2020 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 304

BY REPRESENTATIVE ZERINGUE AND SENATORS CORTEZ AND WHITE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2020-2021

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Eight Million Seven Hundred
8	Twenty-One Thousand Eight Hundred Seventeen No/100 (\$188,721,817.00) Dollars, or so
9	much thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the
10	judiciary, including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal
11	District Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals.

1	Concernal Derformance Informations				
4 5	General Performance Information:	2017	2010	2019	
5			2018		
6 7 8	Total Filings	2,181	2,117	2,096	
7	Total Appeals Filed	4	6	5	
8	Total Writs Filed	1,997	1,925	1,906	
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,039	2,344	2,183	
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.			
12	General Performance Information:				
13		2017	2018	2019	
14	Percentage of noncriminal case				
15	applications acted on within Supreme				
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	88%	83.4%	89.8%	
17	Percentage of criminal case applications				
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	34.9%	34.1%	42.9%	
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction				
21	applications acted on within Supreme				
22	Court standard of 120 days of filing	20.8%	23%	23.5%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25	standard of 120 days of filing	89.3%	85%	97 %	
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
28	from argument	73.2%	74%	77.1%	
	<i>J B.</i>	,.	, .		
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions		
31	General Performance Information:				
32	Scherwi I ergerniunee Ingerniunenn	2017	2018	2019	
33	Percentage of written opinions available	-017	2010	-017	
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
51	to the public within 5 days of decision	10070	10070	100/0	
35	Objective: To inform the public of operation	and activit	tion		
35 36	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activity	ties.		
36	Objective: To inform the public of operations <i>General Performance Information:</i>			2010	
		s and activit 2017	ties. 2018	2019	
36 37	General Performance Information:	2017	2018		
36 37 38	General Performance Information:	2017 70	2018 84	90	
36 37 38 39	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2017 70 65	2018 84 56	90 54	
36 37 38 39 40	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	2017 70	2018 84	90	
36 37 38 39 40 41	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	2017 70 65 18	2018 84 56 20	90 54 18	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2017 70 65	2018 84 56	90 54	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	2017 70 65 18 1,932	2018 84 56 20 2,054	90 54 18 2,153	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2017 70 65 18	2018 84 56 20	90 54 18	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835	90 54 18 2,153 4,777	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835	90 54 18 2,153 4,777	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835	90 54 18 2,153 4,777	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 I conduct, in 2017	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c 2018 29.47	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 I conduct, in 2017	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c 2018 29.47	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 integrity, and c 2018 29.47 543	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c 2018 29.47	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13	e bench.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546 1 conduct, in	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 ntegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428 ntegrity, and c	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474 ompetence of the	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546 1 conduct, in 2017	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428 htegrity, and c 2018	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474 ompetence of the 2019	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546 1 conduct, in 2017 14.76	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428 htegrity, and c 2018 14.75	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474 ompetence of the 2019 14.17	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546 1 conduct, in 2017	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428 htegrity, and c 2018	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474 ompetence of the 2019	
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	 General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: 	2017 70 65 18 1,932 3,489 1 conduct, in 2017 29.03 533 546 1 conduct, in 2017 14.76	2018 84 56 20 2,054 5,835 htegrity, and c 2018 29.47 543 428 htegrity, and c 2018 14.75	90 54 18 2,153 4,777 ompetence of the 2019 25.13 417 474 ompetence of the 2019 14.17	

1 2	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):	FY 20 EOB	FY 21
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the	<u>1120 LOD</u>	<u>1 1 41</u>
5		Supreme Court, as provided by		
6		R.S. 13:102	\$ 1,155,208	\$ 1,185,978
7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the		
8		Supreme Court Proper and salary of		
9		the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$ 4,963,652	\$ 11,502,926
10	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's		
11		Office and of the Judiciary		
12		Commission provided for in Article V,		
13		Section 25 of the Constitution of		
14		Louisiana and under the provisions of		
15		R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
16	A.	Expenses of Judicial		
17		Administrator's Office	\$ 4,595,723	\$ 4,987,548

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; and support for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides support for initiatives to ensure access to the courts for limited English proficiency individuals; provides outreach services to state and local courts, applies for and administers grants to improve judicial administration; and staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's office administers several programs, including the Supreme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, the Louisiana Protective Order Registry, and CASA and FINS through the Division of Children and Families. The Judicial Administrator's office also provides staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.

