

## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB** 2 SLS 241ES

Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED** 

Page 1 of 1

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: January 17, 2024 9:42 AM Author: PRESSLY

**Dept./Agy.:** Supreme Court/Department of State

Subject: Redistricting of Louisiana Supreme Court

Analyst: Kimberly Fruge

COURTS EG INCREASE GF EX See Note
Provides for the redistricting of the Louisiana Supreme Court. (2/3 - CA5s4)(Item #3)(See Act)

Proposed Law redraws district boundaries for the seven Supreme Court Justices.

EVDENDITUDES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
<b>EXPENDITURES</b> State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total		<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
REVENUES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Proposed law may result in a one-time increase of FY 24 SGF expenditures to the Department of State (DoS) to mail out notifications to registered voters about changes to the judicial districts. Based on conversations with DoS, the LFO estimates the costs of the notification card, printing, and postage at \$0.65 per notification. There are 2,976,797 voters in the state. The maximum cost exposure would thus equate to approximately \$1.9 M for notification cards, assuming every voter required notification. **DoS has indicated this cost would be incurred in FY 24 in preparation for the Fall election.** 

During testimony the Secretary of State stated the intent to distribute notifications to every voter. The legislation does not appear to require such notification. To the extent that the department only sends notifications to those voters impacted by redistricting, costs will decrease accordingly. The table below represents the potential costs based on the potential number of voters impacted by the redistricting of the Supreme Court.

Percentage of Voters	Number of Voters	Potential Cost
100%	2,976,797	\$1,934,918
75%	2,232,598	\$1,451,189
50%	1,488,399	\$967,459
25%	744,199	\$483,730
10%	297,680	\$193,492

This fiscal note assumes the Department of State will send out all notifications when the law becomes effective. To the extent the Department of State is able to defer costs over multiple fiscal years the estimated costs will decrease accordingly.

Proposed law may also result in a minimal increase in programming costs for the Department of State to update voting machines to reflect the new judicial districts. This cost can likely be absorbed within the department's existing operating budget.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u> <b>x</b> 13.5.1 >=	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u> \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	House	Alan M. Boderger
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Alan M. Boxberger Legislative Fiscal Officer