Regular Session, 2001



HOUSE BILL NO. 1783

BY REPRESENTATIVES LEBLANC, DEWITT, AND TOWNSEND AND SENATOR DARDENNE

AN ACT

To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the

Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of

Orleans Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:

Section 1.A. The sum of Eighty-two Million Seven Hundred Forty Thousand

Two Hundred Twenty-three and No/100 (\$82,740,223.00) Dollars, or so much

thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the

judiciary, including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the

Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.

B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as

follows:

03-8170 SUPREME COURT

Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and in addition, has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its own appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. *General Performance Information:*

	1998	1999	2000
Total Filings	3,223	3,652	3,565
Total Appeals Filed	33	43	41
Total Writs Filed	3,037	3,455	3,378
Total Dispositions Rendered	3,386	3,290	3,027

Goal: To promote the rule of law.

Objective: To resolve cases in a timely manner. *General Performance Information:*

General Perjormance Information:			
	1998	1999	2000
Percentage of noncriminal case			
applications acted on within Supreme			
Court standard of 120 days of filing	96.60%	95.30%	96.07%
Percentage of criminal case applications			
acted on within Supreme Court			
standard of 120 days of filing	53.00%	61.00%	29.40%
Percentage of pro se post conviction			
applications acted on within supreme			
Court standard of 120 days of filing	19.00%	28.00%	15.72%
Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
acted upon within Supreme Court			
standard of 120 days of filing	99.00%	94.60%	86.15%
Percentage of opinions rendered within			
Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
from argument	71.80%	63.80%	80.00%

Goal: To ensure the public trust.

. .

-1 D --- f -

Objective: To facilitate public access to Supreme Court decisions.

1998	1999	2000
100%	100%	100%
and activitie	es.	
<i>1998</i>	1999	2000
16	17	11
107	110	94
66	38	16
1,040	1,105	4,418
1,077	1,090	3,697
onduct, integ	grity, and com	petence of
1998	1999	2000
27.9	27.39	
426	427	479
406	412	490
	100% and activitie 1998 16 107 66 1,040 1,077 onduct, integ 1998 27.9 426	100% 100% 100% 100% and activities. 1998 16 17 107 110 66 38 1,040 1,105 1,077 1,090 onduct, integrity, and comp 1998 1999 27.9 27.39 426 427

Objective: To ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of the bar.

the bar. General Pe	erformance Information:				
		1998	1999	2000	
-	umber of hours acquired through uing legal education per lawyer	21.3	19.5		
Number of	complaints filed against lawyers	3,056	2,873	3,008	
	complaints filed against lawyers ed or disposed of in calendar year	2,835	1,608	1,637	
	out of the State General Fund:		1,000	1,007	
01	Salaries of Chief Justice and	$d_{aiv}(6)$			
01	Associate Justices of the Su	. ,	urt.		
	R.S. 13:102	1		\$	648,149
02	Salaries and other expenses				
	Supreme Court Proper and	-		¢	5 945 929
	the Crier of the Supreme Co	ourt		\$	5,845,039
03	Expenses of Judicial Admin	istrator's			
	Office of the Judiciary Com				
	provided for in Article V, S		1		
	25 of the Constitution of Lo under the provisions of R.S				
	under the provisions of Ris	. 13.32 00	504.		
	A. Expenses of Judicial Ac Office	lministrato	or's	\$	2,286,502
Cound perfor judges payrol of a jud Court techno ment I monite state o	in the administration of the state of cil and the Judiciary Commission. ms studies and makes recommen hips and for improving the administra l and other fiscal services to the Judic dicial retirement system, and support human resource system. The Judic ological services to courts and mana formation System. It also manage ors cases under advisement. The O and local courts, staffs the Committe ous legal services for the Supreme O	Through t adations for tion of justic iciary, inclus ts the Suprer rial Administ ages the Tria es the ad hoc office provid ee on Judicia	he Judicial C the creation ce. The Office ding the admin ne Court and trator's Office al Court Case judgeship sy es outreach so al Ethics, and	Youncil, it of new provides nistration Appellate provides Manage- stem, and ervices to	
	B. Expenses of Judiciary C	Commissio	n	\$	677,963
tional t to acce As par susper	am Description: The Judiciary Con body established under Article V, Sec ept, screen, investigate, and prosecute t of its authority, it may recommend nsion, removal from office, or invol l misconduct.	etion 25 of the complaints l to the Supr	he Constitutio of judicial mi eme Court the	n of 1974 sconduct. e censure,	
	C. Court Reporters as requ R.S. 13:981	uired by		\$	220,578
	D. Dues to National Cente State Courts	er for		\$	120,225