B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,853,140	\$	2,079,002
-------------------------------------	----	-----------	----	-----------

Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under
 Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints
 of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure,
 suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.

38 39	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$ 343,317	\$ 369,429
40 41	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$ 170,061	\$ 178,565
42 43 44	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
45 46 47 48 49	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$ 1,126,948	\$ 1,245,129
50 51 52	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$ 1,669,075	\$ 1,812,665

1 **Program Description:** The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information needs of the state judiciary, and is open to members of the bar and public.

3 4 5 6	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	32,095	\$	32,095
7 8 9 10	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	916,846	\$	935,183
11 12 13 14	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	939,894	\$	958,692
15	10	Judicial College	\$	31,938	\$	119,563
16 17		m Description: <i>The Judicial College was establis</i> <i>continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	shed b	y order of the Sup	oreme	Court in 1976 to
18 19 20 21 22	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,810,289	\$	20,407,214
23 24	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	231,546	\$	352,983
25 26 27	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,012,320	\$	1,299,782
32	15	Information Technology	\$	1,069,760	\$	1,332,214
33 34 35 36	16	Payable out of State General Fund for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,927,296	\$	2,325,393

Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by
 developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating
 the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing
 and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance
 indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability;
 and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.

44	17	Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts	\$	9,240,844	\$	10,860,417
----	----	-------------------------------------	----	-----------	----	------------

Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts, authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301-5304; Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401; Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358; Swift and Certain Program, authorized by R.S. 13:5371; and Veterans Treatment Courts, authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place participants in need of services into appropriate

TOTAL SUPREME COURT

community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and 1 2 3 monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements pursuant to current best practices and the proven national model. 4 Court Appointed Special Advocates 1,794,035 2,965,884 18 \$ \$ 5 **Program Description:** The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of 6 7 8 9 children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best 10 interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the 11 judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. 12 TOTAL - GENERAL FUND (DIRECT) <u>51,946,987</u> 65,013,662 13 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 14 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, 15 16 R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 17 estimated at \$ 6,223,724 \$ 6,223,724 18 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature 19 20 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 21 20 Payable out of the State General 22 Fund from Statutory Dedications, 23 Trial Court Case Management 24 Information Fund, for the Case 25 Management Information System, 26 Article 887(F) of the Code of 27 Criminal Procedure, be it more or less 28 estimated at \$ 4,017,201 \$ 4,017,201 29 30 31 32 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. 33 34 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under 35 C.Cr.P.887(F). TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 36 37 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 10,240,925 10,240,925 38 21 Drug court maintenance and 39 enhancement, payable out of the State 40 General Fund through Interagency 41 Transfers from the Department of 42 \$ Children and Family Services 5,400,000 \$ 5,400,000 43 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, 44 payable out of the State General Fund 45 through Interagency Transfers from the 46 Department of Children and Family 47 Services \$ 3,992,850 \$ 3,992,850 48 TOTAL- STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH 49 INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 9,392,850 9,392,850 50

71,580,762

84,647,437

1 03-8171 **COURTS OF APPEAL**

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

8 9 10 11 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

12 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

13 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower 14 15 tribunals. **General Performance Information:**

