HLS 01-719 REENGROSSED

Regular Session, 2001

30

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

HOUSE BILL NO. 1783

BY REPRESENTATIVES LEBLANC, DEWITT, AND TOWNSEND AND SENATOR DARDENNE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of Eighty-two Million Seven Hundred Forty Thousand Two
7	Hundred Twenty-three and No/100 (\$82,740,223.00) Dollars, or so much thereof as may be
8	necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the
9	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans
10	Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and in addition, has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its own appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

1 2 3 4 5	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportu Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tril		ants to seek re	view in the
3	General Performance Information:			
4		1998	1999	2000
	Total Filings	3,223	3,652	3,565
6	Total Appeals Filed	33	43	41
7	Total Writs Filed	3,037	3,455	3,378
8	Total Dispositions Rendered	3,386	3,290	3,027
9	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
10	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.		
11	General Performance Information:			
12		1998	1999	2000
13	Percentage of noncriminal case			
14	applications acted on within Supreme			
15	Court standard of 120 days of filing	96.60%	95.30%	96.07%
16	Percentage of criminal case applications			
17	acted on within Supreme Court			
18	standard of 120 days of filing	53.00%	61.00%	29.40%
19	Percentage of pro se post conviction	22.0070	01.0070	27.7070
20	applications acted on within supreme			
21		19.00%	28.00%	15.72%
22	Court standard of 120 days of filing	19.00%	20.0070	13.7270
	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
23	acted upon within Supreme Court	00.000/	0.4.6007	06.150/
24	standard of 120 days of filing	99.00%	94.60%	86.15%
25	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
26	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
27	from argument	71.80%	63.80%	80.00%
28	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
29	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court d	lecisions.	
29 30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:	reme Court d	lecisions.	
-	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:	reme Court d	lecisions.	2000
30 31	General Performance Information:			2000
30				2000 100%
30 31 32 33 34	General Performance Information:Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decisionObjective: To inform the public of operations	1998 100%	1999 100%	
30 31 32 33 34 35	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	1998 100%	1999 100%	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	General Performance Information:Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decisionObjective: To inform the public of operations	1998 100%	1999 100%	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	General Performance Information:Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decisionObjective: To inform the public of operations	1998 100% s and activiti	1999 100% es.	100%
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: 	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16	1999 100% es. 1999	100% 2000
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16	1999 100% es. 1999 17	100% 2000 11
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110	100% 2000 11 94
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on 	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38	100% 2000 11 94 16
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110	100% 2000 11 94
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on 	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38	100% 2000 11 94 16
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench.	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information:	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697 npetence of
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con 1999 27.39	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697 expetence of 2000
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con 1999 27.39	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697 npetence of 2000
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace	1998 100% s and activiti 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 conduct, inte	1999 100% es. 1999 17 110 38 1,105 1,090 egrity, and con 1999 27.39	2000 11 94 16 4,418 3,697 npetence of 2000

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the bar	ive: To ensure the highest professional control of the control of	onduct, integ	grity, and comp	petence of	
4	Genere	a i orgoniance ingomenion	1998	1999	2000	
5		re number of hours acquired through	21.2	10.5		
6 7		ntinuing legal education per lawyer er of complaints filed against lawyers	21.3 3,056	19.5 2,873	3,008	
8		er of complaints filed against lawyers	3,030	2,073	3,000	
9		solved or disposed of in calendar year	2,835	1,608	1,637	
10	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:				
11	01	Salaries of Chief Justice and six	x (6)			
12		Associate Justices of the Supre		•		
13		R.S. 13:102	,			\$ 648,149
14	02	Salaries and other expenses of	the			
15		Supreme Court Proper and sala				
16		the Crier of the Supreme Court	•			\$ 5,845,039
17	03	Expenses of Judicial Administra	ator's			
18		Office of the Judiciary Commis				
19		provided for in Article V, Secti	on			
20		25 of the Constitution of Louis	iana and			
21		under the provisions of R.S. 13	:32 et seq			
22		A. Expenses of Judicial Admir	nistrator's			
23		Office				\$ 2,286,502
24	Progra	am Description: The Judicial Adminis	strator's Off	fice assists the	Supreme	
25		in the administration of the state court s				
26		e Judiciary Commission. Through the J				
27 28		tkes recommendations for the creation of		-	_	
28 29		ninistration of justice. The Office provic Iudiciary, including the administration				
30		rts the Supreme Court and Appellate C		•		
31		al Administrator's Office provides tech				
32		es the Trial Court Case Management In			-	
33		hoc judgeship system, and monitors co				
34 35	_	es outreach services to state and local cour and performs numerous legal service				
36	Judicia Judicia		es joi ine s	иргете Соиг	i unu ine	
37		B. Expenses of Judiciary Com	mission			\$ 677,963
38	Progra	m Description: The Judiciary Commiss	sion of Louis	siana is a cons	stitutional	
39		stablished under Article V, Section 25 of				
40		investigate, and prosecute complaints of				
41		ty, it may recommend to the Supreme Cou		_		
42	from o	ffice, or involuntary retirement of any ju	dge for ethi	ical misconduc	et.	
43		C. Court Reporters as required	d by			
44		R.S. 13:981				\$ 220,578
45		D. Dues to National Center fo	r			
46		State Courts				\$ 120,225
47	04	Expenses of Committee on Pro	fessional			
48		Ethics and Grievances including				
49		disbarment proceedings, R.S. 3	-	seq.		\$ 3,000
		1 0,		•		*

