2021 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 81

BY REPRESENTATIVE PRESSLY

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute) Prefiled pursuant to Article III, Section 2(A)(4)(b)(i) of the Constitution of Louisiana. PRESCRIPTION: Provides relative to prescription

1	AN ACT
2	To amend and reenact Civil Code Articles 2041, 2534, and 3463, relative to prescription; to
3	provide for prescription of the revocatory action; to provide for prescription of
4	actions for redhibition and breach of the warranty of fitness for use; to provide for
5	the interruption of prescription; to provide with respect to prescription of actions for
6	recognition of inheritance rights; and to provide for related matters.
7	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
8	Section 1. Civil Code Articles 2041, 2534, and 3463 are hereby amended and
9	reenacted to read as follows:
10	Art. 2041. Action must be brought within one year
11	The action of the obligee must be brought within one year from the time he
12	learned or should have learned of the act, or the result of the failure to act, of the
13	obligor that the obligee seeks to annul, but never after three years from the date of
14	that act or result.
15	The three year period provided in this Article shall not apply in cases of
16	fraud.
17	Revision Comments - 2021
18 19 20 21 22 23	This revision changes the law by deleting the second paragraph of prior Article 2041, which was added in 2013 and which created an exception to the three-year period in the first paragraph in cases of fraud. The 2013 amendment had the potential to create instability in title to immovables, as any instance in which a transfer of property occurred "fraudulently" and in violation of the law on revocatory actions potentially allowed the original transferor to recover the property within "one

Page 1 of 5

CODING: Words in struck through type are deletions from existing law; words <u>underscored</u> are additions.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	year from the time he learned or should have learned of the act, or the result of the failure to act." The three-year period provided in this Article creates an important protection for third parties and an obvious effort "to protect the security of transactions." In addition, the 2013 amendment risked re-injecting the concept of fraud into the revocatory action - a concept that was eliminated in the general revision to the law of obligations in 1984 because of the confusion and uncertainty that the concept of fraud caused. Accordingly, the 1984 revision eliminated the concept of fraud from the revocatory action and in its place substituted the concept of insolvency. This revision restores Article 2041 to its original text as revised in 1984.		
11	* * *		
12	Art. 2534. Prescription		
13	A. (1) The action for redhibition against a seller who did not know of the		
14	existence of a defect in the thing sold prescribes and the action asserting that a thing		
15	is not fit for its ordinary or intended use prescribe in four two years from the day of		
16	delivery of such the thing was made to the buyer or one year from the day the defect		
17	or unfitness was discovered by the buyer, whichever occurs first.		
18	(2) However, when the defect is of residential or commercial immovable		
19	property, an action for redhibition against a seller who did not know of the existence		
20	of the defect prescribes in one year from the day delivery of the property was made		
21	to the buyer.		
22	B. The action for redhibition against a seller who knew, or is presumed to		
23	have known, of the existence of a defect in the thing sold prescribes in one year from		
24	the day the defect was discovered by the buyer or ten years from the perfection of the		
25	contract of sale, whichever occurs first.		
26	C. In any case prescription on an action for redhibition is interrupted when		
27	the seller accepts the thing for repairs and commences anew from the day he tenders		
28	it back to the buyer or notifies the buyer of his refusal or inability to make the		
29	required repairs.		
30	Revision Comments - 2021		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	(a) This revision changes the law to create uniform prescriptive periods for movables and immovables. It maintains the distinction between sellers who knew or should have known of the defect in the thing sold as opposed to those sellers who did not. Prior law created separate prescriptive periods for the sale of movables and for the sale of "residential or commercial immovable[s]," and in many instances it provided a longer prescriptive period for the sale of movables. Moreover, the creation of a special prescriptive period for redhibitory defects in		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

"residential or commercial immovable property" created uncertainty as to the prescriptive period for other immovable property. See, e.g., MGD Partners, LLC v. 5-Z Investments, Inc., 145 So. 3d 1053 (La. App. 1 Cir. 2014) (holding that a claim for redhibitory defects in undeveloped immovable property is subject to "the four-year prescriptive period and/or discovery rule of La. Civ. Code art. 2534(A)(1) ... and not the one-year prescriptive period found in La. Civ. Code art. 2534(A)(2), which, by its terms, pertains to residential or commercial immovable property.") This revision makes all good faith sellers subject to a uniform prescriptive period of two years from the day of delivery of the thing to the buyer or one year from the day the defect was discovered by the buyer, whichever occurs first.

11 (b) This revision also unifies the relevant prescriptive periods for actions in 12 redhibition and those for breach of the warranty of fitness for use. Prior law 13 provided no specific prescriptive period for breach of the warranty of fitness for use. 14 Consequently, the ten-year prescription in Article 3499 prevailed. Because the law 15 on redhibition and fitness for use is largely overlapping, the dichotomy between the 16 prescriptive periods could create stark differences in outcome. See, e.g., Cunard 17 Line Ltd. Co. v. Datrex, Inc., 926 So. 2d 109 (La. App. 3 Cir. 2006). This revision 18 unifies the law on prescription for purposes of redhibition and fitness for use. 19 Because the law of sales does not distinguish between good faith and bad faith sellers 20 for purposes of the warranty of fitness for use, this revision does not purport to create 21 different prescriptive periods on that basis.

