

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **HB 634** HLS 15RS 1274  
 Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action:  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> April 9, 2015	5:38 PM	<b>Author:</b> LAMBERT
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b>		<b>Analyst:</b> Travis McIlwain
<b>Subject:</b> Budget Stabilization Fund/Transportation Trust Fund (TTF)		

FUNDS/FUNDING OR DECREASE GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1  
 Dedicates mineral revenue in excess of the amount required to be deposited into the Budget Stabilization Fund to the Transportation Trust Fund  
 Proposed bill requires mineral revenue over the base amount be deposited into the Transportation Trust Fund if deposits into the Budget Stabilization Fund are prohibited due to it being at its annual maximum capacity.

<b>EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>2019-20</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

  

<b>REVENUES</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>2019-20</b>	<b>5 -YEAR TOTAL</b>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	DECREASE	<b>\$0</b>
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Ded./Other	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	INCREASE	
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<b><u>\$0</u></b>
<b>Annual Total</b>						

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

The proposed constitutional amendment requires the excess mineral revenues (once the Budget Stabilization Fund has reached its maximum fund balance capacity) to be dedicated to the TTF instead of flowing into the state general fund (SGF). Based upon {a} the current adopted mineral revenue forecast (REC 1/26/2015), {b} the Budget Stabilization Fund's current fund balance (\$444.7 million), {c} the FY 15 calculated fund cap (\$811.4 million), {d} R.S. 39:94(C)(b) (which provides that no deposits of mineral revenue be made into the Budget Stabilization Fund until the official forecast exceeds SGF revenue collections for FY 08 of \$10.2 billion), and {e} Act 646 of 2014 which provides a replenishment schedule, the TTF may begin to receive funds that would have otherwise stayed within the SGF in FY 20 in the amount of \$79 million. However, the fund's maximum cap is calculated annually and there no certainty associated with the amount of funds that may be transferred into the fund from designated nonrecurring resources. Thus, the fund could reach its maximum capacity sooner or later than FY 20.

- |   |                            |              |  |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| <u>Senate</u>   | <u>Dual Referral Rules</u> | <u>House</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}       |                            |              | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 Rev. Red. to State {H & S}                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H} |                            |              | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S} |

**Gregory V. Albrecht**  
**Chief Economist**