

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note



Fiscal Note On: **HB 635** HLS 15RS 1237
 Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**
 Opp. Chamb. Action:
 Proposed Amd.:
 Sub. Bill For.: **REVISED**

Date: May 1, 2015	5:01 PM	Author: JACKSON
Dept./Agy.: Revenue		Analyst: Greg Albrecht
Subject: Reduce Certain Rebate Payments By 20%		

TAX/TAX REBATES OR +\$5,300,000 GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1
 Reduces all tax rebates

The bill reduces certain rebate payments by 20%. The programs affected are Donations to School Tuition Organizations, Procurement Processing Companies, Enterprise Zone, Mega-Project Energy Assistance, Quality Jobs Program, Headquarters Relocation, and Competitive Projects Payroll. The rebate reduction applies to cotracts entered into on or after July 1, 2015.

Effective July 1, 2015.

EXPENDITURES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

REVENUES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$5,300,000	\$10,600,000	\$10,600,000	\$10,600,000	\$37,100,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$5,300,000	\$10,600,000	\$10,600,000	\$10,600,000	\$37,100,000

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

While the rebate payments targeted by the bill are to be reduced by 20%, there is not likely to be a material effect in FY16, and the effect in subsequent years is likely to be smaller than might be anticipated given the number of rebate programs targeted by the bill. Since the bill is effective for contracts entered into on or after July 1, 2015, the length of time it takes participating projects to work completely through these types of programs to the point where a rebate payment is being claimed is typically in excess of one or even two years. Thus, even for active programs, gains in net state tax receipts in FY16 are unlikely. In addition, a number of these programs have no participation in them, very small participation, or no claims have been made yet to assess the baseline of activity that would be affected in future periods.

Of the targeted programs, only Enterprise Zone and Quality Jobs have a baseline of activity that can be used to estimate the potential effect of the rebate reduction of this bill. Quality jobs rebates have average some \$45 million per year since 2008. with regard to the Enterprise Zone program, the bill may only apply to the sales tax rebate component, which has declined over time and has averaged only about \$8 million the last two years. Assuming these baseline levels of participation continue in the future without regard to this bill, a 20% reduction in these rebates would amount to a \$10.6 million net state tax receipt gain. Possibly half of this showing up in FY17, then a full effect by FY18 and beyond.

To date the Revenue Department reports only one request for a rebate under the Donations to School Tuition Organizations, and no claims under the Procurement Processing Companies program, the Mega-Project Energy Assistance program, the Headquarters Relocation program, or the Competitive Projects Payroll.

- Senate Dual Referral Rules House
- 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
 - 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
 - 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
 - 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 Rev. Red. to State {H & S}
 - 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

John D. Carpenter
Legislative Fiscal Officer