

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **HB 205** HLS 26RS 466
 Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED**
 Opp. Chamb. Action: **W/ SEN FLOOR AMD**
 Proposed Amd.:
 Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 29, 2026 9:53 AM	Author: BACALA
Dept./Agy.: Department of State	
Subject: Compensation for Commissioners	Analyst: Kimberly Fruge

ELECTIONS/COMMISSIONERS EGF SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Page 1 of 2
 Provides for compensation for election commissioners

Proposed law clarifies that the compensation provided for in present law to commissioners shall serve as compensation for the time worked on election day and for participation in any courses of instruction.

Proposed law allows a parish governing authority to pay each commissioner-in-charge, commissioner-in-charge who serves at more than one precinct, and commissioners who have received a certificate of instruction for commissioners-in-charge \$100 per election day or each day of assistance, in addition to the compensation provided for in proposed law.

Proposed law is effective on January 1, 2027.

EXPENDITURES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in an increase in SGF expenditures by the Secretary of State (SOS) due to a \$100 supplemental payment for various commissioners-in-charge and commissioners who have received a commissioner-in-charge certification of instruction. **Proposed law is permissive, and any increase will depend on whether the SOS authorizes the additional \$100 payment.** The total increase in costs is nuanced and situational and will vary year to year based on the type and number of elections held that year, whether the SOS utilizes commissioners-in-charge who serve at more than one precinct, and the certification status of commissioners. The estimates provided in this fiscal note represent the maximum exposure if the SOS approves the additional \$100 payment for all commissioners.

The increase per statewide election is \$1,807,000, and the increase per non-statewide election is \$903,500. There are four statewide elections scheduled in FY 27, FY 28, and FY 31. Proposed law is effective January 1, 2027; therefore, only the two statewide spring elections are considered in the costs for FY 27. **Proposed law will result in an increase of \$3,614,000 in FY 27 and \$7,228,000 in FYs 28 and 31.** There are currently two statewide and two non-statewide elections scheduled in FY 29 and FY 30. **Proposed law will result in an increase of \$5,421,000 in FYs 29 and 30.**

Page 2 of this fiscal note details the cost breakdown for commissioners-in-charge and commissioners per statewide election. The SOS generally estimates the costs for municipal/non-statewide elections at 50% of the cost of a statewide election; however, depending on how many municipalities participate in the non-statewide election, these costs can increase or decrease accordingly.

	Statewide	Non-Statewide
Commissioners-in-Charge	\$371,800	\$185,900
Commissioners	\$1,435,200	\$717,600
Total	\$1,807,000	\$903,500

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate	Dual Referral Rules	House
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}		<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}		<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}


Patrice Thomas
 Deputy Fiscal Officer



LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE

Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 205** HLS 26RS 466
Bill Text Version: **ENGROSSED**
Opp. Chamb. Action: **W/ SEN FLOOR AMD**
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 29, 2026	9:53 AM	Author: BACALA
Dept./Agy.: Department of State		
Subject: Compensation for Commissioners		Analyst: Kimberly Fruge

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:

Page 2 of 2

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION CONTINUED:

Per Statewide Election

Commissioner-in-Charge: There are 3,718 precincts in the state that have voters (currently four precincts have no voters). Assuming there are no commissioners-in-charge over more than one precinct, there will be 3,718 commissioners-in-charge. At a \$100 increase, this would result in an additional expenditure of \$371,800 for each statewide election. To the extent that commissioners-in-charge who serve at more than one precinct are utilized instead of one commissioner-in-charge per precinct, then costs will decrease accordingly.

Commissioners: According to the Secretary of State, all current commissioners have received the commissioner-in-charge certificate of instruction and therefore will also be eligible for the \$100 increase. Precincts with less than 300 voters utilize three commissioners and precincts with more than 300 voters utilize four commissioners. There are 520 precincts with less than 300 voters and 3,198 precincts with more than 300 voters for a total of 14,352 commissioners statewide. For a \$100 increase, this would result in an additional expenditure of \$1,435,200 per statewide election. To the extent that commissioners choose a different certification or do not get certified in the future, then costs will decrease accordingly.

Note: This fiscal note assumes there are no consolidated precincts and all commissioners have received commissioner-in-charge certification of instruction, representing maximum exposure. If the SOS consolidates precincts or some commissioners forgo the commissioner-in-charge certification, then costs will decrease accordingly.

Senate **Dual Referral Rules**

13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House

6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}


Patrice Thomas
Deputy Fiscal Officer