#### 2023 Regular Session

#### HOUSE BILL NO. 220

#### BY REPRESENTATIVE PRESSLY

### (On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

1	AN ACT
2	To amend and reenact Civil Code Articles 531 and 3440 and Code of Civil Procedure
3	Articles 1061, 3651, 3653 through 3655, 3656(A), 3657 through 3662, and 3669,
4	relative to actions to determine ownership or possession; to provide with respect to
5	petitory actions, possessory actions, actions for declaratory judgments to determine
6	ownership, and similar proceedings; to provide for proof of ownership of
7	immovables; to provide with respect to precarious possession; to provide for
8	reconventional demands; to provide with respect to cumulation of actions; to provide
9	with respect to disturbances in fact and in law; to provide with respect to possession
10	and admissibility of title; to provide for relief and appeals; and to provide for related
11	matters.
12	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
13	Section 1. Civil Code Articles 531 and 3440 are hereby amended and reenacted to
14	read as follows:
15	Art. 531. Proof of ownership of immovable.
16	One who claims claiming the ownership of an immovable against another
17	who has been in possession of the immovable for one year after having commenced
18	possession in good faith and with just title or who has been in possession of the
19	immovable for ten years must shall prove that he has acquired ownership from a
20	previous owner or by acquisitive prescription. If neither party is in possession In all
21	other cases, he need only prove a better title.

# Page 1 of 13

#### Revision Comments - 2023

1	Revision Comments - 2023
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(a) The 2023 revision of this Article changes substantially the burden of proof imposed upon a person claiming the ownership of an immovable against another who is in possession. Prior to the revision, this Article provided that in such cases, the claimant's burden of proof was to prove that he had acquired ownership from a prior owner or by acquisitive prescription. This burden of proof, which has often been characterized as the requirement of proving "title good against the world," applied even when the defendant was a usurper who had no title at all. See Pure Oil Co. v. Skinner, 294 So. 2d 797 (La. 1974). Application of that rule could lead to obvious inequities by allowing a usurper who was in possession for only one year to prevail against a party who might have been in possession for many years previously under a title that suffered from only minor defects. See Pure Oil Co. v. Skinner, 294 So. 2d 797, 799 (La. 1974) (Summers, J., dissenting).
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(b) The 2023 revision narrows the circumstances in which the person claiming ownership must prove that he acquired ownership from a prior owner or by acquisitive prescription. As revised, the Article provides that this onerous burden of proof applies only when the defendant has been in possession for one year after having commenced possession in good faith and with just title or when the defendant has been in possession for ten years, regardless of whether in good faith or with just title. Where neither of these circumstances applies, the burden imposed upon the claimant is merely to prove a better title than that of the defendant.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(c) The good faith and just title mentioned in this Article are identical to the good faith and just title necessary to start the running of the acquisitive prescription of ten years under Article 3475. "Good faith" is used in this Article with the meaning given in Articles 3480 and 3481. By the express wording of this Article, the defendant's good faith is measured only at the commencement of his possession. This is analogous to the rule that applies under Article 3482 for purposes of the accrual of the acquisitive prescription of ten years.
29 30 31 32	(d) The 2023 revision does not change the rule that a common author in title is presumed to be the previous owner. See Article 532; Weaver v. Hailey, 416 So. 2d 311 (La. App. 3 Cir. 1982). The presumption is rebuttable. See Article 532, comment (b).
33	* * *
34	Art. 3440. Protection of precarious possession
35	Where there is a disturbance of possession, the possessory action is available
36	to a precarious possessor, such as a lessee or a depositary, against anyone except the
37	person for whom he possesses.
38	Section 2. Code of Civil Procedure Articles 1061, 3651, 3653 through 3655,
39	3656(A), 3657 through 3662, and 3669 are hereby amended and reenacted to read as
40	follows:
41	Art. 1061. Actions pleaded in reconventional demand; compulsory
42	A. The defendant in the principal action may assert in a reconventional
43	demand any causes of action which he that the defendant may have against the