22 (c) This revision also provides clarity regarding the prescriptive period for 23 bad faith sellers. Comment (b) to the 1993 revision suggested that in all cases, "an 24 action in redhibition prescribes ten years from the time of perfection of the contract 25 regardless of whether the seller was in good or bad faith. See C.C. Art. 3499." 26 Article 3499, by its terms, however, applies only to personal actions in which a 27 prescriptive period is not "otherwise provided by legislation," whereas this Article 28 comprehensively provides for different prescriptive periods depending both upon the 29 characterization of the property and the good faith or bad faith of the seller. 30 Moreover, courts' rulings were not consistent in holding whether Article 3499 was 31 applicable in the context of redhibition. See, e.g. Tiger Bend, L.L.C. v. Temple-Inland, Inc., 56 F. Supp. 2d 686 (M.D. La. 1999); Mouton v. Generac Power 32 33 Systems, Inc., 152 So. 3d 985 (La. App. 3d Cir. 2014); Grenier v. Medical 34 Engineering Corp., 243 F. 3d 200 (5th Cir. 2001). This revision adopts a legislative 35 solution to this issue and provides that liberative prescription for an action against 36 a bad faith seller accrues in one year from when the defect was discovered by the 37 buyer or ten years from the perfection of the contract of sale, whichever occurs first. 38 For the time of perfection for a contract of sale, see Article 2439.

- 39 * *
- 40 Art. 3463. Duration of interruption; abandonment or discontinuance of suit
- 41

A. An interruption of prescription resulting from the filing of a suit in a

- 42 competent court and in the proper venue or from service of process within the
- 43 prescriptive period continues as long as the suit is pending.
- 44 B. Interruption is considered never to have occurred if the plaintiff abandons
- 45 <u>the suit</u>, voluntarily dismisses the action <u>suit</u> at any time either before the defendant
- 46 has made any appearance of record or thereafter, or fails to prosecute the suit at the
- 47 trial. A settlement and subsequent The dismissal of a defendant suit pursuant to a

1	transaction or compromise shall not qualify as does not constitute a voluntary
2	dismissal pursuant to this Article .
3 4	Revision Comments - 2021
5 6	The 2021 revision makes semantic changes and is not intended to change the law.

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 81 Engrossed	2021 Regular Session	Pressly
		,

Abstract: Provides relative to prescription for revocatory and redhibition actions, interruption of prescription, and inheritance recognition.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 2041) provides that the revocatory action must be brought within one year of discovery of the obligor's act or failure to act but never after three years from the act or failure to act itself, except in cases of fraud.

<u>Proposed law</u> removes the exception to the three-year period provided by <u>present law</u> in cases of fraud.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(A)(1)) provides that actions for redhibition against good faith sellers of movables and immovables other than residential or commercial immovables prescribe four years from delivery or one year from discovery, whichever occurs first.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(A)(2)) provides that actions for redhibition against good faith sellers of commercial and residential immovables prescribe one year from delivery.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(A)) changes the prescriptive periods under <u>present law</u> by providing that actions for redhibition against good faith sellers of movables and immovables prescribe two years from delivery or one year from discovery, whichever occurs first.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 3499) provides that unless otherwise provided by legislation, personal actions prescribe in 10 years.

<u>Proposed law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(A)) creates an exception to <u>present law</u> by providing that actions for breach of the warranty of fitness for use prescribe two years from delivery or one year from discovery, whichever occurs first.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(B)) provides that actions for redhibition against bad faith sellers of movables and immovables prescribe one year from discovery.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present law</u> but provides an outside time limitation of 10 years from the perfection of the contract of sale, whichever occurs first.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 2534(C)) provides that prescription is interrupted when the seller accepts the thing for repairs and begins running again when the thing is returned to the buyer or when the buyer is notified of the seller's inability or refusal to make repairs.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present law</u> but clarifies that this provision applies only to actions for redhibition.

Page 4 of 5

CODING: Words in struck through type are deletions from existing law; words <u>underscored</u> are additions.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C. Art. 3463) provides that a settlement and subsequent dismissal of a defendant pursuant to a transaction or compromise does not qualify as a voluntary dismissal for purposes of determining whether prescription has been interrupted.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present law</u> but makes non-substantive changes to employ terminology that is consistent with language used throughout the Civil Code.

(Amends C.C. Arts. 2041, 2534, and 3463)

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

- The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Civil Law and</u> <u>Procedure to the original bill:</u>
- 1. Delete provisions repealing C.C. Art. 3502.