

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **HB 620** HLS 13RS 161  
 Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action:  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> April 23, 2013 8:23 AM	<b>Author:</b> GEYMANN
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b>	<b>Analyst:</b> Travis McIlwain
<b>Subject:</b> State Operating Budget	

BUDGETARY CONTROLS RE SEE FISC NOTE GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1  
 Provides with respect to the development of the state operating budget

Proposed bill provides for the legislative auditor to review the executive budget and determine whether the budget recommends appropriations out of the state general fund and dedicated funds for the next fiscal year for health care and for higher education in amounts less than the appropriations enacted for each purpose for the current fiscal year. Such calculation shall occur within 7 days of the governor's submission of the executive budget to the JLCB. Current law provides for the contents and format of the executive budget (R.S. 39:36). Proposed law provides for a listing of items that are considered nondiscretionary that should be listed in the executive budget. Proposed bill provides for the executive budget to include comparative statements for higher education and for health care by state general fund and dedicated fund expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year. Proposed legislation provides for the governor to submit 2 appropriations bills of which 1 will be for discretionary expenditures and 1 will be for nondiscretionary expenditures if the state general fund and dedicated funds recommendation for higher education and health care is less than enacted in prior year.

<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b>Annual Total</b>						
<b>REVENUES</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Although Article 7, Section 11 of the constitution provides for the general appropriations bill to be submitted in conformity with the executive budget, the proposed bill provides for the potential of the General Appropriations Bill and another appropriations bill to be filed. If the proposal from state general fund and dedicated funds for health care and higher education are less in the executive budget than what was previously appropriated in the last legislative session, then the governor will be required to submit 2 appropriation instruments of which one will be for discretionary expenditures and one will be for nondiscretionary expenditures. All fiscal staffs will be required to track and analyze 2 different appropriation measures, which will likely result in an indeterminable increase in workload. The table below reflects the analysis to be completed as per this legislation.

<b>DHH</b>	<b>SGF</b>	<b>Dedicated Funds</b>	<b>Total</b>
FY 13 Approp. Letter	\$1,899,986,459	\$524,521,397	\$2,424,507,856
FY 14 Exec. Budget	<u>\$2,472,209,864</u>	<u>\$424,557,369</u>	<u>\$2,896,767,233</u>
<b>Difference</b>	<b>\$572,223,405</b>	<b>(\$99,964,028)</b>	<b>\$472,259,377</b>
<b>Higher Education</b>	<b>SGF</b>	<b>Dedicated Funds</b>	<b>Total</b>
FY 13 Approp. Letter	\$993,591,143	\$192,349,749	\$1,185,840,892
FY 14 Exec. Budget	<u>\$284,542,534</u>	<u>\$778,133,997</u>	<u>\$1,062,67,531</u>
<b>Difference</b>	<b>(\$709,048,609)</b>	<b>\$585,784,248</b>	<b>(\$123,264,361)</b>

Note: DHH and Higher Education budget numbers above include only those agencies in schedule 9 and 19.

Based upon the table above, if this statute change were currently in place, due to the FY 14 Executive Budget Recommendation for higher education being approximately \$123.3 million less than FY 13, there would be 2 appropriations bills filed for the FY 14 Legislative Session.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S}	
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}	<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	

*John D. Carpenter*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
**John D. Carpenter**  
 Legislative Fiscal Officer