CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT

HB 534 2024 Regular Session Freeman

May 29, 2024

To the Honorable Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives and the Honorable President and Members of the Senate.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We, the conferees appointed to confer over the disagreement between the two houses concerning House Bill No. 534 by Representative Freeman, recommend the following concerning the Reengrossed bill:

- 1. That the set of Senate Floor Amendments by Senator McMath (#3342) be rejected.
- 2. That the set of Senate Floor Amendments by Senator Luneau (#3588) be adopted.
- 3. That the following amendment to the Reengrossed bill be adopted:

AMENDMENT NO. 1

On page 2, at the beginning of line 2, delete "An owner of a" and insert "The ownership entity of the"

Respectfully submitted,	
Representative Aimee Adatto Freeman	Senator Patrick McMath
Representative Ryan Bourriaque	Senator Caleb Seth Kleinpeter
Representative Joy Walters	Senator John C. "Jay" Morris III

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT DIGEST

HB 534

2024 Regular Session

Freeman

Keyword and oneliner of the instrument as it left the House

MTR VEHICLE/VIOLATIONS: Provides relative to the booting of motor vehicles parked on private property

Report adopts Senate amendments to:

1. Clarify that any unpaid parking fees cannot be in any amount greater than the amount actually incurred for parking in the parking facility.

Report rejects Senate amendments which would have:

- 1. Specified that immediate family members of the owner, in addition to the owner, cannot receive any compensation in connection with the immobilization of motor vehicles by booting on private property, other than unpaid parking fees.
- 2. Restored a provision in <u>present law</u> that prohibited a parking facility company, valet company, and parking facility general manager from having a monetary interest in a booting company that boots vehicles on private property.
- 3. Defined "immediate family member" as a spouse, child, parent, or sibling.
- 4. Made technical changes.

Report amends the bill to:

1. Clarify that the ownership entity of the parking facility cannot receive any compensation, including any rebate or other valuable consideration, in connection with the immobilization of motor vehicles by booting on private property, other than unpaid parking fees.

Digest of the bill as proposed by the Conference Committee

<u>Present law</u> prohibits a parking facility operating company, valet company, or a general manager of a parking facility from having a direct or indirect monetary or ownership interest in a business engaged in booting motor vehicles on private property for compensation of unauthorized vehicles in a parking facility.

Proposed law removes present law.

<u>Present law</u> prohibits a parking facility operating company, valet company, or a general manager of a parking facility from accepting, directly or indirectly, any rebate, compensation, or other valuable consideration from the owner or operator of a business engaged in booting motor vehicles on private property other than the collection of unpaid parking fees.

<u>Proposed law</u> removes <u>present law</u> and solely prohibits the ownership entity of the parking facility from receiving any compensation, including any rebate or other valuable

consideration, in connection with the immobilization of motor vehicles by booting on private property, other than unpaid parking fees.

<u>Proposed law</u> specifies that any unpaid parking fees cannot be in any amount greater than the amount actually incurred for parking in the parking facility.