

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **HB 884** HLS 14RS 1284  
 Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action: **w/ SEN COMM AMD**  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> May 29, 2014	6:55 PM	<b>Author:</b> ST. GERMAIN
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b>		<b>Analyst:</b> Greg Albrecht
<b>Subject:</b> Mineral Revenue Dedications To Stabilization Accounts		

FUNDS/FUNDING RE1 SEE FISC NOTE GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1  
 Provides with regard to dedications of certain excess mineral revenues and deposits into the Budget Stabilization Fund

*The description below is from the fiscal note on HB 629 Reengrossed with Senate Committee amendments, the proposed constitutional amendment to which this bill is the statutory companion. The bill creates a Transportation Stabilization Account and a Budget Stabilization Account within the existing Budget Stabilization Fund, renamed the Budget and Transportation Stabilization Fund. Mineral revenue in excess of the thresholds in current law (roughly \$950 million) are first deposited to the Budget Account, until that account's balance is increased in stages to \$600 million by FY18. Then that maximum balance is adjusted annually by an inflation factor determined by the Revenue Estimating Conference. In FY18, \$100 million of excess mineral revenue is to be deposited into the Transportation Account, then no deposits are made in FY19, and then \$50 million per year is deposited until \$500 million has been deposited. The treasurer is to transfer up to \$50 million per year to the Transportation Trust Fund. If an infrastructure bank is established, these monies shall capitalize the bank. If monies are withdrawn from the Budget Account, as authorized in current law, no deposits to the Stabilization Fund as a whole shall occur in the fiscal year for which the withdrawal is utilized or in the ensuing fiscal year. Effective upon electorate adoption of amendments to the State Constitution proposed by HB 629 of this legislative session.*

<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

  

<b>REVENUES</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000,000	\$0	<b>\$90,000,000</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$90,000,000)	\$0	<b>(\$90,000,000)</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

*The discussion below is from the fiscal note on HB 629 Reengrossed with Senate Committee amendments, the proposed constitutional amendment to which this bill is the statutory companion.*

Under the latest official revenue forecast adopted May 19, 2014, \$25 million is expected to be deposited into the Budget Stabilization Fund in each of the next three fiscal years (FY15 - FY17), and \$270 million is expected to be deposited in FY18. Under this bill, the already anticipated \$25 million per year deposits would be made into the Budget Stabilization Account in FY15 - FY17, and then an \$80 million deposit would be made in FY18, bringing its balance up to the maximum balances specified in the bill for each year (\$470 million FY15, \$495 million FY16, \$520 million FY17, and \$600 million FY18). At that point, the Budget Stabilization Account maximum balance of \$600 million would be adjusted each year for inflation, as determined by the REC.

In FY18, \$100 million of mineral revenue in excess of the thresholds in current law (roughly \$950 million) would be deposited into the Transportation Stabilization Account. No deposit would be made in FY19, and then \$50 million per year would be deposited, so long as sufficient mineral revenue is received by the state each year as currently anticipated in the official forecast, until a total of \$500 million has been deposited. These deposits are transferred to the Transportation Trust Fund each year or would be used to capitalize an infrastructure bank, should one be established.

The fiscal effect of the bill is summarized in the table above. For FY15 - FY17 there is no effect relative to the current official forecast, since the \$25 million per year deposits to the Budget Stabilization Account are already anticipated in the forecast (as deposits to the Budget Stabilization Fund). For FY18, the official forecast already anticipates a \$270 million loss to the general fund as a deposit that would be made to the Budget Stabilization Fund. This bill makes a \$100 million deposit to the Transportation Account and an \$80 million deposit to the Budget Account (filling it to its maximum). This leaves \$90 million to be retained by the SGF and not to be deposited to the Budget Stabilization Fund. For FY19, the official forecast anticipates no SGF diversions since the Budget Stabilization Fund would have been filled at that point, and this bill would make no deposit to the Transportation Account in that year. From FY20, the bill would deposit \$50 million per year of SGF revenue into the Transportation Account, and continues to do so each year until a total of \$500 million of deposits have been made (by 2027). Specific amounts in each year from FY18 can differ from those discussed above depending upon the availability of excess mineral revenue and the inflation adjustment applied to the Budget Stabilization Account.

- |   |                            |       |  |
|---|----------------------------|-------|--|
| Senate  | <u>Dual Referral Rules</u> | House | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}       |                            |       | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H} |                            |       | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S} |

**John D. Carpenter**  
**Legislative Fiscal Officer**