HLS 13RS-526 ENGROSSED

Regular Session, 2013

HOUSE BILL NO. 691

# BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2013-2014

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-Seven Million Two Hundred Sixty-Six
7	Thousand Seventy-Five and No/100 (\$167,266,075.00) Dollars, or so much thereof as may
8	be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the
9	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans
10	Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT

Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

Page 1 of 15

HLS 13RS-526

1	Goal: To protect the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportus Supreme Court of decisions made by lower triggeneral Performance Information:		igants to seek	review in the	9
5	General I erjormanee Injormanon.	2010	2011	2012	
6	Total Filings	2,875	2,852	2,769	
7	Total Appeals Filed	9	10	10	
8	Total Writs Filed	2,674	2,613	2,639	
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,736	2,916		
-	^	2,730	2,910	3,181	
10	<b>Goal:</b> To promote the rule of law.				
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	ner.			
12	General Performance Information:				
13		2010	2011	2012	
14	Percentage of noncriminal case				
15	applications acted on within Supreme				
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	90.9%	96.6%	93.3%	
17	Percentage of criminal case applications	J <b>0.</b> J/0	20.070	70.070	
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	39.9%	40.4%	45.9%	
20		39.9/0	40.470	43.9/0	
	Percentage of pro se post conviction				
21 22	applications acted on within Supreme	7.70/	5.207	0.507	
	Court standard of 120 days of filing	7.7%	5.2%	8.5%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25	standard of 120 days of filing	76.5%	98.7%	88.9%	
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
28	from argument	83.7%	64.4%	80.6%	
29	Carlo Tarana and an all'advant				
29	<b>Goal:</b> To ensure the public trust.				
30 31	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:	reme Court	decisions.		
30 31	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	reme Court <b>2010</b>	decisions. <b>2011</b>	2012	
30	<b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:			2012	
30 31 32	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr			<b>2012</b> 100%	
30 31 32 33 34 35	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation	<b>2010</b> 100%	<b>2011</b> 100%		
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	2010 100% s and activit	<b>2011</b> 100% ties.	100%	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:	2010 100% s and activity 2010	2011 100% ties. 2011	100% 2012	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51	2011 100% ties. 2011 63	100% 2012 58	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87	2011 100% ties. 2011 63 83	100%  2012 58 77	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51	2011 100% ties. 2011 63	100% 2012 58	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22	2011 100% ties. 2011 63 83 21	100%  2012 58 77 15	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on  court decisions	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87	2011 100% ties. 2011 63 83	100%  2012 58 77	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2010 100% s and activity 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the super	2010 100% s and activity 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional thench.	2010 100% s and activity 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the super	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and con	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:	2010 100% s and activity 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and con	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional thench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and con	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and con	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge  Number of complaints filed against	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and cor 2011 30.20	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the  2012 29.35	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge  Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and con	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge  Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace  Number of complaints against judges	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and cor 2011 30.20	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the  2012 29.35	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge  Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace  Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and cor 2011 30.20 561	2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 mpetence of the 2012 29.35 537	e
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Objective: To facilitate public access to Super General Performance Information:  Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision  Objective: To inform the public of operation General Performance Information:  Number of outreach programs  Number of media releases on court decisions  Number of media releases on other matters  Number of recipients of releases on court decisions  Number of recipients of releases on other matters  Objective: To ensure the highest professional bench.  General Performance Information:  Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge  Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace  Number of complaints against judges	2010 100% s and activit 2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, into	2011 100% ties.  2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 egrity, and cor 2011 30.20	100%  2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672  mpetence of the  2012 29.35	e

