



**OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **HB 392** HLS 21RS 645
 Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED**
 Opp. Chamb. Action:
 Proposed Amd.:
 Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 6, 2021 5:33 PM	Author: MCMAHEN
Dept./Agy.: DeSoto Parish Police Jury	Analyst: Jamie Mergist
Subject: Criminal Court Fund/Expenses	

COURTS/CRIMINAL FUND EG NO IMPACT LF EX See Note Page 1 of 1
 Provides relative to the criminal court fund in DeSoto Parish

Purpose of the Bill: This bill authorizes the governing authority of DeSoto Parish to pay all or part of the expenses of the office of judge, but not the salary of the judge, and the expenses of the office of district attorney for the judicial district in which DeSoto Parish is located, and also all or part of the salaries of the employees of those offices, including assistant district attorneys, from the Criminal Court Fund of the judicial district in which DeSoto Parish is located.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	<u>2024-25</u>	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

REVENUES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	<u>2024-25</u>	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

Based on information from officials with the DeSoto Parish Police Jury and District Attorney’s Office for the 42nd Judicial District Court, this bill will not impact the expenditures of the Police Jury as the bill only authorizes the Police Jury to pay existing expenses from the Criminal Court Fund rather than the Police Jury’s General Fund.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

Senate Dual Referral Rules
 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}
 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House
 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

M. G. Battle
Michael G. Battle
 Manager, Advisory Services