15	Genei	ral Performance Information:					
16		5 5	2017	2018	2019		
17	T (1		1 007	1.027	1 702		
18		appeals filed	1,897	1,827	1,702		
10		writs filed	3,420	3,345	3,364		
19	Total	dispositions rendered	4,439	4,431	4,259		
20	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.					
21	Objec	ctive: To resolve cases expeditiously.					
22		ral Performance Information:					
21 22 23 24 25 26		5 5	2017	2018	2019		
24	Avera	ge number of days from lodging of the					
25		I to argument:					
26		Standard = no more than 175 days.					
$\overline{27}$		nal cases	147	153	148		
$\overline{28}$	Civil (162	148	153		
$\overline{29}$		in number of days for all cases	159	149	153		
	mean	in number of days for all cases	157	14)	152		
30	Avera	ge number of days from argument to					
31	rende	ring of the opinion:					
32	Time .	Standard = no more than 70 days.					
33	Crimi	nal cases	43	44	44		
34	Civil o	cases	44	44	48		
34 35	Media	an number of days for all cases	44	44	45		
36	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
27							
37		etive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions c	of the courts of	fappeal.		
38	Gener	ral Performance Information:					
39			2017	2018	2019		
40		ntage of written opinions available					
41	te	o the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
42	Pavable	out of the State General Fund (D	irect).				
	1 ayable	out of the State General I und (D	neet).	EV 3			EV 31
43				<u>FY 2</u>	0 EOB		<u>FY 21</u>
44	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	es				
45		and forty-eight (48) Judges of					
46		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	311	\$ 8,1	24,978	\$	8,342,412
10		the courts of Appear, R.S. 15.	511	φ 0,1	21,970	Ψ	0,512,112
47	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	ation				
	02						
48		and maintenance of the Court	01	*			
49		appeal, First Circuit		\$ 10,2	286,973	\$	11,205,994
50	02		<i>.</i> .				
50	03	Salaries and expenses of opera					
51		and maintenance of the Court	of				
52		appeal, Second Circuit		\$ 5,8	307,610	\$	6,105,936
53	04	Salaries and expenses of opera	ation				
54		and maintenance of the Court					
55		appeal, Third Circuit		\$ 8,8	339,437	\$	9,293,132
55		appear, rinte Circuit		ψ 0,0	ו נד, ינו	ψ	1,295,152

1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	8,221,408	\$	8,708,809
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	<u>\$</u>	6,019,956	<u>\$</u>	6,353,132
7 8	-	COURTS OF APPEAL- ERAL FUND (DIRECT)	<u>\$</u>	47,300,362	<u>\$</u>	50,009,415

9 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS 10 **REQUIRED BY STATUTE**

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in that parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic court in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in that parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

29 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

30 Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. 31 **General Performance Information:**

32		2017	2018	2019
33	Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
34	judges indicating actions taken in			
35	FY 2018-2019 to improve compliance			
36	with the Americans with Disabilities Act			
37	(ADA)	83.3%	87.5%	87.5%

38 39 40 41	Objective: To encourage all responsible publicosts of access to the trial court's proceedings of money, time, or the procedures that mu affordable.	and records	- whether mea	asured in term
42 43 44	<i>General Performance Information:</i> <i>Percentage of surveyed district court chief</i>	2017	2018	2019
45 46 47	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2018-2019 to assist self-represented litigants	85.4%	91.7%	93.8%

48 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in 49 a timely and expeditious manner.

50 51	Objective: To encourage timely case manag <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ement and pr	ocessing.	
52	<i>y</i>	2017	2018	2019
53	Number of parishes reporting criminal			
54	disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
55	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal			
56	disposition data to CMIS	100%	100%	100%

1 2 3 4 5 6	juo	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had en steps within FY 2018-2019 to reduc	2017		2018	2019		
5 6	del	lays and improve the timeliness case processing	93.8%	87	7.5%	93.8%		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Genera Percent jua tak ma	ive: To enhance jury service. Il Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their court had teen steps within FY 2018-2019 to ke jury service more convenient or	2017		2018	2019		
14 15 16	Goal: '	<i>Ective</i> To provide due process and equal prote the court; and to demonstrate integrity		e law to			ness	
17 18	Object	ive: To recognize new conditions of ons as necessary.	-				ourt	
19 20		l Performance Information:	2017	2	2018	2019		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	jua FY tra Percent jua	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2018-2019 to improve employee ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in	87.5%	85	5.4%	91.7%		
27 28		2018-2019 to install or implement hnologies	95.8%	95	5.8%	93.8%		
29 30		To maintain judicial independence, where the second seco			principl	le of comi	ty in	
31		ive: To inform the community of the c	court's struc	cture a	nd functi	on.		
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Percent jua reg and	I Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2018-2019	201 7 91.7%		2018 9.6%	2019 87.5%		
39		ut of the State General Fund (Di						
40 41	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety	-one		<u>FY 20</u>	EOB		<u>FY 21</u>
42 43		(191) District Judges as provid by R.S. 13:691	led	\$	27,91	18,847	\$	28,668,386
44 45 46	02	Office and travel expenses of I Judges as provided by R.S. 13: and R.S. 13:694, respectively		\$	1,28	35,250	\$	1,285,250
47 48 49	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge Civil District Court, Orleans P as provided by R.S. 13:691		\$	2,04	46,408	\$	2,101,348
50 51 52 53 54	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil D Court, Parish of Orleans, for sa of stenographers, clerks, law b stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 1	alaries ooks,	\$	8	30,500	\$	80,500
55 56 57 58	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Distr including retirement contributi provided by R.S. 13:966.1	ict Court,	, \$	11	14,514	\$	117,535

