## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note



Fiscal Note On: **HB** 

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

**Date:** February 20, 2024 7:50 PM

Author: EMERSON

Sub. Bill For .:

Dept./Agy.: Supreme Court/Clerks of Court

**Analyst:** Daniel Druilhet

**Subject:** Changes to Post-Conviction Relief Procedures

CRIMINAL/PROCEDURE EG SEE FISC NOTE LF EX See Note Provides relative to changes for post conviction relief procedures (Item #17)

Page 1 of 1

HLS 242ES

18

Current law provides for procedures governing repetitive actions for post conviction relief (PCR); provides that if a court considers dismissing an application for failure of the petitioner (P) to raise the claim in the proceedings leading to conviction, failure to urge the claim on appeal, or failure to include the claim in a prior application (APP), the district court (DC) shall order the P to state reasons for his failure (SRFHF), and if the DC finds the failure excusable, consider the claim's merits; allows the state to waive any procedural objection or objection to timeliness (POOT) of a PCR petition (PET), that the waiver be in writing and filed into the DC record; provides that no APP for PCR shall be considered if filed more than 2 years after the judgment of conviction of sentence has become final, unless new facts are alleged unknown either to the P or his attorneys and submitted to the DC within 2 years of discovery; that if the P pled guilty or nolo contendre (PGONC), seeks relief, and 5 or more years have elapsed since PGONC to conviction, he shall not be eligible for the "new facts" (NF) exception. Proposed law removes the state's option to waive any POOT to the PET, the requirement that a DC mandate a P to SRFHF to urge or include a claim in a prior PCR, the option to file altogether for PGONC to an offense; limits NF exception to within 1 year of discovery; requires service of any PCR PET beyond the first to the district attorney and attorney general 60 days prior to the hearing.

EXPENDITURES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW					
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	DECREASE	DECREASE	DECREASE	DECREASE	DECREASE	
Annual Total						

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is likely no direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. However, <u>proposed law</u> will likely result in a workload decrease in district courts that process applications for post-conviction relief. To the extent that <u>proposed law</u> reduces the number of repetitive claims for post-conviction relief in district courts, removes the option to file post-conviction relief claims to those who plead guilty or nolo contendre to offenses to which they have been convicted, and reduces the amount of time in which claimants can allege new facts after discovery, there will likely be a decline in workload in district courts to process claims for post-conviction relief.

The State of Louisiana currently has 42 district courts that process claims for post-conviction relief. To determine the fiscal impact of the <u>proposed law</u> on the district courts within the state, obtaining historical information regarding the number of claims for post-conviction relief filed, the number of claims filed by claimants who pled guilty or nolo contendre to offenses to which they were convicted, and the impact of limiting the submission of new facts to within one year (as opposed to two years) of discovery, is necessary.

Note: The LFO is working with the Louisiana Clerks of Court Association to obtain relevant historical information on claims for post-conviction relief. This fiscal note will be updated once additional information is received.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

<u>Proposed law</u> will likely result in a decrease in local governmental revenues, to the extent that fewer applications for post-conviction relief are filed in the district courts. The exact fiscal impact to local governmental revenues is indeterminable, as the costs for filing post-conviction relief applications vary throughout the district courts.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	$\bigcirc$ 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	Johns Mamor
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Patrice Thomas  Deputy Fiscal Officer