

**CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT**  
**House Bill No. 589 By Representative Abramson**

June 6, 2013

To the Honorable Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives and the Honorable President and Members of the Senate.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We, the conferees appointed to confer over the disagreement between the two houses concerning House Bill No. 589 by Representative Abramson, recommend the following concerning the Reengrossed bill:

1. That Senate Committee Amendments Nos. 1 through 6 proposed by the Senate Committee on Judiciary A and adopted by the Senate on May 22, 2013, be adopted.
2. That Senate Committee Amendment Nos. 7 and 8 proposed by the Senate Committee on Judiciary A and adopted by the Senate on May 22, 2013, be rejected.
3. That Legislative Bureau Amendments Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 5 proposed by the Legislative Bureau and adopted by the Senate on May 23, 2013, be adopted.
4. That Legislative Bureau Amendment No. 4 proposed by the Legislative Bureau and adopted by the Senate on May 23, 2013, be rejected.
5. That Senate Floor Amendment No. 1 proposed by Senator Nevers and adopted by the Senate on May 28, 2013, be adopted.
6. That Senate Floor Amendments Nos. 1 and 2 proposed by Senator Amedee and adopted by the Senate on May 28, 2013, be adopted.
7. That the following amendments to the reengrossed bill be adopted:

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 1, line 3, after "966(G)" delete the remainder of the line and insert a comma "," and "relative"

AMENDMENT NO. 2

On page 1, line 6, after "judgment;" delete the remainder of the line and at the beginning of line 7, delete "notice of certain post-judgment proceedings;"

AMENDMENT NO. 3

On page 1, at the end of line 10, delete "and" and at the beginning of line 11, change "4553(D) are" to "is"

AMENDMENT NO. 4

On page 2, line 29, after "costs" and before "any" insert a comma " ,"

AMENDMENT NO. 5

On page 3, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

"(c) Notwithstanding Subsubparagraphs (a) and (b) of this Subparagraph, if, as a result of a compromise or dismissal of one or more claims or parties which

occurs less than 60 days prior to trial, an individual petitioner stipulates or otherwise judicially admits that the amount of the individual petitioner's cause of action does not exceed fifty thousand dollars exclusive of interest and costs, a defendant shall not be entitled to a trial by jury."

AMENDMENT NO. 6

On page 3, delete lines 22 through 27 in their entirety

Respectfully submitted,

---

Representative Neil C. Abramson

---

Senator "Jody" Amedee

---

Representative Mike Huval

---

Senator Ben Nevers

---

Representative Nancy Landry

---

Senator Edwin R. Murray

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT DIGEST

House Bill No. 589 by Representative Abramson

**Keyword and oneliner of the instrument as it left the House**

CIVIL/PROCEDURE: Provides a comprehensive revision of the Code of Civil Procedure

**Report adopts Senate amendments to:**

1. With respect to motions for summary judgment, specify that the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions and affidavits that the court considers in ruling on a motion for summary judgment be admitted for the purposes of the motion for summary judgment and that evidence cited in and attached to the motion for summary judgment or memorandum filed by an adverse party is deemed admitted for the purposes of the summary judgment unless it has been excluded in response to an objection.
2. With respect to limitations upon jury trials, provide that if a petitioner stipulates that the amount of his cause of action is less than \$50,000 60 days or more prior to trial, then a defendant shall not be entitled to a trial by jury.
3. With respect to limitations upon jury trials, provide that if a petitioner stipulates that the amount of his cause of action is less than \$50,000 less than 60 days prior to trial, then a defendant shall be entitled to a trial by jury.

**Report rejects Senate amendments which would have:**

1. With respect to motions for summary judgment, provided that a defendant shall not be entitled to a trial by jury when an individual petitioner stipulates that his cause of action is less than \$50,000 as a result of a compromise or dismissal of one or more claims or parties which occurs less than 90 days before trial.

**Report amends the bill to:**

1. With respect to motions for summary judgment, provide that a defendant shall not be entitled to a trial by jury when an individual petitioner stipulates that his cause of action is less than \$50,000 as a result of a compromise or dismissal of one or more claims or parties which occurs less than 60 days before trial.
2. With respect to post-judgment proceedings relative to an interdiction, delete proposed provision requiring notice to attorney of the interdict.

**Digest of the bill as proposed by the Conference Committee**

Present law (C.C.P. Art. 966) provides the procedure by which a party may move for a summary judgment. Requires the court to render a decision only as to those issues raised in the motion under consideration.

Proposed law specifies that the pleading, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions and affidavits that the court considers in ruling on a motion for summary judgment be admitted for the purposes of the motion for summary judgment.

Proposed law clarifies present law by stating that summary judgment on a particular issue may be rendered in favor of one or more parties even if the granting of the summary judgment does not dispose of the case as to that party or parties.

Present law requires the court to consider only evidence admitted for the purposes of the motion for summary judgment in its ruling.

Proposed law retains present law and provides that evidence cited in and attached to the motion for summary judgment or memorandum filed by an adverse party is deemed admitted for the purposes of the summary judgment unless it has been excluded in response to an objection.

Present law (C.C.P. Art. 1732) provides that a trial by jury shall not be available in a suit where the amount of no individual petitioner's cause of action exceeds \$50,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

Proposed law provides that a party may retain the right to a trial by jury even if the petitioner has stipulated that the cause of action does not exceed \$50,000 when that party is entitled to trial by jury pursuant to present law has complied with the procedural requirements for asserting that right if the stipulation has occurred less than 60 days prior to trial. Proposed law further provides that a defendant shall not be entitled to a trial by jury when a petitioner stipulates that his cause of action is less than \$50,000 if the stipulation occurs more than 60 days before trial or when an individual petitioner stipulates that his cause of action is less than \$50,000 as a result of a compromise or dismissal of one or more claims or parties which occurs less than 60 days prior to trial.

Present law (C.C.P. Art. 1915) authorizes the court to render a final judgment when it does one of the following:

- (1) Dismisses the suit.
- (2) Grants a motion for judgment on the pleadings.
- (3) Grants a motion for summary judgment except when summary judgment does not dispose of the entire case.
- (4) Signs a judgment on the principal or incidental demand.
- (5) Signs a judgment on the issue of liability when the issues of liability and damages have been tried separately.
- (6) Imposes sanctions pursuant to various provisions of present law.

Present law further provides that a partial judgment or partial summary judgment that does not address all of the claims, demand, issues, or theories is not a final judgment unless the court specifically designates it as such after an express determination that there is no reason for delay. Provides that absent the required designation and determination, an order that does not adjudicate all claims or the rights of all parties does not terminate the action and is not a final judgment for purposes of an immediate appeal.

Proposed law retains present law except that it deletes the prohibition of terminating an action if a partial judgment or partial summary judgment does not adjudicate all claims or the rights of all parties.

(Amends C.C.P. Art. 966(E) and (F), 1732(1), and 1915(B); Adds C.C.P. Art. 966(G))