

FISHING/SHRIMP

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: HB **440** HLS 14RS 715

Bill Text Version: ENROLLED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For .:

Date: April 29, 2014 4:04 PM

Dept./Agy.: Wildlife and Fisheries **Subject:** Skimmer nets

Author: HARRISON

Analyst: Stephanie C. Blanchard

EN NO IMPACT See Note

Page 1 of 1

Relative to size and configuration of skimmer nets

Proposed law limits the size of a double skimmer to no larger than a 72 feet opening and a lead line no more than 33 feet. Present law prohibits a person from tying individual skimmer nets to exceed the size limitation for double skimmers. Proposed law retains this prohibition. Present law prohibits the mounting of a skimmer net or butterfly net more than 24 inches from the side of the vessel. Proposed law repeals present law. Further prohibits the use of a double skimmer where the horizontal frame extends more than 20 feet from the gunwale of the vessel. Present law prohibits a person from using sweeper devices, leads, extensions, wings, or other attachments in conjunction with or attached to butterfly nets or skimmer nets. Proposed law retains this prohibition for butterfly nets but repeals it for skimmers.

EXPENDITURES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	C	Brasseaux
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}			\Box 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}		is casseaux
	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			Evan Brassea	ux
Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Staff Director		