ENROLLED

04	Expenses of Committee on Professional Ethics and Grievances including disbarment proceedings, R.S. 37:211 et seq.	\$	3,000
05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	583,950
	Compensation and expenses of three retired judge panel assigned to the First Circuit Court of Appeal	\$	567,096
06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,312,652
of the L	m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an egislature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, diciary, and the practicing bar.		
07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated at	\$	120,000
08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	2,422,554
09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it	·	
10	more or less estimated at	\$ \$	1,355,628
-	Judicial College m Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisi	^f the	133,845
11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or		
	less estimated at	\$	3,282,701
12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
13	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	450,068

14	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct) for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	<u>\$</u>	1,700,965
local F formul system and ma and rep periodi	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist TNS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation a; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform date for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing andating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing porting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring ic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and generally supervising esisting local FINS processes in other ways.	n ta g g, g	
TOTA	AL - GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	21,874,339
15	Administrative expenses of the office of the Clerk of the Louisiana Supreme Court attributable to the selection process of attorney chairman of the Medical Review Panel as provided for in R.S. 40:1299.47 to be paid out of the Patient's Compensation Fund	\$	10,000
16	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	4,000,000
establi salary-	am Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund we shed by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements an related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is fundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.	d a	
17	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal		

Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P. 887(F).

Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

TOTAL SUPREME COURT

03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise

<u>\$ 27,482,179</u>

\$ 1,597,840

within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

General Performance Information:

	1998	1999	2000
Total appeals filed	4,136	4,213	4,555
Total writs filed	6,374	6,900	6,123
Total dispositions rendered	9,074	9,385	8,767

Goal: To promote the rule of law.

Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously. *General Performance Information:*

Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days Year 1996 Compared to 2000

	1996	2000
Criminal cases	263	255
Civil cases	178	236
Total	204	243

Average number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 days

Criminal cases	48	41
Civil cases	63	55
Total	58	49

Goal: To preserve public trust.

Objective: To facilitate public access to the decisions of the courts of appeal. *General Performance Information:*

ier af i erjor manee injor manom			
	<i>1998</i>	1999	2000
Percentage of written opinions available			
to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%

Payable out of the State General Fund:

01	Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:311	\$ 4,607,616
02	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, First Circuit	\$ 5,887,254
03	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Second Circuit	\$ 3,439,431
04	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Third Circuit	\$ 5,046,134

05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$ 4,978,704
06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	<u>\$ 3,425,486</u>
TOTAL	COURTS OF APPEAL	<u>\$ 27,384,625</u>

03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

Program Description: There are forty district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

General Performance Information:	
	1999
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating court	
security is an issue the judiciary should address	
within the next five years	87.0%
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating customer service/user-friendly courts is an issue the judiciary	
should address within the next five years	77.3%

Objective: To give all who appear before the court reasonable opportunities to participate effectively without undue hardship or inconvenience. *General Performance Information:*

	2000
Percentage of district courts audited for ADA compliance	38.3%
Percentage of parishes covered by audit of ADA compliance	40.6%

2000

Objective: To ensure that all judges and other trial court personnel are courteous and responsive to the public and accord respect to all with whom they come into contact. *General Performance Information:*

	1998	1999
Percentage of surveyed court users indicating judges		
show courtesy and respect to people using		
the Louisiana court system	64%	
Percentage of surveyed court users indicating court		
personnel show courtesy and respect to people		
using the Louisiana court system	69%	
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
professionalism is a continuing issue the		
judiciary should address within the next five years		79.2%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records -- whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed -- reasonable, fair, and affordable. *General Performance Information:*

	1998
Percentage of surveyed court users indicating going to court	
costs too much	83%

Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. *General Performance Information:*