1 2 3 4	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	583,950
5 6		Compensation and expenses of three retired		
7		judge panel assigned to the First Circuit	4	
8		Court of Appeal	\$	567,096
9	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries,		
10		services, supplies, maintenance, repairs,	4	
11		and equipment	\$	1,312,652
12 13 14	Legisl	am Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of the lature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, the state ary, and the practicing bar.		
15	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred		
16		judges assigned under Article V,		
17		Section 5(A) of the Constitution,	¢	120,000
18 19		be it more or less estimated at	\$	120,000
20	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by		
21		justices and judges of all courts, as		
22		provided by R.S. 11:1358 and		
23		R.S. 13:103	\$	2,422,554
24	09	Pensions for widows of justices and		
25		judges of all courts, as provided by		
26		R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it	4	4 0 7 7 400
27		more or less estimated at	\$	1,355,628
28	10	Judicial College	\$	133,845
29 30		ram Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the ne Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.		
31	11	State contribution to judicial		
32		retirement provided for in Article V,		
33		Section 23 of the Constitution and		
34 35		R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	2 292 701
33		iess estimated at	Ф	3,282,701
36	12	Civil commitment matters as		
37		required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
38	13	Funding for statewide operations		
39		of the Louisiana Protective Order		
40		Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the		
41		Case Management Information System	\$	450,068
42	14	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct)		
43		for the expenses associated with the operation		
44		of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,700,965
45 46 47	local	ram Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation la; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system		

HLS 01-719 <u>REENGROSSED</u> H.B. NO. 1783

1 2 3 4 5	mandat reportin fiscal re	cking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and ing the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and g performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic ports and financial accountability; and generally supervising and assisting INS processes in other ways.	
6	TOTA	L - GENERAL FUND	<u>\$ 21,874,339</u>
7	15	Administrative expenses of the office	
8		of the Clerk of the Louisiana Supreme	
9		Court attributable to the selection	
10		process of attorney chairman of the	
11		Medical Review Panel as provided	
12		for in R.S. 40:1299.47 to be paid out	
13		of the Patient's Compensation Fund	\$ 10,000
13		of the Tatione's Compensation Tund	Ψ 10,000
14	16	Payable out of the State General Fund	
15	10	from Statutory Dedications, Judges'	
16		Supplemental Compensation Fund,	
17		R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less	
18		estimated at	\$ 4,000,000
10		estimated at	\$ 1,000,000
19	Prograi	m Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was	
20		ned by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related	
21		es to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable	
22	filing fe	e assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.	
23	17	Payable out of the State General Fund	
24		from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court	
25		Case Management Information Fund, for	
26		the Case Management Information System,	
27		Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal	
28		Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	<u>\$ 1,597,840</u>
20	_		
29 30	_	m Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was	
31		by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well	
32		ective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the	
33	_	repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.	
34		nal information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety	
35		ections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and	
36	traffic c	onvictions as provided under C.Cr.P. 887(F).	
37	TOTALC	UPREME COURT	\$ 27.482.179
38	TOTALS	OI REWIE COURT	<u> </u>
39	03_9171	COURTS OF APPEAL	
39	03-01/1	COURTS OF AFFEAL	
40	Progra	m Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge,	
41	_	ort, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction	
42	_	Il cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general	
43	_	sory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has	
44		te jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and	
45 46		courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit,	
40 47	except J courts.	or those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district	
-			
48	Mission	Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide	
49		gful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and	
50		ory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and	
51 52	promoti efficient	ing the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources	
<i>J</i> <u>L</u>	езличени	uy.	