1	plaintiff in the principal action, even if these two parties are domiciled in the same
2	parish and regardless of connexity between the principal and reconventional
3	demands.
4	B. The defendant in the principal action, Except as otherwise provided in
5	Article 3657, and except in an action for divorce under Civil Code Article 102 or 103
6	or in an action under Civil Code Article 186, the defendant in the principal action
7	shall assert in a reconventional demand all causes of action that <del>he</del> <u>the defendant</u> may
8	have against the plaintiff that arise out of the transaction or occurrence that is the
9	subject matter of the principal action.
10	* * *
11	Art. 3651. Petitory action
12	The petitory action is one brought by a person who claims the ownership <u>of</u> ,
13	but who is not in possession does not have the right to possess, of immovable
14	property or of a real right therein, against another who is in possession or who claims
15	the ownership thereof adversely, to obtain judgment recognizing the plaintiff's
16	ownership.
17	Comments - 2023
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	According to the Civil Code, possession is a matter of fact, but the right to possess arises from possession for over a year and, once acquired, is lost if the possessor is evicted and does not recover possession within one year of the eviction. Civil Code Articles 3422 and 3434. For purposes of this Chapter, Code of Civil Procedure Article 3660 defines "possession" as possession in fact, rather than the right to possess, but this Article, among others, used the term "in possession" where the right to possess, rather than factual possession, was intended. The 2023 revision of this Article clarifies that a petitory action is brought by one who does not have the right to possess. A person who still has the right to possess even though he might have lost actual possession within the past year should bring a possessory action against the person who evicted him, rather than a petitory action under this Article.
29	* * *
30	Art. 3653. Same; proof of title; immovable
31	<u>A.</u> To obtain a judgment recognizing his ownership of immovable property
32	or real right therein the plaintiff in a patitany action shalls
	or real right therein, the plaintiff in a petitory action shall:
33	<ul><li>(1) Prove that he has acquired ownership from a previous owner or by</li></ul>

1	thereof; or for one year after having commenced possession in good faith and with
2	just title or that the defendant has been in possession for ten years.
3	(2) Prove a better title thereto than the defendant, if the court finds that the
4	latter is not in possession thereof in all other cases.
5	<u>B.</u> When the titles of the parties are traced to a common author, he the
6	common author is presumed to be the previous owner.
7	Comments - 2023
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a) The 2023 revision of this Article changes substantially the burden of proof imposed upon the plaintiff in a petitory action when the defendant has the right to possess. Prior to the revision, this Article provided that, if the defendant in a petitory action was in possession, the plaintiff's burden of proof was to prove that he had acquired ownership from a prior owner or by acquisitive prescription. This burden of proof, which has often been characterized as the requirement of proving "title good against the world," applied even when the defendant was a usurper who had no title at all. See Pure Oil Co. v. Skinner, 294 So. 2d 797 (La. 1974). Application of that rule could lead to obvious inequities by allowing a usurper who was in possession for only one year to prevail in a petitory action against a party who might have been in possession for many years previously under a title that suffered from only minor defects. See Pure Oil Co. v. Skinner, 294 So. 2d 797, 799 (La. 1974) (Summers, J., dissenting).
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(b) The 2023 revision narrows the circumstances in which the plaintiff in a petitory action must prove that he acquired ownership from a prior owner or by acquisitive prescription. As revised, the Article provides that this onerous burden of proof applies only when the defendant has been in possession for one year after having commenced possession in good faith and with just title or when the defendant has been in possession for ten years, regardless of whether in good faith or with just title. Where neither of these circumstances applies, the plaintiff's burden in the petitory action is merely to prove a better title than that of the defendant.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	(c) The good faith and just title mentioned in this Article are identical to the good faith and just title necessary to start the running of the acquisitive prescription of ten years under Civil Code Article 3475. "Good faith" is used in this Article with the meaning given in Civil Code Articles 3480 and 3481. By the express wording of this Article, the defendant's good faith is measured only at the commencement of his possession. This is analogous to the rule that applies under Civil Code Article 3482 for purposes of the accrual of the acquisitive prescription of ten years.
36 37 38 39	(d) The 2023 revision does not change the rule that a common author in title is presumed to be the previous owner. See Civil Code Article 532; Weaver v. Hailey, 416 So. 2d 311 (La. App. 3 Cir. 1982). The presumption is rebuttable. See Civil Code Article 532, comment (b).
40 41 42 43 44 45 46	(e) Prior to its 2023 revision, this Article contained another example of the use of the term "possession" with a meaning different from that given to the term in Article 3660. See, e.g., Griffin v. Daigle, 769 So. 2d 720 (La. App. 1 Cir. 2000) (explaining that the words "in possession" as formerly used in this Article required that the defendant have had corporeal possession for at least one year or civil possession for the same period of time preceded by corporeal possession). This inconsistency in terminology was eliminated in the 2023 revision.