General I erjormance Injormation.		
	1999	2000
Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition		
data to CMIS	39	50
Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data		
to CMIS	60.9%	78%
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
improved delay reduction is an issue the judiciary		
should address within the next five years	69.5%	
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
improved training in delay reduction and case		
management is an issue the judiciary should		
address within the next five years	77.6%	
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
the use of better case management techniques is		
an issue the judiciary should address within the		
next five years	75.3%	
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
the use of better case management information		
systems is an issue the judiciary should address		
Within the next five years	83.4%	
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
the use of integrated case management information		
systems is an issue the judiciary should address		
within the next five years	73.3%	
Objective: To enhance jury service.		
General Performance Information:		
		1999
Percentage of surveyed district court judges indicating		
better jury management is an issue the judiciary		

better jury management is an issue the judiciary should address within the next five years

Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions.

68.2%

it is drawn.	To ensure that the jury venire is representative of jurisdiction fraction for the second seco	com which	
		1999	
-	of surveyed parish clerks of court using more ter registration rolls to select jury pool	34.4%	
	naintain constitutional independence, while observing the pr with other branches of government.	rinciple of	
principle of	To maintain the courts' constitutional independence while obs cooperation with other branches of government. formance Information:	erving the	
General I er	formance information.	1999	
-	of surveyed district court judges believing		
-	ed coordination/communication with the other		
	es of government is an issue the judiciary	(0.00/	
should	address within the next five years	68.0%	
	To seek, use and account for public resources in a responsibl <i>formance Information:</i>	e manner.	
		1999	
	listrict court judges lacking full-time law clerks	54 24.80/	
Percentage	of those judges lacking full-time law clerks	24.8%	
	To inform the community of the court's structure and function formance Information:	n.	
_		1999	
-	of surveyed district court judges indicating improved		
	h/public education is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years	72.7%	
uuuress	within the next five years	12.170	
operations a		ljust court	
operations a			
operations a General Per Percentage	s necessary.	ljust court 1999	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years		
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer	1999	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should	1999 91.4%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years	1999	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform	1999 91.4%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years	1999 91.4%	
operations a General Per Percentage of technolo the next Percentage of literacy address Percentage of trial con within t	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of	1999 91.4% 87.7%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within a five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform urt rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of	1999 91.4% 87.7%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within a five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform urt rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation is an iss	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund:	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform urt rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8%	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation is an iss	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0%	14 520 480
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation is an iss	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform urt rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8%	14,520,480
operations a General Per Percentage of technolo the next Percentage of literacy address Percentage of within the Percentage of alternation is an iss Payable of 01	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0%	14,520,480
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternation is an iss	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of District	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0% \$	
operations a General Per Percentage of technolo the next Percentage of literacy address Percentage of within the Percentage of alternation is an iss Payable of 01	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0%	14,520,480 1,213,000
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternat is an iss Payable on 01	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0% \$	
operations a General Per Percentage of technolo the next Percentage of literacy address Percentage of within the Percentage of alternation is an iss Payable of 01	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0% \$	
operations a General Per Percentage technolo the next Percentage literacy address Percentage trial con within t Percentage alternat is an iss Payable on 01	s necessary. formance Information: of surveyed district court judges indicating better court ogy is an issue the judiciary should address within five years of surveyed district court judges indicating computer training for judges is an issue the judiciary should within the next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform art rules is an issue the judiciary should address he next five years of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of tive sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts sue the judiciary should address in the next five years at of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred seventy-nine (179) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694	1999 91.4% 87.7% 58.8% 76.0% \$	

04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:694	\$	70,000
05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	71,317
06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	2,486,169
08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	259,172
09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	261,625
10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	183,140
11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	<u>\$</u>	25,800
SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	20,236,383

