Regular Session, 2014

HOUSE BILL NO. 1095

BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2014-2015

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Seventy-Nine Million One Hundred Fourteen
7	Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and No/100 (\$179,114,420.00) Dollars, or so much thereof
8	as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

1 23 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals.

$\frac{J}{A}$	General Performance Information:				
4 5	General I erjormance Information.	2011	2012	2012	
		2011	2012	2013	
6	Total Filings	2,852	2,769	3,017	
7	Total Appeals Filed	10	10	18	
8	Total Writs Filed	2,613	2,639	2,790	
7 8 9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,916	3,181	2,500	
,	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,710	5,101	2,500	
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er			
12	General Performance Information:	01.			
12^{12}	General I erjormance injormation.	2011	2012	2012	
		2011	2012	2013	
14	Percentage of noncriminal case				
15	applications acted on within Supreme				
16	Court standard of 120 days of filing	96.6%	93.3%	94.3%	
17	Percentage of criminal case applications				
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	40.4%	45.9%	37%	
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction	40.470	45.770	5770	
21	applications acted on within Supreme	- - - - /	o - 0 (1.00/	
22	Court standard of 120 days of filing	5.2%	8.5%	4.8%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25	standard of 120 days of filing	98.7%	88.9%	95.2%	
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
$\overline{27}$	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
$\frac{2}{28}$		64.4%	<u>00 60/</u>	02 70/	
20	from argument	04.4%	80.6%	83.2%	
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.		
31	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr <i>General Performance Information:</i>	eme Court	decisions.		
31 32		eme Court 2011	decisions. 2012	2013	
31 32	General Performance Information:			2013	
31 32 33	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available	2011	2012		
31 32	General Performance Information:			2013 100%	
31 32 33 34	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	2011 100%	2012 100%		
31 32 33 34 35	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations 	2011 100%	2012 100%		
31 32 33 34 35 36	General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	2011 100% s and activi	2012 100% ties.	100%	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: 	2011 100% s and activi 2011	2012 100% ties. 2012	100% 2013	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations 	2011 100% 5 and activi 2011 63	2012 100% ties. 2012 58	100%	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: 	2011 100% s and activi 2011	2012 100% ties. 2012	100% 2013	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77	100% 2013 78 73	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters 	2011 100% 5 and activi 2011 63	2012 100% ties. 2012 58	100% 2013 78	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15	100% 2013 78 73 24	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77	100% 2013 78 73	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15	100% 2013 78 73 24	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 npetence of the	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 npetence of the	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 npetence of the	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against 	2011 100% s and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011 30.20	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012 29.35	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013 36.36	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2011 100% and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against 	2011 100% s and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011 30.20	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012 29.35	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013 36.36	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges 	2011 100% s and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011 30.20	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012 29.35	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013 36.36	
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	 General Performance Information: Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace 	2011 100% s and activi 2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 conduct, int 2011 30.20	2012 100% ties. 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 egrity, and cor 2012 29.35	100% 2013 78 73 24 1,760 4,694 mpetence of the 2013 36.36	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bar.	ive: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, inte	egrity, and com	petence of the	
3	Genera	l Performance Information:	2011	2012	2013	
5	0	e number of hours acquired through				
о 7		ntinuing legal education per lawyer r of complaints filed against lawyers	15.36 3,000	15.24 3,042	15.46 3,038	
8	Numbe	r of complaints filed against lawyers	2 007			
9	res	colved or disposed of in calendar year	2,997	2,966	3,319	
10	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund (Dir	rect):			
11	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic				
12		Associate Justices of the Supre	me Court,	,	¢	1.052.552
13		as provided by R.S. 13:102			\$	1,052,553
14	02	Salaries and other expenses of	the			
15		Supreme Court Proper and sala	ry of			
16		the Crier of the Supreme Court	-		\$	9,714,732
17	03	Expenses of Judicial Administr	rator's			
18	05	Office and of the Judiciary Cor				
19		provided for in Article V, Section				
20		25 of the Constitution of Louis				
21		under the provisions of R.S. 13	3:32 et seq	ŀ		
22	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administr	rator's Off	ïce	\$	4,970,135
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	adminis Louisia of new provide retirem technol The Jua advisen	m Description: The Judicial Adm stration of the state court system. It states in a. Through the Judicial Council, it per- judgeships and for improving the adm s payroll and other fiscal services to ent system; support for the Supreme ogical services to courts; and, manage dicial Administrator's Office also man- ment, provides outreach services to state forms numerous legal services for the	offs the Judi forms studie inistration of the Judicia e Court and es the Trial ages the ad e and local o	cial Council an es and makes re of justice. The ry, including th d Appellate C Court Case Ma hoc judgeship courts, staffs the	nd the Judiciary commendation. Judicial Admin he administrati ourt human re unagement Info system, monit e Committee on	Commission of s for the creation istrator's Office ion of a judicial esource system; rmation System. ors cases under
33	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commis	sion		\$	2,025,534
34 35 36 37	Article of judic	m Description: The Judiciary Commiss V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 197 cial misconduct. As part of its authori sion, removal from office, or involuntar	74 to accept, ty, it may re	screen, investi ecommend to th	gate, and prose he Supreme Co	ecute complaints ourt the censure,
38	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Rep	porting Sy	vstems	\$	406,124
39	D.	Dues to National Center for Sta	ate Courts		\$	150,924
40 41	04	Expenses of the Louisiana Atto Board, as per Louisiana Suprer	-		\$	3,000
42	05	Compensation and expenses of	retired			
43		judges assigned under Article V				
44		Section 5(A) of the Constitution				
45		Louisiana, be it more or less es	timated at	t	\$	1,518,993
46	06	Law Library of Louisiana for sa	alaries			
47		services, supplies, maintenance				
48		and equipment	_ ,		\$	1,996,817

