Louisiana Legislative	LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note						
Fiscal Office Fiscal Notes		Fiscal Not Bill Text Ve Opp. Chamb. A	rsion: ENGR				
THE R. P. MILL.		Proposed	Amd.:				
		Sub. Bil	l For.:	REVISED			
Date: June 1, 2021	9:33 AM		Author:	HOLLIS			
Dept./Agy.: Office of Financia	l Institutions						
Subject: Solicitors			Analyst:	Monique Appeaning			
BANKS/BANKING Provides relative to solicitors		EG SEE FISC NOTE SG EX		Page 1 of			

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes the commissioner of the Office of Financial Institutions (OFI) the use of enforcement powers in <u>present law</u> against a solicitor who violates <u>present law</u>. <u>Proposed law</u> provides for remedies in <u>present law</u> when a solicitor violates <u>present law</u> by false advertising contained in <u>present law</u> and the penalties contained in <u>present law</u> may be enforced by the attorney general.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if the commissioner of OFI seeks injunctive relief against a solicitor who violates this Section to enjoin the unlawful use of the name, trade name, trademark, service mark, or loan information, the commissioner shall not be required to prove actual damages as a result of this violations.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	<u>2024-25</u>	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	2024-25	2025-26	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

<u>Proposed law</u> **may** result in a significant SGR expenditure increase to the OFI and an increase of three positions or 1.25 FTEs to perform the work as a result of solicitor violations submitted to OFI. The estimates include salaries and employee benefits (employer's portion of retirement and insurance). Two of the positions are estimated at 0.5 of the annual salary for FY 22, and one of the positions is estimated at 0.25 of the annual salary for FY 22. It is anticipated that complaints would not begin to be received until October 2021 because it will take entities time to compile information to submit complaints after the statute is amended. **OFI reports total anticipated expenditures of \$226,737 in FY 22, increasing to \$418,320 in FY 23.** 

## The estimated cost for the positions (salary and related benefits) totals \$104,237 in FY 22:

Attorney III – (1/2 FTE) salary - \$30,916 + \$16,560 (employee benefits) = \$47,476

Reviewer Examiner - (1/4 FTE) salary - \$15,458 + \$8,280 (employee benefits) = \$23,738

Investigator II – (1/2 FTE) salary - \$20,600 + \$12,423 (employee benefits) = \$33,023

(Note: FY 23 (\$141,660), FY 24 (\$149,456), FY 25 (\$152,170), and FY 26 (\$159,818) assumes market adjustments and reallocations - if applicable).

Other Costs for potential litigation is estimated at \$122,500 in FY 22 for Civil Injunctions for entities not licensed by OFI. This includes 4 Civil Injunctions - \$110,000 (Attorney Fees) + \$12,500 for Court Costs) = \$122,500 (Note: FY 23, FY 24, FY 25 and FY 26 estimates increase to 6 Civil Injunctions - \$120,000 (Attorney Fees) + 15,000 for Court Costs) = \$276,660).

Attorney fees and court costs are estimated above based on filing four (4) civil injunctions. For two (2) of the injunctions, estimates were based on OFI prevailing without an Appeal. For the other two (2) injunctions, estimates were based on OFI prevailing with an Appeal. No estimates were considered where OFI would prevail because OFI would not file a civil injunction if OFI did not believe that it would prevail. Expenditure estimates anticipate a limited number of instances of receiving notice of solicitor violations. At this time, such notices are infrequent. However, a change in this law **may prompt more notices to OFI**. If this is a valid assumption, additional staff time will be required to investigate claims and build cases for enforcement through administrative law proceedings for persons/entities licenses or chartered by OFI or civil law injunctions against persons/entities not currently licensed or chartered by OFI. In addition, court costs and legal fees may be required, particularly for civil proceedings. Outside counsel more than likely would be hired to handle civil injunctive proceedings.

## The LFO cannot corroborate the anticipated expenditure and workload changes OFI provided. To the extent such impact occurs, the illustrative information provided by OFI will be needed to implement the changes as a result of <u>proposed law</u>. REVENUE EXPLANATION

<u>Proposed</u> law **may** have an indeterminable revenue impact on OFI. Any cost of enforcement not covered by civil money penalties assessed and not covered by the recovery of court costs and attorney fees, would be covered by increased annual assessments to Banks or Credit Unions, thus offsetting 100% of expenses incurred for enforcement.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	House	Alan M. Boderger
<b>x</b> 13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Alan M. Boxberger
	Change {S & H}	or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Staff Director