12 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

A. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691

\$ 1,054,560

B.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish and the state's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 13:694 and R.S. 42:851, respectively	\$	226,258
C.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373	\$	236,605
D.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373	\$	383,339
E.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	206,904
F.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
G.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	60,634
H.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	45,706
I.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	511,063
J.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	405,830
K.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	117,284
L.	Sanity Commissions	\$	182,172
M.	Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	231,380
SU	BTOTAL	<u>\$</u>	3,671,735
13	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
	 A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 	\$	1,135,680
	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	324,480
	C. Office expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as required by R.S. 13:694	<u>\$</u>	100,800
SU	BTOTAL	<u>\$</u>	1,560,960
ΤΟΤΑ	L DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	25,469,078
for sal addition District the even	e out of the State General Fund (Direct) ary and expenses associated with one onal judgeship in the Seventeenth Judicial t, effective January 1, 2002, but only in ent that House Bill No. 215 of the 2001 ar Session of the Legislature is enacted into		
law	a bession of the Legislature is charted linto	\$	54,047

H.B. NO.	1783	<u>ENR</u>	OLLED
for salary additional District, e the event	ut of the State General Fund (Direct) and expenses associated with one judgeship in the Nineteenth Judicial effective January 1, 2002, but only in that Senate Bill No. 561 of the 2001 dession of the Legislature is enacted into	5	54,047
for salary additional District, e the event	ut of the State General Fund (Direct) and expenses associated with one judgeship in the Thirtieth Judicial effective January 1, 2002, but only in that House Bill No. 22 of the 2001 dession of the Legislature is enacted into	5	54,047
03-8173	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	KPEN	ISES AS
municiį	m Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one bal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish Ascension Parish).		
Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
01	Salaries of sixty-one (61) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$ 1	,571,429
02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 2501.1, and 2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	253,468
TOTAL (OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$ 1</u>	,824,897
03-8174 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE			
Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	335,713
neglect	am Description: The program tracks and monitors child abuse and cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance port of the Families in Need of Services Program.		
02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters, to provide for a salary supplement for existing positions	\$	56,590
03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	<u>\$</u>	25,000
-	m Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling her assistance to judges with substance abuse problems.		
TOTAL (OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	417,303

Section 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or his duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings, are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2002.

D. For Fiscal Year 2001-2002, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part 6 General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

Section 3A. The sum of One Million Six Hundred Forty-one Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-three and No/100 (\$1,641,983.00) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund a five-percent salary increase in the actual salaries of Justices of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal and District Court judges and a five-percent increase in the state-paid salaries of City Court and Parish Court judges pursuant to a concurrent resolution adopted during the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature. This appropriation shall be allocated to the various judges in accordance with the recommendations adopted by the Judicial Compensation Commission on January 23, 2001, and as approved by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board and the Supreme Court.

B. The sum of Fifty-one Thousand Two Hundred Sixty-seven and No/100 (\$51,267.00) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund the salary increases for the Commissioners of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court and the Nineteenth Judicial District Court, provided, however, that such increase shall only be effective in the event that legislation revising the statutory provisions governing such compensation as contained in R.S. 13:713 and R.S. 13:715, respectively, is enacted into law in the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature.

C. The sum of Fifty-four Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-four and No/100 (\$54,854.00) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund to fund salary increases for the Commissioners of the Orleans Parish Criminal District Court, provided, however, that such increase shall only be effective in the event that legislation revising the statutory provisions governing such compensation as contained in R.S. 13:1347 is enacted into law in the 2001 Regular Session of the Legislature.

Section 4. The sum of Nine Million Seven Hundred Forty-three Thousand Seven Hundred One and No/100 (\$9,743,701) Dollars, be it more or less estimated, is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund by Interagency Transfers to the Supreme Court from the Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Addictive Disorders for the maintenance and enhancement of drug courts. H.B. NO. 1783

ENROLLED

Section 5. The sum of Nine Million Seven Hundred Thousand and No/100 (\$9,700,000) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund by Interagency Transfers to the Supreme Court from the Department of Social Services to be allocated as follows: Truancy and Assessment Centers (\$1,100,000), Court Appointed Special Advocates (\$3,600,000) and Drug Courts (\$5,000,000). Provided, however, that where such funds originate as TANF funding, such funds may be used only for clients eligible for TANF-funded drug court services, truancy and assessment center services, and court-appointed special advocate services as specified in the Louisiana State TANF plan. Eligible drug court services shall include treatment, assessment, training and other supportive services, except drug court administration costs.

Section 6. The sum of Two million Seven Hundred Eighty-five Thousand and No/100 (\$2,785,000) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund for Truancy and Assessment Centers.

Section 7. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2001; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2001, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: