## SLS 15RS-3763

## ORIGINAL

2015 Regular Session

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 207

BY SENATOR MURRAY

JUDGES. Requests the Louisiana Supreme Court to take all action necessary to protect the importance of the judicial branch as a separate branch of government and the independence of the judiciary in performing powers and duties pursuant to the federal and state constitutions.

1	A RESOLUTION
2	To urge and request the Louisiana Supreme Court to take all action necessary to protect the
3	importance of the judicial branch as a separate branch of government and the
4	independence of the judiciary in performing powers and duties pursuant to the
5	federal and state constitutions.
6	WHEREAS, Article II of the Constitution of Louisiana states in Section 1 that, "The
7	powers of government of the state are divided into three separate branches: legislative,
8	executive, and judicial", and states in Section 2 that, "Except as otherwise provided by this
9	constitution, no one of these branches, nor any person holding office in one of them, shall
10	exercise power belonging to either of the others"; and
11	WHEREAS, as a separate branch of government the judicial branch has inherent
12	powers and also powers vested in it by the federal and state constitutions; and
13	WHEREAS, Article V of the Constitution of Louisiana states in Section 1 that "The
14	judicial power is vested in a supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, and other courts
15	authorized by this Article"; and
16	WHEREAS, Article V of the Constitution of Louisiana further states in part in
17	Section 5 that "The supreme court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all other courts",
18	and that the supreme court "has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings

against a member of the bar"; and

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

26 27

## WHEREAS, Article V of the Constitution of Louisiana further states in part in

3 Section 25 concerning the Judiciary Commission that upon:

"recommendation of the judiciary commission, the supreme court may censure, suspend with or without salary, remove from office, or retire involuntarily a judge for willful misconduct relating to his official duty, willful and persistent failure to perform his duty, persistent and public conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute, conduct while in office which would constitute a felony, or conviction of a felony. On recommendation of the judiciary commission, the supreme court may disqualify a judge from exercising any judicial function, without loss of salary, during pendency of proceedings in the supreme court. On recommendation of the judiciary commission, the supreme court may retire involuntarily a judge for disability that seriously interferes with the performance of his duties and that is or is likely to become permanent. The supreme court shall make rules implementing this Section and providing for confidentiality and privilege of commission proceedings", and also states that "Action against a judge under this Section shall not preclude disciplinary action against him concerning his license to practice law"; and

19 20

WHEREAS, in accordance with these powers, functions, and duties the Louisiana

21 Supreme Court has adopted rules governing the conduct of judges, including the disclosure

of certain personal financial information, and further maintains on its website a list of

23 delinquent persons who have failed to file, failed to timely file, failed to provide omitted

- 24 information or failed to provide accurate information as required by court rules concerning
- 25 personal financial statements; and

WHEREAS, in addition to the separate powers and functions of the judicial branch,

it has been recognized that even in an elected judiciary the role of the judge is separate and

29 distinct from the role of a politician and public official in the executive and legislative

30 branches; and

WHEREAS, it has been pointed out that the judiciary, unlike the executive or the legislature, "has no influence over either the sword or the purse; . . . neither force nor will but merely judgment." (The Federalist No. 78, p. 465 (C. Rossiter ed. 1961) (A. Hamilton) (capitalization altered)); and

35 WHEREAS, as further noted in one case:

"Two principles are in conflict and must, to the extent possible, be reconciled.
Candidates for public office should be free to express their views on all matters of
interest to the electorate. Judges should decide cases in accordance with law rather
than with any express or implied commitments that they may have made to their
campaign supporters or to others. The roots of both principles lie deep in our
constitutional heritage. Justice under law is as fundamental a part of the Western
political tradition as democratic self-government and is historically more deeply

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

25

rooted, having been essentially uncontested within the mainstream of the tradition since at least Cicero's time. Whatever their respective pedigrees, only a fanatic would suppose that one of the principles should give way completely to the other-that the principle of freedom of speech should be held to entitle a candidate for judicial office to promise to vote for one side or another in a particular case or class of cases or that the principle of impartial legal justice should be held to prevent a candidate for such office from furnishing any information or opinion to the electorate beyond his name, rank, and serial number"; and

- 10 WHEREAS, any direct or implied portrayal of judges as merely "politicians" like
- 11 those of other branches does a disservice both to judicial independence and to the proper role
- 12 of the judiciary in a representative democracy; and
- 13 WHEREAS, it has been pointed out that, "the public has a right to have judges
- 14 function differently from other elected officials in order to preserve the role of the judiciary
- 15 in our system of checks and balances"; and
- 16 WHEREAS, it has been further pointed out that:
- 17 "Communication between nonjudicial candidates and the public occurs, during 18 campaigns and all the rest of the time, via the same media and messages. 19 Communication to or from judges-except during campaigns-occurs in highly 20 structured and controlled ways-in trials and hearings, via evidence, testimony, and that "judges' 21 arguments, and brief" communications outside the 22 courtroom—except during campaigns—are almost entirely free of the self-promotion 23 and kinds of advocacy that is garden-variety when other elected officials address the 24 public"; and
- 26 WHEREAS, the Louisiana Supreme Court should seek to maintain the independence
- 27 of the judiciary, including the role of judge as distinct from politicians in the executive and
- 28 legislative branches; and
- WHEREAS, the Louisiana Supreme Court should be encouraged to take all action necessary to protect the importance of the judicial branch as a separate branch of government and the independence of the judiciary in performing powers and duties pursuant to the federal and state constitutions.
- THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Senate of the Legislature of Louisiana does hereby urge and request the Louisiana Supreme Court to take all action necessary to protect the importance of the judicial branch as a separate branch of government and the independence of the judiciary in performing powers and duties pursuant to the federal and
- 37 state constitutions.
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution be transmitted to the
   chief justice of the Louisiana Supreme Court.

The original instrument and the following digest, which constitutes no part of the legislative instrument, were prepared by Jerry G. Jones.

SR 207 Original

DIGEST 2015 Regular Session

Murray

Requests the Louisiana Supreme Court to take all action necessary to protect the importance of the judicial branch as a separate branch of government and the independence of the judiciary in performing powers and duties pursuant to the federal and state constitutions.