## Page 4 of 13

1	Art. 3654. Proof of title in action for declaratory judgment, concursus,
2	expropriation, or similar proceeding
3	When the issue of ownership of immovable property or of a real right therein
4	is presented in an action for a declaratory judgment, or in a concursus, expropriation,
5	or similar proceeding, or when the issue of the ownership of funds that are deposited
6	in the registry of the court and which that belong to the owner of the immovable
7	property or of the real right therein is so presented, the court shall render judgment
8	in favor of the party as follows:
9	(1) Who If the party who would be entitled to the possession of the
10	immovable property or real right therein in a possessory action has been in
11	possession for one year after having commenced possession in good faith and with
12	just title or has been in possession for ten years, the court shall render judgment in
13	favor of that party, unless the adverse party proves that he has acquired ownership
14	from a previous owner or by acquisitive prescription; or would be entitled to a
15	judgment recognizing his ownership in a petitory action under Article 3653(A)(1).
16	(2) Who In all other cases, the court shall render judgment in favor of the
17	party who proves better title to the immovable property or real right therein, when
18	neither party would be entitled to the possession of the immovable property or real
19	right therein in a possessory action.
20	Comments - 2023
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The 2023 revisions to this Article are intended to conform the burden of proof in a declaratory judgment action or other proceeding in which ownership is at issue to the burden of proof that applies under revised Article 3653 in a petitory action. As with a petitory action, if one party has been in possession for one year after having commenced possession in good faith and with just title or has been in possession for ten years, even in the absence of good faith or just title, that party will prevail, unless the adverse party proves that he acquired ownership from a prior owner or by acquisitive prescription.
29	Art. 3655. Possessory action
30	The possessory action is one brought by the possessor or precarious possessor
31	of immovable property or of a real right therein to be maintained in his possession
32	of the property or enjoyment of the right when he has been disturbed, or to be
33	restored to the possession or enjoyment thereof when he has been evicted.

Page 5 of 13

2

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

Comments -	- 2023
------------	--------

The 2023 revision of this Article recognizes and complements a previous amendment to the Civil Code granting a precarious possessor, such as a lessee, the right to bring a possessory action against anyone other than the person for whom the precarious possessor possesses. See Civil Code Article 3440.

Art. 3656. Same; parties; venue

A. A plaintiff in a possessory action shall may be brought by one who
possesses for himself. A person entitled to the use or usufruct of immovable
property, and one who owns a real right therein, possesses for himself. A predial
lessee possessory action may also be brought by a precarious possessor against

- 11 <u>anyone except the person for whom he possesses for and in the name of his lessor,</u>
- 12 and not for himself.

\* \* \*

Comments - 2023

(a) The 2023 revision of this Article recognizes and complements a previous amendment to the Civil Code granting a precarious possessor, such as a lessee, the right to bring a possessory action against anyone other than the person for whom the precarious possessor possesses. See Civil Code Article 3440.

19(b) The statement in this Article that a usufructuary possesses for himself20means that the usufructuary has standing to bring a possessory action and does not21imply that a usufructuary can prescribe against the naked owner without taking the22steps required to terminate precarious possession under Civil Code Articles 3439 and233478.

- Art. 3657. Same; cumulation with petitory action prohibited or declaratory
  - judgment action; conversion into or separate petitory action by defendant
- 26 reconventional demand or separate suit asserting ownership or title
- A. The plaintiff may shall not cumulate the possessory action with either the
   petitory and the possessory actions in the same suit or plead them in the alternative,
   and when he does so he waives the possessory action or a declaratory judgment
- 29 and when he does so he waives the possessory action or a declaratory judgment
- 30 <u>action to determine ownership</u>. If the plaintiff brings does so, the possessory action,
- 31 and without dismissing it and prior to judgment therein institutes the petitory action,
- 32 the possessory action is abated does not abate, but the defendant may object to the
- 33 <u>cumulation by asserting a dilatory exception</u>. If, before executory judgment in the
- 34 possessory action, the plaintiff institutes the petitory action or a declaratory judgment
- 35 action in a separate suit, the possessory action abates.