HLS 13RS-526 ENGROSSED
HB NO. 691

1 2	<b>Object</b> i bar.	ive: To ensure the highest professional c	onduct, inte	egrity, and com	petence of the	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		l Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012	
5		e number of hours acquired through				
6		ntinuing legal education per lawyer	15.04	15.36	15.24	
8		r of complaints filed against lawyers r of complaints filed against lawyers	3,240	3,000	3,042	
9		olved or disposed of in calendar year	3,565	2,997	2,966	
10	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund (Dir	ect):			
11	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice	e and six	(6)		
12		Associate Justices of the Supre				
13		as provided by R.S. 13:102			\$	970,480
1.4	0.2					
14	02	Salaries and other expenses of t				
15		Supreme Court Proper and salar	•		Ф	0.422.917
16		the Crier of the Supreme Court			\$	9,432,817
17	03	Expenses of Judicial Administr	ator's			
18	0.5	Office and of the Judiciary Con				
19		provided for in Article V, Secti				
20		25 of the Constitution of Louisi				
21		under the provisions of R.S. 13	:32 et seq	<b>[.</b>		
22		Expenses of Judicial Administr			\$	, ,
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	adminis of Louis creation Adminis adminis human Manago judgesh courts, s	m Description: The Judicial Administration of the state court system. It staffsiana. Through the Judicial Council, it is not new judgeships and for improvistrator's Office provides payroll and estration of a judicial retirement system; resource system; technological servitement Information System. The Judicial system, monitors cases under advisors taffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and the Judiciary.	fs the Judice performs st. ping the acother fiscal support foces to countial Adminis ement, prov	ial Council and wake dministration of services to the Suprements; and, manustrator's Office vides outreach	d the Judiciary of ses recommendate of justice. The Judiciary, in Court and Appages the Trial et also manages services to sta	Commission  Itions for the  The Judicial  Icluding the  ellate Court  Court Case  I the ad hoc  te and local
34	В.	Expenses of Judiciary Commiss	sion		\$	1,926,519
35 36 37 38 39	under A compla	m Description: The Judiciary Commis article V, Section 25 of the Constitution ints of judicial misconduct. As part of issure, suspension, removal from office, duct.	of 1974 to d ts authority	accept, screen , it may recom	, investigate, an mend to the Sup	nd prosecute preme Court
40	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Rep	orting Sy	stems	\$	359,357
41	D.	Dues to National Center for Sta	te Courts		\$	148,690
42	04	Expenses of Committee on Pro-	fessional			
43	0.	Ethics and Grievances including				
44		disbarment proceedings, R.S. 3	_	seg.	\$	3,000
		1 6.7		1	Ť	,
45	05	Compensation and expenses of	retired			
46		judges assigned under Article V				
47		Section 5(A) of the Constitution	n of			
48		Louisiana, be it more or less est	timated at	t	\$	1,464,995
40	0.5					
49 50	06	Law Library of Louisiana for sa				
50 51		services, supplies, maintenance	, repairs,		φ	1 012 100
51		and equipment			\$	1,913,188

12 Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of the Legislature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, the state judiciary, and the bar. 3 07Salaries and expenses of transferred 4 judges assigned under Article V, 5 Section 5(A) of the Constitution, 6 be it more or less estimated as \$ 182,012 7 08 Retirement pay for services rendered by 8 justices and judges of all courts, as 9 provided by R.S. 11:1358 and 10 R.S. 13:103 \$ 1.398.390 11 09 Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by 12 13 R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it 14 more or less estimated at \$ 1,609,481 15 10 Judicial College 234,310 Program Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges. 18 State contribution to judicial 19 retirement provided for in Article V, 20 Section 23 of the Constitution and 21 R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or 22 less estimated at 14,561,372 23 12 Civil commitment matters as 24 required by R.S. 28:54 \$ 143,424 25 13 Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses 26 of storage of appellate court records \$ 60,000 27 14 Funding for statewide operations of the 28 Louisiana Protective Order Registry 29 (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case 30 Management Information System \$ 1,318,704 31 15 Information Technology \$ 1,037,647 32 16 Payable out of the State General Fund for the 33 expenses associated with the operation of the 34 Families in Need of Services Program (FINS) 2,037,299 **Program Description:** The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by 36 37 developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing  $and \ mandating \ the \ use \ of \ programmatic \ standards; \ developing, implementing, \ and \ reporting \ performance$ indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways. 41 17 \$ 11,137,372 Drug court maintenance and enhancement 42 43 Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and 46 treatment requirements set by the court. 47 18 Court Appointed Special Advocates 2,519,048

1234567 Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified  $advocates\ serve\ children\ by\ providing\ independent\ factual\ information\ to\ the\ judge,\ advocating\ for\ the\ best$ interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

#### 8 **TOTAL - GENERAL FUND**

14

15 16

31

57,235,127

9 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 10 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 11 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 12 R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 13

estimated at 6,000,000

**Program Description:** The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

17 Payable out of the State General Fund 18 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 19 Case Management Information Fund, for 20 the Case Management Information System, 21 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal 22 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

3,797,469

Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).

