## **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 184 Original

2022 Regular Session

**Gregory Miller** 

**Abstract:** Provides with respect to the recusal of judges.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C.P. Art. 154(B)) requires a district judge who is the subject of a motion to recuse to either recuse himself or request that the supreme court appoint an ad hoc judge to hear the motion.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the actions required by <u>present law</u> must be done no later than seven days after the district judge's receipt of the motion from the clerk of court.

Present law (C.C.P. Art. 158) provides for the recusal of a judge of a court of appeal.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if a motion to recuse a judge of a court of appeal fails to set forth a ground for recusal, the judge who is the subject of the motion may deny it without the appointment of another judge or hearing, but the judge shall give written reasons for the denial.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C.P. Art. 153) allows a judge to recuse himself in any cause in which a ground for recusal exists.

<u>Proposed law</u> adds a Comment to <u>present law</u> providing that the fact that a judicial complaint has been filed against the judge by one of the parties, without more, does not constitute a ground for recusal.

(Amends C.C.P. Art. 154(B); Adds C.C.P. Art. 158(C))