#### Page 6 of 13

1	<u>B.</u> When, except as provided in Article 3661(1)-(3), the defendant in a
2	possessory action asserts title in himself, in the alternative or otherwise, he the
3	defendant does not thereby converts the suit convert the possessory action into a
4	petitory action, and judicially confesses or judicially confess the possession of the
5	plaintiff in the possessory action, but the defendant's assertions of title shall be
6	considered in defense of the possessory action only for the purposes stated in Article
7	<u>3661(B)</u> .
8	C. Unless the plaintiff in the possessory action seeks an adjudication of his
9	ownership, the defendant shall not file a reconventional demand asserting a petitory
10	action or declaratory judgment action to determine ownership. If, before executory
11	judgment in a possessory action, the defendant therein institutes a petitory action or
12	a declaratory judgment action to determine ownership in a separate suit he files
13	against the plaintiff in the possessory action, the plaintiff defendant in the petitory
14	possessory action judicially confesses the possession of the defendant therein
15	plaintiff in the possessory action.
1.0	
16	Comments - 2023
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Comments - 2023 (a) The 2023 amendment of this Article preserves the rule of noncumulation of the possessory and petitory actions and expands the rule to prohibit cumulation of the possessory action with a declaratory judgment action to determine ownership. At the same time, the amendment lessens the consequences for the plaintiff of an improper cumulation and eliminates the judicial confession of the plaintiff's possession that previously arose from the defendant's assertions of title in a possessory action.
17 18 19 20 21 22	(a) The 2023 amendment of this Article preserves the rule of noncumulation of the possessory and petitory actions and expands the rule to prohibit cumulation of the possessory action with a declaratory judgment action to determine ownership. At the same time, the amendment lessens the consequences for the plaintiff of an improper cumulation and eliminates the judicial confession of the plaintiff's possession that previously arose from the defendant's assertions of title in a

# Page 7 of 13

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(d) Prior to the 2023 revision, the consequences for a defendant who asserted title in himself in response to a possessory action were grave. Not only did his assertions of title convert the possessory action into a petitory action in which he became the plaintiff, but they also constituted a judicial confession of the other party's possession, thus triggering the onerous burden under Article 3653 of proving title good against the world. This harsh penalty has been removed. The defendant's assertions of title in a possessory action no longer convert the action into a petitory action or constitute a judicial confession of the plaintiff's possession; however, the defendant's assertions of title are considered in defense of the possessory action only for the limited purposes specified in Article 3661(B)(1) through (3). Thus, the defendant cannot divert the focus of a possessory action from the issue of possession to the often more complicated issue of ownership through the simple expedient of injecting issues of ownership in his pleadings.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(e) Unless the plaintiff in a possessory action has sought an adjudication of his ownership, the defendant is not permitted to assert a claim of ownership by reconvention. If the defendant asserts ownership by instituting a separate suit before judgment in the possessory action becomes executory, he judicially confesses the possession of the plaintiff in the possessory action. This judicial confession does not arise, however, if it is the plaintiff in the possessory action who institutes the separate suit to determine ownership while the possessory action is pending and the defendant reconvenes in that separate suit to assert his own claim of ownership.
22	Art. 3658. Same; requisites
23	To maintain the possessory action the possessor must plaintiff shall allege
24	and prove that all of the following:
25	(1) He The plaintiff had possession or precarious possession of the
26	immovable property or real right therein at the time the disturbance occurred;.
27	(2) He <u>The plaintiff</u> and his ancestors in title, or the person for whom the
28	plaintiff possesses precariously and that person's ancestors in title, had such
29	possession quietly and without interruption for more than a year immediately prior
30	to the disturbance, unless evicted by force or fraud;.
31	(3) The disturbance was one in fact or in law, as defined in Article 3659;
32	and.
33	(4) The possessory action was instituted within a year of the disturbance.
34	Comments - 2023
35 36 37 38 39	The 2023 amendments to this Article recognize that a precarious possessor may bring a possessory action. The precarious possessor himself need not have exercised his precarious possession for a full year prior to the disturbance; it suffices if the person for whom he possesses precariously, or that person's ancestors in title, have had possession for a year.