#### 30 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND

# BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS

9,797,469

32 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable 33 out of the State General Fund through Interagency 34 Transfers from the Department of Children and 35 Family Services

6,000,000

36 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of 37 the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers 38 from the Department of Children and Family

39 Services <u>4,436,500</u>

40 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND

41 THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 10,436,500

42 TOTAL SUPREME COURT 77,469,096

#### 43 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

44 Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, 45 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over 46 all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all 49 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases 50 appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

1	Goal:	To protect the rule of law.					
2 3		tive: To provide a reasonable opportur	nity for mul	ti-judge reviev	w of decisio	ns	
4	Genera	al Performance Information:					
4 5 6 7 8			2010	2011	2012		
6		ppeals filed	2,587	2,838	2,689		
/ 8		vrits filed lispositions rendered	5,102 6,530	4,987 5,277	4,499 6,240		
O	10iai a	uspositions renaerea	0,550	3,277	0,240		
9	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.					
10		tive: To resolve cases expeditiously.					
11 12	Genera	al Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012		
13	Averao	e number of days from lodging of the	2010	2011	2012		
14		to argument:					
15		tandard = no more than 175 days.					
16		al cases	145	145	189		
17	Civil C		159	175	161		
18	Mediar	n number of days for all cases	152	155	172		
19 20		e number of days from argument to ing of the opinion:					
21 22		tandard = no more than 70 days.					
22		al cases	39	41	37		
23 24	Civil co		37	37	41		
<i>2</i> 4	Meatar	n number of days for all cases	38	38	38		
25	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
26	Object	tive: To facilitate public access to the o	lecisions of	the courts of a	appeal.		
27	Genera	al Performance Information:					
28	D		2010	2011	2012		
29 30		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
30	io	the public within 3 days of decision	10070	10070	100/0		
31	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:					
32	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge	·c				
33	01	and forty-eight (48) Judges of	<i>,</i> 3				
34		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:3	211			\$	6,949,328
J <del>4</del>		the Courts of Appear, R.S. 13	)11			φ	0,545,526
35	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
36	02	<u> </u>					
30 37		maintenance of the Court of A	opeai,			\$	0.691.002
31		First Circuit				Ф	9,681,993
38	02	Colonies and expenses of energy	tion and				
	03	Salaries and expenses of opera					
39		maintenance of the Court of Ap	opeai,			ф	5 5 6 1 1 5 6
40		Second Circuit				\$	5,561,156
11	0.4		1				
41	04	Salaries and expenses of opera					
42		maintenance of the Court of Ap	opeal,			4	0.455.45
43		Third Circuit				\$	8,457,126
4.4	0.7						
44	05	Salaries and expenses of opera					
45		maintenance of the Court of A	opeal,				
46		Fourth Circuit				\$	7,701,037
4.							
47	06	Salaries and expenses of opera					
48		maintenance of the Court of A	opeal,				
49		Fifth Circuit				\$	5,719,937
50	TOTAL C	COLIDTE OF APPEAL				ø	44.070.577
50	IOIAL (	COURTS OF APPEAL				<u>\$</u>	44,070,577

24

38 39 40

42 43

44 45 46

47 48 49

### 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

**Objective:** To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

v	2010	2011	2012
Percentage of surveyed district court	chief		
judges indicating actions taken in FY	2011-2012		
to improve compliance withthe Ameri	icans with		
Disabilities Act (ADA)	89.6%	91.7%	89.6%

**Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

## General Performance Information:

	2010	2011	2012
Percentage of surveyed district court chie	ef		
judges indicating actions taken in FY 20.	11-2012		
to assist self-represented litigants	100%	93.8%	95.8%

**Goal:** To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.

jury service more convenient or effective 97.7%

### General Performance Information:

General Ferjormance Injormation:			
v	2010	2011	2012
Number of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	62	62	63
Percentage of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	96.9%	97.0%	98.4%
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating that their courts had			
taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to redu	ice		
delays and improve the timeliness of cas	e		
processing	97.9%	91.7%	91.7%
<b>Objective:</b> To enhance jury service.			
General Performance Information:			
·	2010	2011	2012
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating that their court had			
taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to mak	e		

95.3%

93.0%

HLS 13RS-526

1 2		To provide due process and equal prothe court; and to demonstrate integrity			e business	
3 4	as nece		nerging events	and to adjust court of	operations	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Percent jud	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2011-2012 to improve employee	2010	2011	2012	
10 11 12 13	tra Percent jud	ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2011-2012 to install or implement	87.5%	93.8%	89.6%	
14	tec	hnologies	95.8%	93.8%	91.7%	
15 16		To maintain judicial independence, when the relations and accountability		the principle of coi	nity in	
17 18		ive: To inform the community of the all Performance Information:	court's structu	re and function.		
19 20 21 22 23	jud reg	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in	2010	2011	2012	
24		2011-2012	97.9%	91.7%	89.6%	
25	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund:				
26	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety				
27 28		(191) District Judges as provid by R.S. 13:691	ied		\$	23,787,827
29 30 31	02	Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,850
32 33 34	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge Civil District Court, Orleans F as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,743,611
35 36 37 38	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil D Court, Parish of Orleans, for s of stenographers, clerks, law b stationery, telephone, and like	alaries ooks,		¢	90.500
39		as provided by R.S. 13:698			\$	80,500
40 41 42 43	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Dist including retirement contribut provided by R.S. 13:966.1	rict Court,		\$	109,364
44 45	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, (Parish, as provided by R.S. 13			\$	10,000
46 47 48 49	07	State share of Group, Workers Compensation, General Liabil and Property Insurance Premit as provided by R.S.42:851	ity,		\$	5,652,873
50 51 52 53	08	Salaries of two (2) commission the Nineteenth Judicial Distriction one (1) commissioner of the Fundicial District as provided by the Salaries of two (2) commission one (1) commissioner of the Fundicial District as provided by the Salaries of two (2) commission one (1) commission on (1) commission one (1) commission on (1) commission on (1) commission one (1) commission one (1) commission on (1) c	et and lifteenth			
54 55		R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively			\$	474,997

	HLS 13RS	S-526		GROSSED HB NO. 691
1 2 3 4	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	452,388
5 6 7 8	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	282,306
9 10	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	47,968
11 12 13	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	\$	35,000
14	SUBT	OTAL	\$	33,962,684
15	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the t Orleans with ass the pow cases b	m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has rial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committees, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, throesistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in wer to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or efore the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried befor Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory.	d withi ugh its all felo to hold te the M	n the parish of magistrate and ny charges and I for trial, in all Iunicipal Court
24 25 26	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,619,067
27 28	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
29 30	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	604,800
31 32	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	272,611
33 34	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	432,379
35 36 37	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	422,706
38 39	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
40 41	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	69,921
42 43	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	52,710
44 45	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	901,284
46	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	699,327

	HLS 13RS-526	ENGROSSED HB NO. 691
1	L. Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 202,659
2	M. Sanity Commissions	\$ 165,221
3	N. Board of Jury Commissioners	\$ 406,841
4	SUBTOTAL	\$ 5,934,276
5	14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges	
6 7	A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,743,611
8 9	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 498,175
10 11 12	C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$ 103,500
13	SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,345,286
14	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	\$ 42,242,246
15 16	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES BY STATUTE	AS REQUIRED
17 18 19	<b>Program Description:</b> The category includes forty-seven city courts, one munic court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascent Parish).	
20 21 22 23 24	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to prove access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judic independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public	to rial the
25	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.	
26 27 28 29	<b>Objective:</b> To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to mak costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in term money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and afford <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ns of
30 31 32 33	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in	<b>012</b> 6.2%
34 35	<b>Goal:</b> To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.	
36 37 38 39	Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.  General Performance Information:  2010 2011 20  Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief	012
40 41 42 43	judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case	6.5%
44 45	<b>Goal:</b> To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity its governmental relations and accountability to the public.	

