	LEGISLA	TIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note					
		Fiscal Note On: HB 233 HLS 14RS 397					
::Leg諸属tive		Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL Opp. Chamb. Action:					
FiscaleDffice							
		Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:					
INSTRANCIOS							
Date: March 21, 2014	1:51 PM	Author: PUGH					
Dept./Agy.:							
Subject: Prohibition on prefe	erences	Analyst: Travis McIlwain					

STATE AGENCIES

OR NO IMPACT See Note

Page 1 of 1

Prohibits preferences in the provision of state services and other benefits based on the location of a citizen's residence

Proposed legislation prohibits any state agency in the executive branch receiving an appropriation through the general appropriations bill, ancillary appropriation bill or any supplemental appropriation bill from exercising any policy involving a preference in the provision of services or other benefits based on the location of a citizen's residence. Proposed legislation provide that this law shall not apply to any agency specifically authorized by law to give preference to or otherwise limit access to its services and benefits to citizens residing within a certain geographic area.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. The Legislative Fiscal Office is unaware of any specific state programs that provide preferential treatment in the delivery of state services based upon on a citizen's residence. However, to the extent this is occurring, this bill would prohibit such action.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Se</u>	nate		
	13.5.1	>=	\$1

Dual Referral Rules

Change {S&H}

<u>House</u>

100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H} 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee

 $6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 \text{ SGF Fiscal Cost } \{H \& S\}$ 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Evan Brasseaux

Evan Brasseaux Staff Director