

## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **164** SLS 14RS SB 189

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For .:

**Date:** May 19, 2014 5:41 PM

**Author: MURRAY** 

Legislative Fiscal Officer

Dept./Agy.: Statewide

**Analyst:** Matthew LaBruyere **Subject:** Discrimination

DISCRIMINATION

OR NO IMPACT See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides relative to discrimination. (8/1/14)

Proposed law provides with respect to discrimination in various provisions of law. In general, adds sexual orientation and gender identity or expression in provisions prohibiting or describing discrimination. Also adds age, sex, or disability in a number of such provisions.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

This proposed legislation may result in an increase in governmental expenditures as a result of expanding the types of discrimination in connection with numerous provisions throughout state law; however the exact fiscal impact of this legislation on courts and state agencies is indeterminable. To the extent the proposed legislation increases the number of lawsuits filed in district courts in these matters, an indeterminable increase in court costs may result. If the proposed legislation increases lawsuits filed against state and local governments, an indeterminable increase may result in governmental expenditures as a result.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

Change {S&H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>		John D. Capater
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8	&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$	
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			John D. Carpenter

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}