1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	6,541,846	\$	6,950,507
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	584,653	\$	610,546
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	400,707	\$	413,728
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	286,234	\$	292,616
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
23 24 25	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000
26	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	39,353,270	<u>\$</u>	40,614,727

27 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal and Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

35 36 37	Judges of C	thirteen (13) District Criminal Court, Orleans rovided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,900,237	\$ 1,951,251
38 39 40	Criminal C	enses of Judges of Court, Orleans Parish as y R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750	\$ 74,750
41 42 43	for personr	re of group insurance nel of Criminal Court d by R.S. 42:851	\$ 694,766	\$ 729,504
44 45 46 47		thirteen (13) minute rovided by R.S.	\$ 288,064	\$ 293,811

1 2 3	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	449,821	\$	456,097
4 5 6 7	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	515,703	\$	534,781
8 9 10	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	7,950	\$	10,600
11 12 13	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	75,372	\$	76,845
14 15 16	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,312	\$	58,422
17 18 19	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	910,443	\$	1,002,268
20	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	695,417	\$	775,026
21	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	204,258	\$	229,942
22	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	87,744	\$	89,595
23	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$	391,859	\$	424,869
24	SUBT	OTAL	\$	6,353,696	\$	6,707,761
25	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
26 27 28	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,900,237	\$	1,951,251
29 30	B.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	584,688	\$	600,385
31 32 33 34	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	103,500	\$	97,750
35	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	2,588,425	<u>\$</u>	2,649,386
36		L DISTRICT COURTS - SALARIES	Ψ	<u>2,300,4</u> 23	Ψ	2,077,300
30 37 38	AND	OFFICE EXPENSES AS REQUIRED TATUTE- GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	<u>\$</u>	48,295,391	<u>\$</u>	49,971,874

56789

18 19

OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES AS 1 03-8173 2 **REQUIRED BY STATUTE**

Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal and traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).

Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

10 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

11 Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to 12 13 14 15 16 17 the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. **General Performance Information:**

Ocherui I erjörmunde Injörmunon.			
<i>v v</i>	2017	2018	2019
Percentage of surveyed city/parish court			
chief judges indicating actions taken			
in FY 2018-2019 to assist			
pro se litigants	98.1%	94.1%	98%

20 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in $\overline{21}$ a timely and expeditious manner.

2	Objective: To encourage timely case m	nanagement and	l processing.	
3	General Performance Information:			
ŀ		2017	2018	20

22 23	Objective: To encourage timely case ma <i>General Performance Information:</i>	nagement an	d processing.	
24		2017	2018	2019
25 26 27 28 29 30	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2018-2019 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	90.4%	82.4%	80.4%

31 Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in 32 its governmental relations and accountability to the public.

