2023 Regular Session

1

ACT No. 5

HOUSE BILL NO. 230

BY REPRESENTATIVE GREGORY MILLER

2 To amend and reenact Code of Civil Procedure Articles 531, 561(A), 925(A)(introductory 3 paragraph) and (C), 927(A)(introductory paragraph) and (B), 963, 1155, 1424(C), 4 and 1702(A)(2) and (3), the heading of Code of Civil Procedure Article 1810, and 5 Code of Civil Procedure Articles 1912 and 3603(A)(introductory paragraph) and (2) 6 and R.S. 40:1231.8(B)(2)(a) and 1237.2(B)(2)(a), to enact Code of Civil Procedure 7 Articles 927(A)(8) and 1702(A)(5), and to repeal Code of Civil Procedure Articles 8 925(A)(6) and 5183(A)(3), relative to civil procedure; to provide for continuous 9 revisions to the Code of Civil Procedure and related provisions of the Revised 10 Statutes; to provide for actions pending in Louisiana courts; to provide with respect 11 to abandonment in trial and appellate courts; to provide for objections raised by 12 declinatory and peremptory exceptions; to provide for unopposed motions; to 13 provide for supplemental pleadings; to provide for privilege logs within the scope 14 of discovery; to provide with respect to notice in default judgment; to provide with 15 respect to the signing of final judgments; to provide with respect to temporary 16 restraining orders; to provide with respect to affidavits of poverty; and to provide for 17 related matters. 18 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: 19 Section 1. Code of Civil Procedure Articles 531, 561(A), 925(A)(introductory 20 paragraph) and (C), 927(A)(introductory paragraph) and (B), 963, 1155, 1424(C), and 21 1702(A)(2) and (3), the heading of Code of Civil Procedure Article 1810, and Code of Civil 22 Procedure Articles 1912 and 3603(A)(introductory paragraph) and (2) are hereby amended 23 and reenacted, and Code of Civil Procedure Articles 927(A)(8) and 1702(A)(5) are hereby

AN ACT

enacted to read as follows:

24

Art. 531. Suits Actions pending in Louisiana court or courts

When two or more suits actions are pending in a Louisiana court or courts on the same transaction or occurrence, between the same parties in the same capacities, the defendant may have all but the first suit action dismissed by excepting thereto as provided in Article 925. When the defendant does not so except, the plaintiff may continue the prosecution of any of the suits actions, but the first final judgment rendered shall be conclusive of all.

Comments - 2023

The replacement of "suits" with "actions" does not change the law but is in accordance with the court's ruling in Chumley v. LaCour, 339 So. 3d 766, 768 (La. App. 2 Cir. 2022).

* * *

Art. 561. Abandonment in trial and appellate court

- A.(1) An action, except as provided in Subparagraph (2) of this Paragraph, is abandoned when the parties fail to take any step in its prosecution or defense in the trial court for a period of three years, unless it is a succession proceeding:
 - (a) Which has been opened;
 - (b) In which an administrator or executor has been appointed; or
 - (c) In which a testament has been probated.
- (2) If a party whose action is declared or claimed to be abandoned proves that the failure to take a step in the prosecution or defense in the trial court or the failure to take any step in the prosecution or disposition of an appeal was caused by or was a direct result of Hurricane Katrina or Rita, an action originally initiated by the filing of a pleading prior to August 26, 2005, which has not previously been abandoned in accordance with the provisions of Subparagraph (1) of this Paragraph, is abandoned when the parties fail to take any step in its prosecution or defense in the trial court for a period of five years, unless it is a succession proceeding:
 - (a) Which has been opened;
 - (b) In which an administrator or executor has been appointed; or
- (c) In which a testament has been probated.

1	(3) (2) This provision shall be operative without formal order, but, on ex
2	parte motion of any party or other interested person by affidavit which provides that
3	states that no step has been timely taken in the prosecution or defense of the action,
4	the trial court shall enter a formal order of dismissal as of the date of its
5	abandonment. The sheriff shall serve the order in the manner provided in Article
6	1314, and shall execute a return pursuant to Article 1292.
7	(4)(3) A motion to set aside a dismissal may be made only within thirty days
8	of the date of the sheriff's service of the order of dismissal. If the trial court denies
9	a timely motion to set aside the dismissal, the clerk of court shall give notice of the
10	order of denial pursuant to Article 1913(A) and shall file a certificate pursuant to
11	Article 1913(D).
12	(5) (4) An appeal of an order of dismissal may be taken only within sixty
13	days of the date of the sheriff's service of the order of dismissal. An appeal of an
14	order of denial may be taken only within sixty days of the date of the clerk's mailing
15	of the order of denial.
16	(6) The provisions of Subparagraph (2) of this Paragraph shall become null
17	and void on August 26, 2010.
18	* * *
19	Art. 925. Objections raised by declinatory exception; waiver
20	A. The objections which that may be raised through the declinatory
21	exception include but are not limited to the following:
22	* * *
23	C. All objections which that may be raised through the declinatory
24	exception, except the court's lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action,
25	are waived unless pleaded therein.
26	Comments - 2023
27 28 29	The objection of lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter is deleted from the objections raised by declinatory exceptions and has been added as an objection that is raised by peremptory exception under Article 927.
30	* * *

