



**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **HB 968** HLS 26RS 1457
 Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED**
 Opp. Chamb. Action: **W/ SEN FLOOR AMD**
 Proposed Amd.:
 Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 29, 2026	9:19 AM	Author: TURNER
Dept./Agy.: District Attorneys, Sheriffs, Corrections, OJJ, and Clerks		Analyst: Daniel Druilhet
Subject: Electronic Monitoring and Associated Costs		

CRIMINAL/JUSTICE EGF SEE FISC NOTE LF EX Page 1 of 1
 Provides relative to electronic monitoring and associated costs

Current law provides for electronic monitoring programs, conditions of electronic monitoring, responsibility for costs of electronic monitoring, and procedures and duties of the court when a monitored person is noncompliant with conditions. Proposed law requires any adult monitored and responsible for associated costs to provide a method of payment or payment arrangement acceptable to that provider; provides that if a monitored person fails to pay an outstanding or delinquent amount lawfully owed for monitoring services, a provider may initiate a hearing by filing a written delinquency report and notice of hearing request in the pending criminal proceeding and notify the court that the provider is terminating services rendered for nonpayment or delinquency; provides for notice procedures and information to be included within the delinquency report; provides that the filing of the report and notice commences the time period for setting the hearing and related procedure; permits the provider to remove the monitoring device and terminate services rendered (and applicable procedure); provides for a warrant of arrest to be issued for a monitored individual who fails to appear as required; provides for duties of the court at the hearing, noticed to be provided to affected sureties on bail undertakings associated with monitored individuals, and obligations of DAs relative to victims to provide notice on related hearings.

EXPENDITURES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in an indeterminable increase in Local Funds expenditures to Sheriffs, to the extent that bail conditions are modified and whether monitored individuals who fail to make payments for monitoring services are remanded to the custody of parish jails pending their appearance in court. Proposed law has the effect of allowing the court to determine whether a monitored individual is allowed to secure another electronic monitoring service provider after failing to make payments for monitoring services or whether they are remanded to the custody of parish jails pending their appearance in court. The exact fiscal impact is indeterminable, because it is unknown the number of persons who will be remanded back into the custody of parish jails or the duration of time in which those monitored individuals (whose bail determinations are modified) may be in the custody of parish jails pending their appearance in court) with the enactment of the proposed law.

Proposed law may result in an indeterminable increase in workload in district courts, to the extent that courts hold hearings relative to nonpayment of monitoring services by monitored individuals, with related bail redeterminations that may occur as a result. The exact fiscal impact relative to workload in district courts is indeterminable, because it is unknown the number of hearings that will be conducted with the enactment of the proposed law.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate Dual Referral Rules
 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}
 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House
 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}


Patrice Thomas
 Deputy Fiscal Officer