33 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. 34 General Performance Information: 2017 3010 2010

35		2017	2018	2019
36	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court			
37	chief judges indicating that their			
38	courts regularly provided public			
39	education and public outreach			
40	services in FY 2018-2019	88.5%	78.4%	86.3%

41 42 43 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2010

44		2017	201	8 201	9	
45	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court					
46	chief judges indicating actions taken					
47	in FY 2018-2019 to improve employe					
48	training and development	92.3%	78.49	% 86.3%	6	
49	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court					
50	chief judges indicating actions taken					
51	in FY 2018-2019 to install or					
52	implement technologies	90.4%	78.49	88.2%	/	
52	implement lechnologies	90.470	/0.4/	/0 00.27	0	
53	Payable out of the State General Fund	(Direct):				
54				FY 20 EOB		FY 21
	01 Colonian of sints (60) City Cours			1120202		<u></u>
55	01 Salaries of sixty (60) City Cour					
56	Judges as provided by R.S. 13:	1875	\$	2,831,732	\$	2,907,760

1 2 3 4	Tra Juc	aries of seven (7) Municipal and affic and one (1) Parish Court lge as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 01.1 and 2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	410,432	<u>\$</u>	421,450
5 6		THER COURTS REQUIRED UTE- GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	<u>\$</u>	3,242,164	<u>\$</u>	3,329,210
7 8	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIE REQUIRED BY STATUTE	S AND	OFFICE	EXPI	ENSES NOT
9 10	Payable ou	tt of the State General Fund (Direct):	1	FY 20 EOB		FY 21
10 11 12	01 Or	eans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	536,132	\$	<u>610,805</u>
13 14		n Description: <i>The program tracks and main</i> <i>uvenile Court. It also provides assistance in su</i>				
15 16	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	77,178	\$	89,893
17 18	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	61,877	<u>\$</u>	63,183
19 20		n Description: <i>The Judges' Assistance Prog</i> ges with substance abuse problems.	ram provic	les counseling	and ot	her assistance to
21 22		THER COURTS NOT REQUIRED UTE- GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	<u>\$</u>	675,187	<u>\$</u>	763,881
23	Section	n 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Sup	reme Co	ourt, or her o	duly a	uthorized and
24	appointed	agent, shall warrant the state treasurer	for the al	locations he	rein p	rovided, or for
25	so much th	nereof as may be necessary. The afore	said war	rant shall be	paid o	out of the state
26	general fur	nd, and the state treasurer shall pay s	aid warr	ant by prefe	rence	over all other
27	warrants, e	except warrants for the salaries of const	itutional	officers of th	ne stat	e and warrants

29 Act.

28

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State
General Fund on or before September 1, 2021.

for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this

D. For Fiscal Year 2020-2021, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in
this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year

in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
 Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G, General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

12 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 13 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 14 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 15 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 16 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 17 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 18 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 19 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 20 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2020; if vetoed by the governor
and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1,
2020, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The existing operating budget for FY 2019-2020 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2020-2021 as contained in the original bill.

Courts and Programs	Existing Operating Budget FY 2019-2020	Appropriation Request FY 2020-2021
By Expenditure:		
Supreme Court	\$ 71,580,762	\$ 84,647,437
Courts of Appeal	\$ 47,300,362	\$ 50,009,415
District Courts	\$ 48,295,391	\$ 49,971,874
Other Courts	\$ 3,242,164	\$ 3,329,210
Other Programs	\$ 675,187	\$ 763,881
Total Funding	<u>\$ 171,093,866</u>	<u>\$ 188,721,817</u>
By Means of Finance:		
Total General Fund (Direct)	\$ 151,460,091	\$ 169,088,042

Total General Fund (Direct)	\$ 151,460,091	\$ 169,088,042
Total Interagency Transfer	\$ 9,392,850	\$ 9,392,850
Total Statutory Dedications	\$ 10,240,925	\$ 10,240,925
Total Funding	<u>\$171,093,866</u>	<u>\$ 188,721,817</u>

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 304 Original	2020 Regular Session	Zeringue

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2020-2021 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$188,721,817 from the following sources: \$169,088,042 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$9,392,850 out of Interagency Transfers; and \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

		<u>FY 20 EOB</u>	<u>FY 21</u>
(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 71,580,762	\$84,647,437
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,300,362	50,009,415
(3)	District Courts	39,353,696	40,614,727
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,353,696	6,707,761
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,588,425	2,649,386
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,242,164	3,329,210
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	675,187	763,881
	TOTAL	<u>\$171,093,866</u>	<u>\$188,721,817</u>

Effective July 1, 2020