HLS 13RS-526 **ENGROSSED** HB NO. 691

2		ive: To inform the community of the <i>l Performance Information</i> :			2012	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	jud	age of surveyed city/parish court chic ges indicating that their courts regul		2011	2012	
6 7		ovided public education and public reach services in FY 2011-2012	94.2%	90.4%	88.5%	
8 9 10	operation	ive: To recognize new conditions one as necessary.	or emerging	events and to adju	ust court	
11 12	Percent	l Performance Information: age of surveyed city/parish court chic	<b>2010</b> ef	2011	2012	
13 14 15 16 17 18	FY tra Percent jud	ges indicating actions taken in 2011-2012 to improve employee ining and development age of surveyed city/parish court chidges indicating actions taken in 2011-2012 to install or implement	-	90.4%	92.3%	
19	tec	hnologies	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%	
20	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund:				
21 22	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Cou Judges as provided by R.S. 13			\$	2,412,714
23 24	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal Traffic and one (1) Parish Cou				
25 26		Judges as provided by R.S. 13 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, res			\$	389,156
27	TOTAL C	THER COURTS REQUIRED	BY STATU	JTE	<u>\$</u>	2,801,870
28 29	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SAI REQUIRED BY STATUTE		AND OFFICE	EXPEN	NSES NOT
		REQUIRED BI STATUTE				
30	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund:				
30 31 32	Payable of 01	-			\$	563,937
31	01 Progra	ut of the State General Fund:  Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect	ctive and maintains		eglect cases	in the Orleans
31 32 33	01 Progra	ort of the State General Fund:  Orleans Parish Juvenile Protection: The program tracks	ctive and maintains ance in suppor		eglect cases	in the Orleans
31 32 33 34	01  Progra  Parish.	orleans Parish Juvenile Protections Parish Juvenile Protection Program  The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assists	ctive and maintains ance in suppor Reporters		eglect cases Need of Ser	in the Orleans vices Program.
31 32 33 34 35	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra	orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assisted  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judges	etive  and maintains ance in suppor  Reporters s'	rt of the Families in	eglect cases Need of Ser \$ \$	in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra with suit	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assist.  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judges Assistance Program  m Description: The Judges' Assistance	etive  and maintains ance in suppor Reporters s'	rt of the Families in	eglect cases Need of Ser \$ \$	in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra with suit	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assists  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judges Assistance Program  m Description: The Judges' Assistance betance abuse problems.	etive  and maintains ance in suppor Reporters s' re Program pro	rt of the Families in	eglect cases Need of Ser \$  \$ and other assi.	s in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564  stance to judges
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra with suit  TOTAL C	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assist.  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judges Assistance Program  m Description: The Judges' Assistance betance abuse problems.  OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED.	etive  and maintains ance in suppor Reporters s' re Program pro	rt of the Families in	eglect cases Need of Ser \$  \$ and other assi.	s in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564  stance to judges
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra with suit  TOTAL C	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assists  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judgest Assistance Program  m Description: The Judgest Assistance betance abuse problems.  OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIN	etive  and maintains ance in suppor Reporters s' re Program pro	rt of the Families in	eglect cases Need of Ser \$  \$ and other assi.	s in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564  stance to judges
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Progra Parish.  02  03  Progra with sud TOTAL C  03-8175  Payable of  01  Progra Cases a the Sup	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program  m Description: The program tracks Juvenile Court. It also provides assists  Orleans Parish Juvenile Court  For the expenses of the Judgest Assistance Program  m Description: The Judgest Assistance betance abuse problems.  OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED NON-JUDICIAL STATE Extent of the State General Fund:  Legal representation of children.	and maintains ance in support Reporters  S'  THE Program proving RED BY S'  XPENSES  THE Task Force in inistration, in provide qualification and the proving the second in	et of the Families in ovides counseling ar TATUTE  TATUTE  TATUTE  Torder to advance the order to advance the legal represent	eglect cases Need of Ser  \$  and other assist  s  antation in C the administr	s in the Orleans vices Program.  87,785  30,564  stance to judges  682,286  1,976,474  shild Protection ation of justice,