# Page 8 of 13

1	Art. 3659. Same; disturbance in fact and in law defined
2	<u>A.</u> Disturbances of possession which that give rise to the possessory action
3	are of two kinds: disturbance in fact and disturbance in law.
4	<u>B.</u> A disturbance in fact is an eviction, or any other physical act which that
5	prevents the possessor of immovable property or of a real right therein from enjoying
6	his possession quietly, or which that throws any obstacle in the way of that
7	enjoyment.
8	C. A disturbance in law is the occurrence or existence of any of the
9	following adversely to the possessor of immovable property or a real right therein:
10	(1) The execution, recordation, or registry, or continuing existence of record
11	after the possessor or his ancestors in title acquired the right to possess, of any
12	instrument which that asserts or implies a right of ownership or right to the
13	possession of <u>the</u> immovable property or <del>of</del> a real right therein <del>, or any</del> .
14	(2) The continuing existence of record of any instrument that asserts or
15	implies a right of ownership or right to the possession of the immovable property or
16	a real right therein, unless the instrument was recorded before the possessor and his
17	ancestors in title commenced possession.
18	(3) Any other claim or pretension of ownership or right to the possession
19	thereof of the immovable property or a real right therein, whether written or oral,
20	except when asserted in an action or proceeding, adversely to the possessor of such
21	property or right.
22	Comments - 2023
23 24 25 26	(a) The 2023 amendments to this Article clarify when a disturbance in law must arise, in relation to the time that the plaintiff enters into possession or acquires the right to possess, in order for the disturbance to form the basis of a possessory action.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	(b) Under Subparagraph (C)(1) of this Article, the plaintiff in a possessory action or his ancestors in title must have acquired the right to possess before the execution, recordation, or registry of an instrument that is claimed to constitute a disturbance in law. Thus, the plaintiff cannot complain that a previously recorded instrument, such as a prior conveyance in favor of the defendant, constitutes a disturbance in law of his possession. Similarly, under Subparagraph (C)(2), the continuing existence of record of an adverse instrument does not constitute a disturbance in law if the instrument was recorded before the possessor and his ancestors in title commenced possession.

# Page 9 of 13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

37

(c) The temporal difference between Subparagraph (C)(1) (which refers to the time the plaintiff acquired the right to possess) and Subparagraph (C)(2) (which refers to the earlier point in time at which the plaintiff commenced possession) is intentional. Until the plaintiff has been in possession for one year, he is not entitled to complain of any kind of disturbance in law. After the one-year period has accrued, the plaintiff is entitled to complain of the execution and recordation of new adverse instruments, as Subparagraph (C)(1) provides, and may also complain of the continuing existence of record of instruments that were recorded during that one-year period and that, on account of their continuing disturbance of his possession. In no event is the plaintiff permitted to claim that an instrument recorded before he commenced possession is a disturbance of his possession.

13 (d) The reason that the continuing existence of record of an adverse 14 instrument constitutes a distinct disturbance in law is to prevent a possessor from 15 losing the right to complain of an instrument that was recorded after he commenced 16 possession but more than one year before he brings the possessory action. Without 17 such a rule, his right to bring the possessory action would be lost under Article 18 3658(4) for failure to institute the action within one year of the recordation of the 19 instrument, even though he may have had no reason to suspect than an adverse 20 instrument had been recorded. Because the continuing existence of record is a 21 continuing disturbance, the one-year prescriptive period under Article 3658(4) for 22 bringing a possessory action complaining of this disturbance in law effectively does 23 not commence to run under these circumstances. See Roy O. Martin Lumber Co., 24 Inc. v. Lemoine, 381 So. 2d 915 (La. App. 3 Cir. 1980). See also Ree Corp. v. 25 Shaffer, 260 So. 2d 307, 313 (La. 1972) (Tate, J., concurring).

26 Art. 3660. Same; possession

27<u>A.</u> A person is in possession of immovable property or of a real right therein,28within the intendment of the articles of this Chapter, when he the person has the29corporeal possession thereof, or civil possession thereof preceded by corporeal30possession by him or his ancestors in title, and possesses for himself or precariously31for another, whether in good or bad faith, or even as a usurper.

32B. Subject to the provisions of Articles 3656 and 3664, a person who claims33the ownership of immovable property or of a real right therein possesses through his

- 34 lessee, through another who occupies the property or enjoys the right under an
- 35 agreement with him or his lessee, or through a person who has the use or usufruct
- 36 thereof to which his right of ownership is subject.

#### Comments - 2023

The 2023 amendment of this Article retains the rule that, for purposes of this Chapter, "possession" means possession in fact, rather than the right to possess, except where the right to possess is expressly stated. Consistent with the changes made to Articles 3655, 3656, and 3658, the amended Article recognizes that precarious possession for another person constitutes possession for purposes of this Chapter.

