DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 384 Original	2017 Regular Session	Broadwater
IID 564 Oliginal	2017 Regular Session	Dioduwater

Abstract: Requires pay equality for employees in the state regardless of sex.

<u>Present law</u> requires that women who are employed by the state or a political subdivision of the state be paid the same as men employed by the state.

<u>Proposed law</u> repeals <u>present law</u> but expands the requirement that all citizens of the state employed by either a public or a private employer be paid equally for equal work, regardless of sex. Further, <u>proposed law</u> provides exceptions; paying an employee less than another employee is not discrimination if the differential is on the basis of a seniority system, a merit system, a system that measures earnings based on quality or quantity of production, or if the difference in compensation is based on a bonafide factor other than sex.

<u>Proposed law</u> prohibits any employer from taking any retaliatory action against any employee for inquiring about, disclosing, comparing, or otherwise discussing wage information.

<u>Proposed law</u> further prohibits an employer from taking any retaliatory action against an employee because the employee is exercising or attempting to exercise the rights given pursuant to <u>proposed</u> <u>law</u> including filing a complaint, providing information in any proceeding relating to his rights or the rights to another employee, or testifying at any proceeding regarding those rights.

<u>Proposed law</u> specifies that the agreement of an employee to be compensated less is not a defense to a violation of <u>proposed law</u>.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if an employee has a complaint regarding the rights afforded him by <u>proposed law</u>, that he give notice to his employer of his complaint and allot 60 days during which the employer can address the issues.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if the employer fails to address the issue within the 60 days, that the employee may file a complaint with the La Commission on Human Rights, who, in turn, has 180 days to investigate and render a decision.

<u>Proposed law</u> prohibits an employee from filing a civil suit during the time period during which the commission is investigating his complaint.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides for damages and attorney fees when the actions of an employer are found to have been discriminatory or retaliatory.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides a procedure for instances in which an employee has brought a frivolous claim, and provides a one year period of prescription.

<u>Proposed law</u> requires that employer create and preserve employee records and maintain those records for a period of 3 years during the employee's employment and for a period of 1 year after separation of employment.

Proposed law creates a public records exception.

(Amends R.S. 23:302(intro. para.), R.S. 44:4.1(B)(12), and R.S. 51:2256(intro. para.); Adds R.S. 23:334.1 through 334.8; Repeals R.S. 23:332(A)(3) and R.S. 23:661 through 669)