1 Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State 2 General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount 3 of Four Million One Hundred Sixty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Two and No/100 4 (\$4,169,672.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board 5 or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court. 6 Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and 7 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for 8 so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state 9 general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other 10 warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants 11 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this 12 Act. 13 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in 14 an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state. 15 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 16 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 17 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 18 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 19 general fund on or before September 1, 2014. 20 D. For Fiscal Year 2013-2014, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this 21 Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in 22 accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the 23 Supreme Court. 24 E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of 25 authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, 26 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the 27 Supreme Court. 28 F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, 29 goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted

into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained

30

1 in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G

- 2 General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial
- 4 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the
- 5 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 6 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the
- 7 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the
- 8 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 9 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be
- 10 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency
- employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.
- Section 4. Contingent on the passage of separate legislation, a sum to be determined shall
- be appropriated out of the State General Fund for the purpose of funding an increase in the
- salaries of the Justices of the Supreme Court, judges of the Courts of Appeal, judges of the
- 15 District Courts, judges of the City and Parish Courts, and certain commissioners as provided
- 16 for by law. Any such appropriation shall be allocated to the various judges and
- 17 commissioners in a manner contemplated by the Judicial Compensation Commission's report
- 18 dated January 9, 2013.
- 19 Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013; if vetoed by the governor and
- subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013, or
- 21 on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2012-2013 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2013-2014 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budget	Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2012-2013	FY 2013-2014
Supreme Court		
Total Supreme Court	\$65,463,068	\$ 67,671,627
Courts of Appeal		
Total Courts of Appea	s42,428,117	\$ 44,070,577

HLS 13RS-526			ENGROSSED HB NO. 691
District Courts			
<b>Total District Courts</b>	\$41,953,795	\$	42,242,246
Other Courts			
<b>Total Other Courts</b>	\$2,801,870	\$	2,801,870
Other Programs			
Total Other Programs	\$652,084	\$_	682,286
Total State General Fund			
and Interagency Transfer			
All Line Items	<u>\$153,298,934</u>	<u>\$</u>	157,468,606
Total Statutory Dedications	\$9,650,831	\$	9,797,469
Total Funding	<u>\$162,949,765</u>	<u>\$</u>	167,266,075

#### **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Fannin HB No. 691

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2013-2014 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$165,072,877 from the following sources: \$144,838,908 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$10,436,500 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services; and, \$9,797,469 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Provides for an additional \$1,976,474 for the legal representation of children in child protection cases.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 77,469,096
(2)	Courts of Appeal	44,070,577
(3)	District Courts	33,962,684
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	5,934,276
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,345,286
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	2,801,870
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	682,286
	TOTAL	<u>\$167,266,075</u>

Provides that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act shall be reduced by a total amount of \$4,169,672 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

HLS 13RS-526 ENGROSSED
HB NO. 691

Contingent on the passage of separate legislation, a sum to be determined shall be appropriated out of the State General Fund for the purpose of funding an increase in the salaries of the Justices of the Supreme Court, judges of the Courts of Appeal, judges of the District Courts, judges of the City and Parish Courts, and certain commissioners as provided for by law. Any such appropriation shall be allocated to the various judges and commissioners in a manner contemplated by the Judicial Compensation Commission's report dated January 9, 2013.

Effective July 1, 2013.

# Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill.

- 1. Provided for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$10,436,500 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).
- 2. Provided for an additional \$1,976,474 for the legal representation of children in child protection cases.
- 3. Added requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$4,169,672 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.