$\frac{1}{2}$		m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.	n nee	eds of the state
3 4 5 6	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	180,323
7 8 9 10	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,398,390
11 12 13 14	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,609,481
15	10	Judicial College	\$	274,681
16 17		m Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Suprese continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.		
18 19 20 21 22	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	17,781,862
23 24	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
25 26	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
27 28 29 30	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,395,496
31	15	Information Technology	\$	1,101,061
32 33 34	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,083,423
35 36 37 38 39 40	develop the use and ma indicate	m Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist locating and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implement of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS information of the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reports and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and finant nerally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.	ting, al cas portir	and mandating ses; developing ng performance
41	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,685,190
42	Drogro	m Description : Drug treatment courts authorized in 1007 by P S 13:5301 th	roug	LPS 13.5301

42 Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 through R.S. 13:5304,
 43 provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place
 44 low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation
 45 programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and
 46 treatment requirements set by the court.

HB NO. 1095 1 18 **Court Appointed Special Advocates** \$ 2,575,827 2345678 **Program Description:** The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. 9 TOTAL - GENERAL FUND \$ <u>62,127,970</u> 10 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 11 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 12 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 13 R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 14 estimated at \$ 6,223,724 15 **Program Description:** The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature 16 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding 17 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 18 20 Payable out of the State General Fund 19 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 20 Case Management Information Fund, for 21 the Case Management Information System, 22 Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal 23 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at 3.999.098 \$ 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).31 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 32 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 10,222,822 33 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable 34 out of the State General Fund through Interagency 35 Transfers from the Department of Children and 36 Family Services \$ 6,000,000 37 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of 38 the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers 39 from the Department of Children and Family 40 Services 4,436,500 41 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 42 THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 10,436,500

ENGROSSED

82,787,292

43 TOTAL SUPREME COURT

HLS 14RS-577

44 **03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL**

45 Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge,
46 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over
47 all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory
48 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction
49 over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all
50 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases
51 appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide
 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory
 jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the
 rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