1	Goal:	To protect the rule of law.				
2 3 4	made l	tive: To provide a reasonable opportuni by lower tribunals. al Performance Information:	ity for multi-ju	ndge review of	decisions	
5			1998	1999	2000	
6	Total d	appeals filed	4,136	4,213	4,555	
7		writs filed	6,374	6,900	6,123	
8		dispositions rendered	9,074	9,385	8,767	
9	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.				
10 11 12	Gener	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information: ge number of days from lodging of the a	ppeal to areu	ment: Time Si	tandard =	
13		re than 175 days Year 1996 Compared				
14			1996	2000		
15		nal cases	263	255		
16	Civil c	ases	178	236		
17	Total		204	243		
18 19		ge number of days from argument to rend nore than 70 days	dering of the o	opinion: Time	Standard	
20	Crimir	nal cases	48	41		
21	Civil c		63	55		
22	Total		58	49		
23	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
24	-	tive: To facilitate public access to the o	decisions of th	ne courts of ap	peal.	
25	-	tive: To facilitate public access to the cal Performance Information:		•	-	
25 26	Gener	al Performance Information:	decisions of th	ne courts of ap	peal.	
25 26 27	Gener Percer	al Performance Information: ntage of written opinions available	1998	1999	2000	
25 26	Gener Percer	al Performance Information:		•	-	
25 26 27	Gener Percer to	al Performance Information: ntage of written opinions available	1998	1999	2000	
25 26 27 28	Gener Percer to	al Performance Information: ntage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund:	1998 100%	1999	2000	
25 26 27 28 29	Gener Percer to Payable (al Performance Information: ntage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	1998 100% Iges of	1999	2000	\$ 4,607,616
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Gener Percer to Payable o	al Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available In the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13	1998 100% Iges of :311	1999	2000	\$ 4,607,616
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Gener Percer to Payable (at Performance Information: atage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% lges of :311 ation and	1999	2000	\$ 4,607,616
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Gener Percer to Payable o	at Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% lges of :311 ation and	1999	2000	, ,
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Gener Percer to Payable o	at Performance Information: atage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% lges of :311 ation and	1999	2000	\$ 4,607,616 5,887,254
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Percento Payable of 01	at Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit	1998 100% Iges of 1:311 ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	, ,
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Gener Percer to Payable o	at Performance Information: atage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	, ,
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Percento Payable of 01	at Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available In the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Percento Payable of 01	at Performance Information: atage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	, ,
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Percento Payable of 01	at Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available In the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal, ation and	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Percento Payable of 01 02	at Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available In the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judy the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit	1998 100% Iges of 19311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Percento Payable of 01 02	al Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available Interpolation of the public within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judy the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit	1998 100% Iges of 19311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Percento Payable of 01 02	al Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available Interpublic within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judy the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A	1998 100% Iges of 19311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254 3,439,431
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Percento Payable of 01 02	al Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available Interpublic within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judy the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254 3,439,431
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Percento Payable of 01 02 03	al Performance Information: Intage of written opinions available Interpublic within 5 days of decision Out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judy the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Salaries and expenses of operamaintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254 3,439,431
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Percento Payable of 01 02 03	at Performance Information: atage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision out of the State General Fund: Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13 Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera	1998 100% Iges of :311 ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal, ation and Appeal,	1999	2000	\$ 5,887,254 3,439,431

1 2	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal,		
3		Fifth Circuit	\$	3,425,486
4	TOTAL C	COURTS OF APPEAL	<u>\$</u>	27,384,625

03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

41

42

43

44 45 46

47

48

Program Description: There are forty district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

General Performance Information:

v	1999
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating court	
security is an issue the judiciary should address	
within the next five years	87.0%
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating customer	
service/user-friendly courts is an issue the judiciary	
should address within the next five years	77.3%

Objective: To give all who appear before the court reasonable opportunities to participate effectively without undue hardship or inconvenience.

General Performance Information:

		2000
Percentage of	of district courts audited for ADA compliance	38.3%
Percentage of	of parishes covered by audit of ADA compliance	40.6%

Objective: To ensure that all judges and other trial court personnel are courteous and responsive to the public and accord respect to all with whom they come into contact.

49	General Performance Information:		
50		1998	1999
51	Percentage of surveyed court users indicating judges		
52	show courtesy and respect to people using		
53	the Louisiana court system	64%	
54	Percentage of surveyed court users indicating court		
55	personnel show courtesy and respect to people		
56	using the Louisiana court system	69%	
57	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
58	professionalism is a continuing issue the		
59	judiciary should address within the next five years		79.2%

judiciary should address within the next five years

1	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and		
2 3	the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records		
4	terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed	reasonable	, iair, and
5	affordable.		
6	General Performance Information:		1998
7	Demonstrate of comment and the street of the		1998
8	Percentage of surveyed court users indicating going to cour	τ	0.207
9	costs too much	1.2	83%
10	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the in a timely and expeditious manner.	court and its	activities
10	in a timery and expeditious manner.		
11	Objective: To encourage timely case management and proc	essing.	
12	General Performance Information:	<u> </u>	
13	v	1999	2000
14	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition		
15	data to CMIS	39	50
16	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data		
17	to CMIS	60.9%	78%
18	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
19	improved delay reduction is an issue the judiciary		
20	should address within the next five years	69.5%	
21	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
22	improved training in delay reduction and case		
23	management is an issue the judiciary should		
24	address within the next five years	77.6%	
25	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating	77.070	
26 26	the use of better case management techniques is		
20 27	an issue the judiciary should address within the		
28	next five years	75.3%	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating	73.370	
30	the use of better case management information		
31	systems is an issue the judiciary should address		
32	Within the next five years	83.4%	
32 33	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating	03.470	
3 <i>3</i>	the use of integrated case management information		
3 1 35	· · ·		
36	systems is an issue the judiciary should address	73.3%	
30	within the next five years	73.370	
37	Objective: To enhance jury service.		
38	General Performance Information:		
39	<i>y</i>	1999	
40	Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
41	better jury management is an issue the judiciary		
42	should address within the next five years	68.2%	
43	Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of th	e law to all	who have
44	business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all pro-	cedures and	decisions.
4.5			
45	Objective: To ensure that the jury venire is representative of j	urisdiction fr	om which
46	it is drawn.		
47	General Performance Information:	1000	
48		1999	
49 50	Percentage of surveyed parish clerks of court using more	24.407	
50	than voter registration rolls to select jury pool	34.4%	
51	Goal: To maintain constitutional independence, while obse	erving the pr	inciple of
52	cooperation with other branches of government.	civing the pi	merpic of
- -			

1 2 3 4 5 6	principl	ive: To maintain the courts' constitutional independence while of cooperation with other branches of government. al Performance Information:	e observing the		
4	<i></i>	1999	9		
5	Percent	tage of surveyed district court judges believing			
6		proved coordination/communication with the other			
7		anches of government is an issue the judiciary			
8		ould address within the next five years 68.0%	6		
9 10		ive: To seek, use and account for public resources in a respond			
11		1999	9		
12	Number	r of district court judges lacking full-time law clerks 54	4		
13	Percent	tage of those judges lacking full-time law clerks 24.8%	6		
14 15		ive: To inform the community of the court's structure and fund <i>Performance Information:</i>			
16			1999		
17	Percent	tage of surveyed district court judges indicating improved			
18	out	treach/public education is an issue the judiciary should			
19		dress within the next five years	72.7%		
		3			
20 21		tive: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and ons as necessary.	to adjust court		
22	Genera	l Performance Information:			
23		·	1999		
24	Percent	tage of surveyed district court judges indicating better court			
25		hnology is an issue the judiciary should address within			
26		e next five years	91.4%		
27		tage of surveyed district court judges indicating computer	21.770		
28					
		eracy training for judges is an issue the judiciary should	97.70/		
29		dress within the next five years	87.7%		
30		tage of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform			
31		al court rules is an issue the judiciary should address			
32		thin the next five years	58.8%		
33	Percent	tage of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion o	f		
34	alte	ernative sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts			
35	is c	an issue the judiciary should address in the next five years	76.0%		
36	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund:			
37	01	Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine			
	01				
38		(179) District Judges as provided			
39		by R.S. 13:691		\$	14,520,480
40	02	Office and travel expenses of District			
41	0 -	-		\$	1 212 000
41		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694		Ф	1,213,000
42	03	Salarias of fourtain (14) Judges of			
	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of			
43		Civil District Court, Orleans Parish,			
44		as provided by R.S. 13:691		\$	1,135,680
45	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District			
46	٠.	Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries			
47		of stenographers, clerks, law books,			
48		stationery, telephone, and like expenses			
49		as provided by R.S. 13:694		\$	70,000
• /		F F		Ψ	, 0,000
50	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters			
	0.5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
51		of the Twentieth Judicial District Court,			
52		including retirement contributions, as			
53		provided by R.S. 13:966.1		\$	71,317
		-			•

