	LEGISL	ATIVE FISCAL OFFICE				
		Fiscal Note				
Contraction of the second s		Fiscal Note On:	HB	13	HLS 161ES	90
: Leg諧和tive		Bill Text Version:	ORIGI	NAL		
Fiscality		Opp. Chamb. Action:				
Elson Notes		Proposed Amd.:				
		Sub. Bill For.:				
<b>Date:</b> February 16, 2016	5:20 PM	Author: COX				
Dept./Agy.: Revenue						

 Subject:
 Reduces vendor discounts on alcohol and beer
 Analyst: Deborah Vivien

 TAX/ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE
 OR +\$1,500,000 GF RV See Note
 Page

TAX/ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGEOR +\$1,500,000 GF RV See NotePage 1 of 1Repeals the discount for accurately and timely remitting state excise taxes on alcoholic beverages and beer (Item #23)

<u>Current law</u> allows a discount of 3 1/3% of timely filing and payment of the state excise tax on high alcohol beverages (wine and liquor) and 2% of timely remittances of the state excise tax on low alcohol beverages (beer and malt liquor). Local excise tax remittances on low alcohol beverages are also allowed a 2% discount for timely remittance. The first handler remits the tax and receives the discount.

<u>Proposed law</u> repeals current law which eliminates the state discount rates for high alcohol content (wine and liquor) and low alcohol content (beer and malt liquor). Local discounts remain unchanged. The bill is effective March 1, 2016.

EXPENDITURES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u>2016-17</u>	2017-18	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	2020-21	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,500,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,500,000

## EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. The Department indicates that any implementation costs will be absorbed in the current budget. Though the cost of this bill is minimal, the aggregate impact of all session bills may require additional resources. Some implementation issues are expected if the bill is signed and rate changes are made effective in the middle of a month.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

According to the Department of Revenue, discounts for beer and alcohol totaled \$1.5M in FY15, with \$800,000 from alcohol sales and \$700,000 from beer sales. if the discounts are eliminated and sales remain stable, the state would retain roughly \$1.5M annually.

If the bill is signed by the governor such that it's changes are effective on March 1, 2016, the state would retain roughly 4/12 of the annual amount or \$250,000 during FY 16.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House		Shego V. alleelt
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 \text{ SGF Fiscal Cost } \{H \& S\}$	•0
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist