where all civil fines levied against a motor vehicle manufacturer in violation of <u>proposed law</u> are managed by the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Criminal Justice. The fund is also composed of monies derived from appropriations by the legislature.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the monies in the fund shall be used exclusively for the Victims of Crime Act and the Violence Against Women Act.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that a motor vehicle manufacturer may not require the survivor to pay a fee or any outstanding fines owed by the abuser or contact the abuser after the survivor submits a complete remote access suspension request in order to disable the remote access technology.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that an individual with ownership interest who has been granted a protective order or temporary restraining order, or any other individual that has been granted exclusive use of the vehicle by a court of competent jurisdiction shall not be granted the exceptions provided under

the prohibition for tracking devices.

(Adds R.S. 14:323(E) and R.S. 46:2191-2195)