	HLS 01-/19			H.B. NO. 1783	
1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	2,486,169	
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	259,172	
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	261,625	
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	183,140	
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	<u>\$</u>	25,800	
23	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	20,236,383	
24	12	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans			
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	exclusiv offense law in s its com and the or to ho of all co	m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans have jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and is committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by ome other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from a missioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges, power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge old for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction asses tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.	d y n s s		
34 35 36	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,054,560	
37 38 39 40	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish and the state's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 13:694 and R.S. 42:851, respectively	\$	226,258	
41 42	C.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373	\$	236,605	
43 44	D.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373	\$	383,339	

REENGROSSED

	HLS 01-719	REENGROSSED H.B. NO. 1783		
1 2 3	E. Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 206,904		
4 5	F. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 10,000		
6 7	G. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 60,634		
8 9	H. Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 45,706		
10 11	 I. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits 	\$ 511,063		
12	J. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$ 405,830		
13	K. Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 117,284		
14	L. Sanity Commissions	\$ 182,172		
15	M. Board of Jury Commissioners	\$ 231,380		
16	SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,671,735		
17	13 Juvenile and Family Court Judges			
18 19	A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,135,680		
20 21	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 324,480		
22 23 24	C. Office expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as required by R.S. 13:694	<u>\$ 100,800</u>		
25	SUBTOTAL	<u>\$ 1,560,960</u>		
26	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$ 25,469,078</u>		
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct) for salary and expenses associated with one additional judgeship in the Seventeenth Judicial District, effective January 1, 2002, but only in the event that House Bill No. 215 of the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature is enacted into law	\$ 54,047		
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct) for salary and expenses associated with one additional judgeship in the Nineteenth Judicial District, effective January 1, 2002, but only in the event that Senate Bill No. 561 of the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature is enacted into law	\$ 54,047		

1 2 3 4 5	for salary additional District, e	ut of the State General Fund (Direct) and expenses associated with one ljudgeship in the Thirtieth Judicial effective January 1, 2002, but only in that House Bill No. 22 of the 2001						
6 7		ession of the Legislature is enacted into	\$	54.	,047			
8 9	03-8173	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE REQUIRED BY STATUTE	EXPE	NSES	AS			
10 11 12	court (m Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court sion Parish).						
13	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:						
14 15	01	Salaries of sixty-one (61) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	1,571	,429			
16 17 18 19	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 2501.1, and 2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	253.	<u>,468</u>			
20	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	1,824	<u>.897</u>			
21 22	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE E REQUIRED BY STATUTE	EXPENS	SES N	ТОТ			
23	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:						
24 25	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	335,	,713			
26 27 28	cases in	m Description: The program tracks and monitors child abuse and neglect the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support Families in Need of Services Program.						
29 30	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters, to provide for a salary supplement for existing positions	\$	56,	,590			
31 32	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	25.	,000			
33 34		m Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and ssistance to judges with substance abuse problems.	!					
35	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	417.	,303			
36	Section	on 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or his d	uly auth	orized	and			
37	appointed	appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for						
38	so much	thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be p	aid out	of the s	state			
39	general fi	and, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by prefer	ence ov	er all o	ther			
40	warrants,	warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants						

1 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this 2 Act. 3 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state. 4 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 5 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 6 7 earnings, are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 8 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 9 general fund on or before September 1, 2002. 10 D. For Fiscal Year 2001-2002, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this 11 Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in 12 accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the 13 Supreme Court. 14 E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject 15 16 to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme 17 Court. F. The program descriptions, general performance indicators, objectives, goals, and 18 mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law 19 by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the 20 21 Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part 6 General 22 Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana. 23 Section 3A. The sum of One Million Six Hundred Forty-one Thousand Nine Hundred 24 Eighty-three and No/100 (\$1,641,983.00) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund a five-percent salary increase in the actual salaries of Justices of the 25 Supreme Court and Court of Appeal and District Court judges and a five-percent increase in 26 27 the state-paid salaries of City Court and Parish Court judges pursuant to a concurrent resolution adopted during the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature. This appropriation 28 shall be allocated to the various judges in accordance with the recommendations adopted by 29