#### Page 10 of 13

1	Art. 3661. Same; title not at issue; limited admissibility of evidence of title
2	$\underline{A}$ . In the possessory action, the ownership or title of the parties to the
3	immovable property or real right therein is not at issue.
4	$\underline{B}$ . No evidence of ownership or title to the immovable property or real right
5	therein shall be admitted except to prove any of the following:
6	(1) The possession thereof by a party as owner;.
7	(2) The extent of the possession thereof by a party; or and his ancestors in
8	<u>title.</u>
9	(3) The length of time in which a party and his ancestors in title have had
10	possession thereof.
11	Comments - 2023
12 13 14 15	The 2023 amendment to this Article clarifies that a person is entitled to use evidence of ownership for purposes of proving not only the extent of his own possession, but also the extent of possession of his ancestors in title. See Civil Code Article 3442.
16	Art. 3662. Same; relief which that may be granted successful plaintiff in judgment;
17	appeal
18	A. A judgment rendered for the plaintiff in a possessory action shall:
19	(1) Recognize his the plaintiff's right to the possession of the immovable
20	property or real right therein, and restore him to possession thereof if he has been
21	evicted, or maintain him in possession thereof if the disturbance has not been an
22	eviction;.
23	(2) Order the defendant to assert his adverse claim of ownership of the
24	immovable property or real right therein in a petitory action to be filed within a delay
25	to be fixed by the court not to exceed sixty days after the date the judgment becomes
26	executory, or be precluded thereafter from asserting the ownership thereof, if the
27	plaintiff has prayed for such this relief and this relief is not precluded by Paragraph
28	B of this Article.; and
29	(3) Award him the plaintiff the damages to which he is entitled and for
30	which he has prayed for.

## Page 11 of 13

1	B. <u>A judgment in a possessory action shall not grant the relief described in</u>
2	Subparagraph (A)(2) of this Article against the state or against a defendant who
3	appeared in the action only through an attorney appointed to represent him under
4	Article 5091.
5	$\underline{C}$ . A suspensive appeal from the judgment rendered in a possessory action
6	may be taken within the delay provided in Article 2123, and a devolutive appeal may
7	be taken from such the judgment only within thirty days of the applicable date
8	provided in Article 2087(A).
9	Comments - 2023
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a) Among the substantive changes made to this Article by the 2023 revision, Subparagraph (A)(2) provides that the delay within which the losing defendant can be ordered to file a petitory action, where that relief was prayed for by the prevailing plaintiff, is fixed in all cases at sixty days. This relief is not available against a defendant who appeared in the action only through an attorney appointed to represent him under Article 5091. Nevertheless, the prevailing plaintiff is not without a remedy to obtain a determination of ownership when the defendant has appeared in the possessory action in that manner; the plaintiff can institute his own declaratory judgment action against the defendant and, depending on the circumstances, may be entitled to have an attorney again appointed to defend the absentee defendant in the declaratory judgment action.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(b) The 2023 revision removes the constitutional infirmity in this Article noted by the Supreme Court in Todd v. State, through Dept. of Natural Resources, 456 So. 2d 1340 (La. 1983), amended 474 So. 2d 430 (La. 1985), in which the court held that, although a possessory action can be brought against the state, the relief allowed under Subparagraph (A)(2) of this Article is a form of liberative prescription that cannot run against the state under Article XII, Section 13 of the Constitution of Louisiana.
28 29	(c) A judgment rendered in violation of Paragraph B of this Article is subject to annulment under Article 2004.
30	* * *
31	Art. 3669. Possessory action unavailable between owner of mineral servitude and
32	owner of dependent mineral royalty
33	In the event of a dispute between the owner of a mineral servitude and the
34	owner of a mineral royalty burdening or alleged to burden the servitude in question,
35	the possessory action is unavailable to either party, and the only available real action
36	is the petitory action. The burden of proof on the plaintiff in such an the petitory
37	action is that which must be borne by the plaintiff in a petitory action when neither
38	party is in possession to prove a better title than that of the defendant.

## Page 12 of 13

2

3 4

5

6

Comments - 2023

Prior to its revision in 2023, this Article provided that the plaintiff's burden of proof in a petitory action contemplated by this Article was that which applies when neither party is in possession. Rather than following this indirect approach, the 2023 revision states more plainly and directly what the burden of proof is in such an action: it is to prove a better title.

### SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

### PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

### GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Page 13 of 13