

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICEFiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 548 HLS 18RS 599

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: April 11, 2018 1:30 PM Author: MILLER, D.

Dept./Agy.:LA Board of Pharmacy

Subject: Requires Electronic Transmission of Prescriptions

Analyst: Zachary Rau

DRUGS/PRESCRIPTION EG SEE FISC NOTE SG EX See Note Page 1 of 1 Requires, with limited exceptions, that prescribers transmit prescriptions for drugs to pharmacies electronically

<u>Proposed law</u> requires prescribers of opioid prescriptions to submit requests to pharmacies electronically, and provides for exceptions to the electronic submission requirement. <u>Proposed law</u> provides that any costs, fees, or expenses associated with the electronically transmitted prescription may be charged to the pharmacy provided the cost is consistent between pharmacies in LA. <u>Proposed law</u> provides that pharmacies may only be charged once for a medication and only if the prescription is filled. <u>Proposed law</u> provides for minimum data requirements in dispensing prescriptions. <u>Proposed law</u> provides for minimum penalties for violation of proposed law shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action by the prescribing practitioners' professional licensing board. <u>Proposed law</u> provides that no patient information and prescription drug information acquired from an electronic prescription may be used for data mining. <u>Proposed law</u> vests enforcement authority with the LA Board of Medical Examiners, LA board of Pharmacy, and the LA Board of Dentistry and allows the aforementioned boards to promulgate rules to implement proposed law.

EXPENDITURES	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law will increase SGR expenditures for the LA Board of Pharmacy by an indeterminable, though likely nominal, amount. The proposed legislation requires electronic transmission of opioid prescriptions except for under certain circumstances. The LA Board of Pharmacy Reports a potential one-time expenditure of \$4,000 associated with the proposed legislation. Included in the one-time \$4,000 expenditure is printing costs for rule promulgation to implement the proposed legislation (\$1,000) and travel reimbursements for the Board's Regulation Revision Committee to the extent an additional meeting is required (\$3,000). The LA Board of Pharmacy reports having sufficient SGR resources not currently obligated to absorb the aforementioned expenditures.

Furthermore, LA State Board of Medical Examiners and LA State Board of Dentistry may each incur one-time costs to promulgate rules associated with implementing the proposed legislation. Rule promulgation is estimated to carry a one-time expense of \$1,000 for each board, which can likely be absorbed utilizing existing resources and budget authority.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may increase SGR collections for the LA State Board of Medical Examiners and the LA State Board of Dentistry by an indeterminable amount. The proposed legislation contains new penalty provisions for practitioners not issuing opioid prescriptions electronically, stating that it shall constitute grounds for a disciplinary hearing, which may result in fines being levied against practitioners found in violation. Because the number of practitioners committing violations, as well as the associated amount of fines, is unknown, any revenue collected from fines is indeterminable and currently speculative.

<u>Senate</u> 13.5.1 >=	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u> \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	House $6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Evan Brasseaux
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		Evan Brasseaux Staff Director