2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 115

BY REPRESENTATIVE CROMER

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

1	AN ACT
2	To amend and reenact Civil Code Articles 2350, 2369.3, and 2375, relative to community
3	property; to provide relative to a community enterprise; to provide for the effect of
4	a judgment of separation of property upon reconciliation; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1. Civil Code Articles 2350, 2369.3, and 2375 are hereby amended and
8	reenacted to read as follows:
9	Art. 2350. Alienation of movable assets of business:
10	The spouse who is the sole manager of a community enterprise has the
11	exclusive right to alienate, encumber, or lease its movables unless the movables are
12	issued in the name of the other spouse or the concurrence of the other spouse is
13	required by law.
14	A community enterprise is a business that is not a juridical person.
15	Revision Comments - 2017
16 17 18 19 20	The definition of "community enterprise" provided herein is not new. It has been relocated from Article 2369.3 to its more appropriate placement in this Article. <i>See Lanza v. Lanza</i> , 898 So. 2d 280 (La. 2005). The change in terminology from "legal entity" to "juridical person" is intended to be consistent with language used throughout the Civil Code and does not change the law.
21	* * *

HB NO. 115 ENROLLED

Art. 2369.3. Duty to preserve; standard of care

A spouse has a duty to preserve and to manage prudently former community property under his control, including a former community enterprise, in a manner consistent with the mode of use of that property immediately prior to termination of the community regime. He is answerable for any damage caused by his fault, default, or neglect.

A community enterprise is a business that is not a legal entity.

Revision Comments - 2017

- (a) The deletion of the reference to a community enterprise in the first paragraph does not change the law. That reference was both redundant and unnecessary. The duty to preserve applies to all community assets, regardless of their form.
- (b) The 2017 revision moved the definition of a community enterprise to Article 2350.

* * *

Art. 2375. Effect of judgment

A. Except as provided in Paragraph C of this Article, a judgment decreeing separation of property terminates the regime of community property retroactively to the day of the filing of the petition or motion therefor, without prejudice to rights validly acquired in the interim between filing of the petition or motion and rendition of judgment.

B. If a judgment has been rendered on the ground that the spouses have lived separate and apart either after the filing of a petition for divorce without having reconciled or for six months, in accordance with Article 2374(C) or (D), a reconciliation reestablishes the regime of community property between the spouses retroactively to the day of its termination the filing of the motion or petition therefor, unless prior to the reconciliation the spouses execute a matrimonial agreement to the contrary. This agreement need not be approved by the court and is effective toward third persons when filed for registry in the manner provided by Article 2332. The reestablishment of the community is effective toward third persons when a notice thereof is filed for registry in the same manner.

HB NO. 115 ENROLLED

1 C. If a judgment is rendered on the ground that the spouses were living 2 separate and apart without having reconciled for at least thirty days from the date of, 3 or prior to, the filing of the petition for divorce, the judgment shall be effective 4 retroactively to the date the petition for divorce was filed, without prejudice to rights 5 validly acquired in the interim. All subsequent pleadings or motions involving 6 matters incidental to the divorce shall be filed in the first filed suit. 7 **Revision Comments - 2017** 8 (a) The 2017 revision to this Article closed a gap that previously existed 9 when a judgment of separation of property was awarded on the ground that the 10 spouses had lived separate and apart for 30 days before the filing of a petition for 11 divorce. Under those circumstances, the Article failed to provide for a retroactive reestablishment of the community property regime. Further, the date to which a 12 13 reconciliation retroactively reestablished the community property regime in the case 14 of judgments of separation of property issued on other grounds was also flawed. 15 This revision clarifies the connection between reconciliation and the reestablishment of the community property regime in the wake of a judgment of separation of 16 property. 17 18 The 2017 revision deleted language referencing the effect of a 19 reconciliation on matters incidental to the cause of action for divorce. Procedural 20 matters, including consolidation of suits and cumulation of actions, are governed by 21 the Code of Civil Procedure. SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: ____