1 the Judicial Compensation Commission on January 23, 2001, and as approved by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board and the Supreme Court. 2 3 B. The sum of Fifty-one Thousand Two Hundred Sixty-seven and No/100 (\$51,267.00) 4 Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund the salary increases for 5 the Commissioners of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court and the Nineteenth Judicial District 6 Court, provided, however, that such increase shall only be effective in the event that 7 legislation revising the statutory provisions governing such compensation as contained in R.S. 8 13:713 and R.S. 13:715, respectively, is enacted into law in the 2001 Regular Session of the 9 Legislature. C. The sum of Fifty-four Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-four and No/100 (\$54,854.00) 10 11 Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund salary increases for the 12 Commissioners of the Orleans Parish Criminal District Court, provided, however, that such 13 increase shall only be effective in the event that legislation revising the statutory provisions 14 governing such compensation as contained in R.S. 13:1347 is enacted into law in the 2001 15 Regular Session of the Legislature. 16 Section 4. The sum of Ten Million One Hundred Eighty-one Thousand One Hundred 17 Sixty-five and No/100 (\$10,181,165.00) Dollars, be it more or less estimated, is hereby 18 appropriated out of the State General Fund by Interagency Transfers to the Supreme Court from the Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Addictive Disorders, for the 19 20 maintenance and enhancement of drug court services. 21 Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2001; if vetoed by the governor and 22 subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2001, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later. 23

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument.

LeBlanc, et al. HB No. 1783

Abstract: Section 1 provides for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts. Section 3 appropriates money for a 5% salary increase for the Justices of the Supreme Court, Judges of the Courts of Appeal, Judges of District Courts, for the state-paid salaries of City and Parish Court Judges as recommended by the Judicial Compensation Commission on January 23, 2001, pursuant to the adoption of a concurrent resolution in the 2001 Regular Session. Section 4 provides for transfer of monies for maintenance and enhancement of drug courts from the Office of Addictive Disorders to the Supreme Court. Also provides pay increase for certain Commissioners of the 15th and 19th JDC and the Orleans Criminal Court contingent upon statutory changes in the Regular Session.

Provides for the expenses of the judicial branch of government as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$27,482,179
(2)	Courts of Appeal	27,384,625
(3)	District Courts	20,398,524
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	3,671,735
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	1,560,960
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	1,824,897
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	417,303
	TOTAL	\$82,740,223

Of the \$82,740,223 appropriated to the Judiciary in Section 1 of the Act, \$77,132,383 is from the state general fund, \$4,000,000 is from the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, \$1,597,840 is from the Trial Court Case Management Fund and \$10,000 is from the Patient's Compensation Fund.

Section 1 also appropriations funding necessary for one half year's operations for new judgeships in the Seventeenth, Nineteenth, and Thirtieth Judicial District Courts (JDC), contingent upon passage of legislation in the 2001 Regular Session establishing such judgeships.

Section 3 appropriates \$1,641,983 for a 5% salary increase for the Justices of the Supreme Court, Judges of the Courts of Appeal, Judges of District Courts, for the state-paid salaries of City and Parish Court Judges as recommended by the Judicial Compensation Commission on January 23, 2001, pursuant to the adoption of a concurrent resolution in the 2001 R.S. Provides for allocation in accordance with the Compensation Commission recommendation and as approved by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board and the Supreme Court.

Section 3 also appropriates \$51,267 for a salary increase for Commissioners of the 15th JDC and the 19th JDC in the event that the statutory provisions governing such compensation are revised in the 2001 R.S. Appropriates \$54,854 for the Commissioners of the Orleans Parish

Criminal District Court in the event that the statutory provisions governing such compensation are revised in the 2001 R.S.

Section 4 provides for transfer of \$10,181,165, be it more or less estimated, from the Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Addictive Disorders, to the Supreme Court, for the purposes of maintenance and enhancement of drug courts.

Effective July 1, 2001.

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill.

- 1. Adds funding for one-half year's operation of new judgeships in the 17th, 19th, and 30th judicial districts.
- 2. Provides funding to the Supreme Court through interagency transfer from DHH-Office of Addictive Disorders, for maintenance and enhancement of drug courts.