Art. 927. Objections raised by peremptory exception

A. The objections which that may be raised through the peremptory exception include but are not limited to the following:

* * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

(8) The court's lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action.

B. Except as otherwise provided by Articles 1702(D), 4904(D), and 4921(C), the court may shall not supply the objection of prescription, which shall be specially pleaded. The nonjoinder of a party, peremption, res judicata, discharge in bankruptcy, the failure to disclose a cause of action or a right or interest in the plaintiff to institute the suit, or discharge in bankruptcy, the court's lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action may be noticed by either the trial or appellate court on its own motion. Once the objection of the lack of subject matter jurisdiction is raised by the parties or noticed by the court on its own motion, the court shall address the objection before ruling on any other matter. If an exception is noticed by the appellate court on its own motion, the exception shall not be adjudicated without assigning the matter for briefing and permitting the parties an opportunity to request oral argument.

Comments - 2023

The objection of the court's lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action may be raised through a peremptory exception. Paragraph B now mandates that in all cases where multiple objections are raised, the court should rule on the objection of lack of subject matter jurisdiction prior to ruling on any other matters. Under Article 3, a judgment rendered by a court having no jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or proceeding is void. Paragraph B has been further revised to clarify that if an appellate court raises a peremptory exception on its own motion, the court shall give the parties an opportunity to brief the exception and request oral argument. This provision allows the parties the opportunity to address the merits of a peremptory exception that is raised by the court for the first time at the appellate level. See, e.g., Thompson v. Winn-Dixie Montgomery, Inc., 181 So. 3d 656 (La. 2015) ("The court of appeal's failure to give the parties notice of its sua sponte determination or to provide them with an opportunity to be heard on the issue of operational control was legal error."); Merrill v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., 60 So. 3d 600 (La. 2011) ("[W]e find no error in the decision of the court of appeal to review issues not raised by the parties. However, having made the determination to review these issues, the court of appeal should have invited additional briefing from the parties prior to rendering judgment.").

37 * * *

Art. 963. Ex parte, and contradictory, and unopposed motions; rule to show cause

A. If the order applied for by written motion is one to which the mover is

clearly entitled without supporting proof, the court may grant the order ex parte and

without hearing the adverse party.

B. If the order applied for by written motion is one to which the mover is not

clearly entitled, or which requires supporting proof, the motion shall be served on

<u>C.</u> The rule to show cause is a contradictory motion.

and tried contradictorily with the adverse party.

D. An unopposed motion is one to which all affected parties have consented prior to the filing of the motion. The mover shall certify in the motion that the mover has obtained the consent of all affected parties both to the motion and to the accompanying order that is presented to the court. Failure to certify that all affected parties have consented requires the motion to be set for contradictory hearing.

Comments - 2023

Paragraph D was adapted from Louisiana District Court Rule 9.8(f) to codify the procedure used for unopposed motions. An unopposed motion should be served on all parties under Article 1313(C) by emailing the motion to the email address designated by counsel or the party to ensure that all parties have notice of the proposed unopposed motion and order. Similar to an ex parte motion, an unopposed motion may be granted by the court without hearing from the consenting party.

* * *

Art. 1155. Supplemental pleadings

The court, on motion of a party, upon reasonable notice and upon such terms as are just upon written consent of the parties, may permit the mover to file a supplemental petition or answer setting forth items of damage, causes of action or defenses which that have become exigible since the date of filing the original petition or answer, and which that are related to or connected with the causes of action or defenses asserted therein. If the parties do not consent, the court may grant leave to file a supplemental petition or answer only upon contradictory motion.

Comments - 2023

(a) This Article changes procedural law by providing that a party who wishes to file a supplemental pleading must either have the consent of all parties or file a contradictory motion. Previously, a party was permitted to file a supplemental pleading after obtaining leave of court and providing "reasonable notice," the

meaning of which was uncertain. The filing of a contradictory motion will guarantee that other parties are afforded an opportunity to object to the filing of a supplemental pleading and will therefore alleviate concerns with respect to what constitutes "reasonable notice."

