

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB 191** SLS 21RS

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: w/ HSE COMM AMD

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 21, 2021 3:57 PM **Author:** CLOUD

Dept./Agy.: Insurance and Office of Group Benefits

Subject: Protecting Patient Access to Physician-Administered Drugs

Analyst: Patrice Thomas

INSURANCE POLICIES EG1 NO IMPACT See Note

Provides relative to coverage of certain physician-administered drugs and related services. (gov sig)

Page 1 of 1

434

Proposed law prohibits a health insurance issuer, pharmacy benefit manager, or their agent from refusing to authorize, approve, or pay a participating provider (clinic, hospital outpatient department or pharmacy) for providing covered physician administered drugs and related services to covered persons. Further prohibits a health insurance issuer, pharmacy benefit manager, or their agent from conditioning, denying, restricting, refusing to authorize or approve, or reducing payment to a participating provider for a physician-administered drug when all criteria for medical necessity are met. Proposed law prohibits a health insurance issuer, pharmacy benefit manager from requiring a covered person to pay an additional fee, or any other increased cost-sharing other than the amount to obtain the physician-administered drug when provided by a participating provider. Proposed law prohibits a pharmacy benefit manager from requiring an enrollee to pay an additional fee, higher copay, higher coinsurance, second copay, second coinsurance, or any other increased cost-sharing amount for a physician-administered drug when provided by a pharmacy, pharmacist, clinic, hospital, or hospital outpatient department.

EXPENDITURES	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. The proposed law prohibits a health insurance insurer, a pharmacy benefit manager (PBM), or their agents from refusing to authorize, approve or pay a participating healthcare provider in their provider network for providing physician-administered drugs and related services to a covered person. Since the proposed law provides prohibitions on existing benefits and is not a new mandate, the LA Department of Insurance (LDI) reports no direct material effect as a result of this measure.

The Office of Group Benefits (OGB) reports no direct material effect on pharmacy and medical claims expenditures under this measure. OGB has five self-funded health plans. None of OGB's plans have provisions that would prevent healthcare providers in their third-party administrator (Blue Cross and Blue Shield of LA) provider network and their pharmacy benefit manager (MedImpact) provider contracts from being reimbursed for physician-administered drugs. The majority of member claims in OGB's health plans for physician-administered drugs are processed as medical claims that are subject to medical necessity requirements.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	112	
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	\bigcirc 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	Alan M.	Doderger .
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Alan M. Boxberger Staff Director	