5	Goal:	To protect the rule of law.					
6 7 8 9 10		ive: To provide a reasonable opportu y lower tribunals.	nity for mul	ti-judge revie	w of decisio	ns	
8	Genera	Il Performance Information:					
9			2011	2012	2013		
10		ppeals filed	2,838	2,689	2,382		
11		vrits filed	4,987	4,499	4,973		
12	Total d	ispositions rendered	5,277	6,240	6,432		
13	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.					
14	Object	ive: To resolve cases expeditiously.					
15		Il Performance Information:					
16	Genere		2011	2012	2013		
17	Averag	e number of days from lodging of the			2010		
18		to argument:					
19		tandard = no more than 175 days.					
20		al cases	145	189	179		
$\overline{2}1$	Civil C		175	161	160		
$\overline{22}$		n number of days for all cases	155	172	165		
			100	- / -	100		
23 24 25 26		e number of days from argument to ing of the opinion:					
25		tandard = no more than 70 days.					
26	Crimin	al cases	41	37	38		
27	Civil co	ises	37	41	44		
28	Mediar	n number of days for all cases	38	38	42		
29	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
30		·	1:.:	41 f	1		
31		ive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of	appear.		
	Conord	I Doufournance Information.					
$\frac{31}{32}$	Genera	al Performance Information:	2011	2012	2012		
32			2011	2012	2013		
32 33	Percen	tage of written opinions available					
32	Percen		2011 100%	2012 100%	2013 100%		
32 33	Percen to	tage of written opinions available					
32 33 34 35	Percen to Payable o	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund:	100%				
32 33 34 35 36	Percen to	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	100%				
32 33 34 35 36 37	Percen to Payable o	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of	100% es			¢	
32 33 34 35 36	Percen to Payable o	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	100% es			\$	7,399,228
32 33 34 35 36 37	Percen to Payable o	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of	100% es			\$	7,399,228
32 33 34 35 36 37	Percen to Payable o	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	100% es 311			\$	7,399,228
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Percento to Payable o 01	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera	100% es 311 tion and			\$	7,399,228
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Percento to Payable o 01	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A	100% es 311 tion and				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Percento to Payable o 01	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera	100% es 311 tion and			\$	7,399,228
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Percento Payable o 01 02	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit	100% es 311 tion and ppeal,				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Percento to Payable o 01	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A	100% es 311 tion and ppeal,				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Percento Payable o 01 02	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Percento Payable o 01 02	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and			\$	10,007,183
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Percento Payable o 01 02	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and				
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Percento Payable o 01 02 03	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Percento Payable o 01 02	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Percento Payable o 01 02 03	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Percento Payable o 01 02 03	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Percento Payable o 01 02 03	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183 5,790,444
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Percento Payable o 01 02 03 04	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183 5,790,444
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Percento Payable o 01 02 03	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183 5,790,444
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Percento Payable o 01 02 03 04	tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$ \$	10,007,183 5,790,444 8,732,316
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Percento Payable o 01 02 03 04	 tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision ut of the State General Fund: Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit 	100% es 311 tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal, tion and ppeal,			\$	10,007,183 5,790,444

20 21 22

28

\$ 45,965,109

1 2	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal,		
3		Fifth Circuit	<u>\$</u>	6,063,296

4 TOTAL COURTS OF APPEAL

5 03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

29 30 31	Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. <i>General Performance Information:</i>					
32		2011	2012	2013		
33 34 35	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)		89.6%	89.6%		
36 37 38 39 40	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - wheth of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	her measu	ured in terr	ns		
41		2011	2012	2013		
42 43 44	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to assist self-represented litigants	93.8%	95.8%	95.8%		
45 46	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the co in a timely and expeditious manner.	ourt and i	ts activitie	es		
47 48	Objective: To encourage timely case management and processi <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ng.				
49		2011	2012	2013		
50	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition					
51	data to CMIS	62	63	63		
52 53 54 55 56	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2012-2013 to reduce delays and improve the	97%	98%	99%		
57	timeliness of case processing	91.7%	91.7%	91.7%		

1	•	ive: To enhance jury service.					
1 2 3 4 5 6	Genera	l Performance Information:	2011	2012	201	3	
4 5		tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tt their court had taken steps within FY 2012-2013					
6		make jury service more convenient or effective	95.3%	93%	97.	7%	
7 8		To provide due process and equal protection of the law the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures			iness		
9 10	as nece		to adjust c	ourt opera	tions		
11 12		l Performance Information:	2011	2012	201	3	
13 14	act	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve employee					
15 16		ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	93.8%	89.6%	89.	6%	
17 18		tions taken in FY 2012-2013 to install or implement hnologies	93.8%	91.7%	91.	7%	
19		To maintain judicial independence, while observing the	nrinciple c	of comity i			
20		ernmental relations and accountability to the public.	principie	i conney i			
$\frac{21}{22}$		ive: To inform the community of the court's structure and the court's structure and the court's structure and the struct	nd function	n.			
22 23 24 25		l Performance Information:	2011	2012	201	3	
24 25	tha	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating at their courts regularly provided public education					
26	and	d public outreach services in FY 2012-2013	91.7%	89.6%	93.	8%	
27	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:					
28	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one					
29 30		(191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	25,414,778	
					Ψ	23,414,770	
31 32	02	Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and					
33		R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,850	
34	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of					
35		Civil District Court, Orleans Parish,					
36		as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,862,865	
37	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District					
38 39		Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books,					
40		stationery, telephone, and like expenses					
41		as provided by R.S. 13:698			\$	80,500	
42	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters					
43 44		of the Twentieth Judicial District Court,					
44		including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1			\$	113,354	
46	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans					
47	00	Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)			\$	10,000	
48	07	State share of Group, Workers'					
49		Compensation, General Liability,					
50 51		and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851			\$	5,771,512	
51		us provided by 10.5.72.031			Ψ	5,111,512	

1 2 3 4 5 6	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	526,015	
7 8 9 10	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	469,812	
11 12 13 14	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	293,046	
15 16	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	47,968	
17 18 19	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000	
20	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	35,910,700	
21	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans			
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.				

33 34B. Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698\$ 74,735 36C. State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851\$ 604,837 38D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1\$ 284,2	803
 36 of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851 \$ 604,8 37 D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided 	'50
	800
φ 201,2	16
39E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1\$ 449,1	72
 F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347 \$ 463,7 	'45
44G. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347\$ 10,0	00
46 47H. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347\$ 72,8	98

	HLS 14RS	5-577				<u>Grossed</u> B No. 1095
1 2	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	L		\$	54,954
3 4	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants including related benefits	s,		\$	1,001,031
5	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks			\$	729,085
6	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries			\$	211,288
7	M.	Sanity Commissions			\$	102,120
8	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners			<u>\$</u>	416,919
9	SUBT	OTAL			<u>\$</u>	6,204,781
10	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
11 12	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,862,865
13 14	B.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	532,248
15 16 17	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Fami Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	ily		<u>\$</u>	103,500
18	SUBT	OTAL			<u>\$</u>	2,498,613
19	TOTAL D	ISTRICT COURTS			\$	44,614,094
20 21		THER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE CATUTE	E EXP	ENSES	AS H	REQUIRED
22 23 24		m Description: The category includes forty-seven city co lew Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one par				
25 26 27 28 29	access i provide indepen	Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Low o justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expe- equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to dence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result ral and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confid	editious 5 maint by adhe	manner, tain judict erence to t	to ial he	
30	Goal: 7	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.				
31 32 33 34 35	costs of money,	ve: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public access to the court's proceedings and records - whether time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable <i>Performance Information:</i>	measur	ed in tern	ns of	3
36 37 38	act	age of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating ions taken in FY 2012-2013 to assist pro	2011 98.1%	96.2%	100	

35	General Performance Information.	2011	2012	2013	
36 37 38	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to assist pro se litigants	0	96.2%	100%	
39 40	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the activities in a timely and expeditious manner.	court and	l its		
41 42	Objective: To encourage timely case management and process <i>General Performance Information:</i>	U			
43		2011	2012	2013	

41 42	Objective: To encourage timely case management and proc <i>General Performance Information:</i>	essing.		
43	5 5	2011	2012	2013
44 45 46	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2012-2013 to reduce delays and improve the			
47	timeliness of case processing	90.4%	86.5%	84.3%

$\frac{1}{2}$		To maintain judicial independence, while observing the pernmental relations and accountability to the public.	orinciple	of comity	in		
3 4 5 6 7 8	Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. <i>General Performance Information:</i>						
5 6 7		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating	2011	2012	201	3	
8		at their courts regularly provided public education and blic outreach services in FY 2012-2013	90.4%	88.5%	92.2	2%	
9 10	operatio	ive: To recognize new conditions or emerging events	s and to	adjust co	ourt		
11	Genera	l Performance Information:	2011	2012	201	2	
12 13 14		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve	2011	2012	201	3	
15 16	employee training and development Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating		90.4%	92.3%	96.1	96.1%	
17 18		tions taken in FY 2012-2013 to install or plement technologies	90.4%	86.5%	86.3	3%	
19	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
20	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court					
20	01	Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875			\$	2,577,741	
22	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4)					
23		Traffic and one (1) Parish Court					
24		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492,					
25		13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively			<u>\$</u>	415,775	
26	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE			<u>\$</u>	2,993,516	
27 28	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND REQUIRED BY STATUTE	OFF	ICE EX	KPEN	ISES NOT	
29	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:					
30	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective					
31	01	Care Monitoring Program			\$	584,475	
51		Care Wolntoring Program			Ψ	504,475	
32 33		m Description: <i>The program tracks and maintains child</i> <i>Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of th</i>					
34	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters			\$	88,370	
35	03	For the expenses of the Judges'			.		
36		Assistance Program			<u>\$</u>	63,189	
37 38	 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems. 						
39	TOTAL	THER COURTS NOT REOUIRED BY STAT	UTE		\$	736.034	

39TOTAL OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE\$ 736,034

8

2,018,375

1 03-8175 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

2 Payable out of the State General Fund:

3 01 Legal representation of children in child protection cases $\frac{2,018,375}{2}$

Program Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.

9 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State
General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount
of Eleven Million One Hundred Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Ninety and No/100
(\$11,116,190.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board
or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary inan approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2015.

D. For Fiscal Year 2014-2015, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

HLS 14RS-577

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of
 authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected,
 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the
 Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives,
goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted
into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained
in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G
General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

10 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 11 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 12 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 13 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 14 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 15 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 16 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 17 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 18 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act. 19 Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2014; if vetoed by the governor and

20 subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2014, or

21 on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2013-2014 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2014-2015 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budget	Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2013-2014	FY 2014-2015
Supreme Court Total Supreme Court	\$67,149,982	\$72,564,470
Courts of Appeal Total Courts of Appeal	\$42,983,136	\$45,965,109
District Courts Total District Courts	\$42,047,475	\$44,614,094

Other Courts Total Other Courts	\$2,925,833	\$2,993,516
Other Programs Total Other Programs	\$692,508	\$736,034
Non-Judicial State Expenses Total State Expenses	<u>\$1,976,474</u>	\$2,018,375
Total State General Fund and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$157,775,408</u>	<u>\$168,891,598</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$9,797,469</u>	<u>\$10,222,822</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$167,572,877</u>	<u>\$179,114,420</u>

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Fannin

HB No. 1095

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2014-2015 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2014-2015 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$167,998,230 from the following sources: \$147,338,908 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$10,436,500 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services; and \$10,222,822 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$82,787,292
(2)	Courts of Appeal	45,965,109
(3)	District Courts	35,910,700
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,204,781
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,498,613
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	2,993,516
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	736,034
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses	<u>2,018,375</u>
	TOTAL	<u>\$179,114,420</u>

Provides that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act shall be reduced by a total amount of \$11,116,190 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2014.

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

- Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the <u>original</u> bill.
- 1. Provided for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$10,436,500 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).
- 3. Added requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$11,116,190 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.