LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: HB **559** HLS 21RS

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For .:

Date: May 1, 2021

12:27 PM

Author: PRESSLY

Analyst: Monique Appeaning

Dept./Agy.: Board of Tax Appeals

Subject: Adjudication of local sales & use tax matters

Page 1 of 1

927

TAX OR SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Provides relative to the authority of local collectors to adjudicate local sales and use tax matters and the jurisdiction of the Board of Tax Appeals

Proposed law adds to present law under R.S. 47:33.40, "Power to conduct hearings" the following: "Hearings conducted pursuant to provisions of this section shall be conducted in an informal manner, shall not constitute an adjudication nor a trial on the merits, and the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure shall not be applicable." Under the same section of the law, this legislation provides that the collector may produce a record of the hearing and use the record to make a final determination of tax, penalty, and interest due. Any record of the hearing produced by the collector shall be used solely for administrative purposes by the collector and shall not be used as a trial court record in the Board of Tax Appeals or any other court. Under the "jurisdiction of the board" section of the law, the legislation adds the board shall have original jurisdiction and shall conduct hearings in accordance with the provisions of R.S. 47:1410(A). Proposed law adds under "the findings of fact, decisions, and opinions, in all cases and matters, including matters from the Local Tax Division", the board shall act as a trial court in the finding of facts and issuing of conclusions of law and shall conduct a trial de novo with a full evidentiary hearing. This legislation states that the provisions of this legislation are procedural and interpretative. Proposed law is effective upon the signature of the governor.

2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	5 -YEAR TOTAL
SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	5 -YEAR TOTAL
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 2021-22 \$0 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0	SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	SEE BELOW \$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in an indeterminable increase in expenditures in the Board of Tax Appeals (BTA). The agency reports reports that there are portions of the bill that are perceived as codifying present law, and there are portions that will require an increase in hearings for matters that would otherwise be resolved without a full evidentiary hearing (ex. summary judgment). BTA reports that any workload increase that may result in increased expenditures would be paid from the SGF for the administrative program, and from increased IAT or SGR for local cases. The magnitude of such impacts are indeterminable.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in an indeterminable increase in SGR as the agency anticipates an increase in the number of cases filed.

Ser	<u>nate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>
	13.5.1 >= \$:100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee

Change {S & H}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increaseor a Net Fee Decrease {S}

 $6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$

<u>House</u>

Alan M. Boderger

Alan M. Boxberger Staff Director