(b) With this change to Article 1155, the practice of filing an "Amending and Supplemental Petition" should be avoided unless the petition contains causes of action that have become exigible since the filing of the original petition. Whereas the filing of an amending petition under Article 1152 requires only leave of court, the filing of a supplemental petition under this Article will require a contradictory hearing if all parties do not consent.

* * *

Art. 1424. Scope of discovery; trial preparation; materials

* * *

C. When a party withholds information otherwise discoverable under these rules by claiming that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation material, the party shall make the claim expressly and shall describe prepare and send to the other parties a privilege log that describes the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced or disclosed in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection.

Comments - 2023

This Article was amended in accordance with the court's opinion in Cloud v. Gibson, 344 So. 3d 253, 258 (La. App. 4 Cir. 2022) wherein the Fourth Circuit held that a privilege log under Paragraph C of this Article is mandatory and not discretionary. "Privilege log" is a generally accepted term that refers to a document that enables other parties to assess the applicability of a privilege or protection upon withheld information otherwise discoverable under the rules.

* * *

Art. 1702. Default judgment

30 A.

* * *

(2) If a party who fails to answer has made an appearance of record in the case, notice that the plaintiff intends to obtain a default judgment shall be sent by certified mail <u>or actually delivered</u> to counsel of record for the party, or if there is no counsel of record, to the party, at least seven days before a default judgment may be rendered.

1	(3) If all attorney for a party who fails to answer has contacted the plaintiff
2	or the plaintiff's attorney in writing concerning the action after it has been filed,
3	notice that the plaintiff intends to obtain a default judgment shall be sent by certified
4	mail or actually delivered to the party's attorney at least seven days before a default
5	judgment may be rendered.
6	* * *
7	(5) No default judgment shall be rendered against a defendant when notice
8	is required under Subparagraph (2) or (3) of this Paragraph unless proof of the
9	required notice is made in the manner provided by R.S. 13:3205.
10	* * *
1	Comments - 2023
12	(a) In addition to certified mail, this Article now includes actual delivery as certified notice of intent to obtain a default judgment.
14 15	(b) This Article is not intended to change Article 4904 relative to default judgment in parish and city courts.
16	* * *
17	Art. 1810. Directed verdicts; motion to dismiss at close of plaintiffs evidence
18	* * *
19	Art. 1912. Final judgment; multi-parish districts, signing in any parish in the state
20	A final judgment may be signed in any parish within the state in any place
21	where the judge is physically located and shall be sent to the clerk of the parish court
22	in which the case is pending.
23	Comments - 2023
24 25	This Article was amended to utilize identical language and comport with Article 194 as amended by Acts 2021, No. 68, §1, effective January 1, 2022.
26	* * *
27	Art. 3603. Temporary restraining order; affidavit or affirmation of irreparable injury
28	and notification efforts
29	A. A temporary restraining order shall be granted without notice from the
30	court when all of the following occur:
31	* * *

1	(2) The applicant's attorney certifies to the court in writing the efforts which
2	that have been made to give the notice or the reasons supporting his the applicant's
3	claim that notice should not be required.
4	* * *
5	Comments - 2023
6 7 8 9	This Article was amended to clarify that a temporary restraining order may be granted without notice only if the applicant or his attorney has certified in writing that notice has been given to the adverse party or his attorney, that efforts were made to give notice, or that reason exists as to why notice should not be required. See Comments-1985.
1	* * *
12	Section 2. R.S. 40:1231.8(B)(2)(a) and 1237.2(B)(2)(a) are hereby amended and
13	reenacted to read as follows:
14	§1231.8. Medical review panel
15	* * *
16	В.
17	* * *
18	(2)(a) A health care provider, against whom a claim has been filed under the
19	provisions of this Part, may raise peremptory exceptions of no right of action
20	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Article 927(6) or any exception or defenses
21	available pursuant to R.S. 9:5628 in a court of competent jurisdiction and proper
22	venue at any time without need for completion of the review process by the medical
23	review panel.
24	* * *
25	§1237.2. State medical review panel
26	* * *
27	В.
28	* * *
29	(2)(a) The state or a person, against whom a claim has been filed under the
30	provisions of this Part, may raise peremptory exceptions of no right of action
31	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Article 927(6) or any exceptions or defenses

1	venue at any time without need for completion of the review process by the state
2	medical review panel.
3	* * *
4	Section 3. Code of Civil Procedure Articles 925(A)(6) and 5183(A)(3) are hereby
5	repealed in their entirety.
	SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
	PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE
	GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

ENROLLED

HB NO. 230

APPROVED: