



**OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR  
Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **HB 392** HLS 21RS 645  
 Bill Text Version: **ENROLLED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action:  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> June 4, 2021 12:59 PM	<b>Author:</b> MCMAHEN
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b> DeSoto Parish Police Jury	<b>Analyst:</b> Jamie Mergist
<b>Subject:</b> Criminal Court Fund/Expenses	

COURTS/CRIMINAL FUND EN NO IMPACT LF EX See Note Page 1 of 1  
 Provides relative to the criminal court fund in DeSoto Parish

**Purpose of the Bill:** This bill authorizes the governing authority of DeSoto Parish to pay all or part of the expenses of the office of judge, but not the salary of the judge, and the expenses of the office of district attorney for the judicial district in which DeSoto Parish is located, and also all or part of the salaries of the employees of those offices, including assistant district attorneys, from the Criminal Court Fund of the judicial district in which DeSoto Parish is located.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	<u>2024-25</u>	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

  

REVENUES	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>	<u>2024-25</u>	<u>2025-26</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

**There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.**

Based on information from officials with the DeSoto Parish Police Jury and District Attorney's Office for the 42nd Judicial District Court, this bill will not impact the expenditures of the Police Jury as the bill only authorizes the Police Jury to pay existing expenses from the Criminal Court Fund rather than the Police Jury's General Fund.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

**There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.**

Senate      Dual Referral Rules  
 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}  
 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House  
 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}  
 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

*M. G. Battle*  
**Michael G. Battle**  
**Manager, Advisory Services**