

---

## DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

---

Abramson

HB No. 607

**Abstract:** Provides for continuous revision of the Code of Civil Procedure including requiring the deadline for answering incidental demands the same as provided for the incidental demand, clarifying that a party is under no obligation to identify a testifying expert absent a discovery request or order for an expert report, and extending the time period within which the state has to respond to a request for production of documents.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1035) provides for answer in incidental demands.

Proposed law makes the deadline for answering incidental demands the same as provided for principal demands.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1425) provides for identifying testifying experts.

Proposed law specifies that a party is under no obligation to identify a testifying expert absent a discovery request or order for an expert report.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1462) provides for discovery-production of documents and things.

Proposed law extends the period for the state and its political subdivisions to respond to a request for production of documents and things from 15 to 30 days.

(Amends C.C.P. Arts. 1035, 1425(C), and 1462(B)(1))

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by House Committee on Civil Law and Procedure to the original bill.

1. Deleted changes to C.C.P. Art. 1469(4), authorizing the court to award costs and attorney's fees when a response to discovery is unreasonably delayed, from the bill.