

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICEFiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB 570** SLS 14RS

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: w/ HSE COMM AMD

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 21, 2014

9:56 AM

Author: LONG

Dept./Agy.: Judiciary

Subject: Prescription of Nonuse

Analyst: Matthew LaBruyere

Legislative Fiscal Officer

901

MINERALS EG1 NO IMPACT See Note Page 1 of 1 Provides relative to the prescription of nonuse for properties transferred in connection to an economic development project.

(8/1/14)

<u>Proposed law</u> provides when land is acquired from any person as part of an economic development project pursuant to a certain cooperative endeavor agreement, as evidenced in a certification by the secretary of DED and a mineral right otherwise subject to the prescription of nonuse is reserved, the prescription of nonuse will not run against the right, whether the title to the land remains in the acquiring authority or is subsequently transferred to a third person, public or private. <u>Proposed law</u> provides that the prescription of nonuse will commence to run 20 years after the date of execution of the instrument by which the land was acquired.

EXPENDITURES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	looke D.	Caganter
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$		
 	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			John D. Carpenter	
13.3.2 /-	\$300,000 Aililual Tax of Tee		\square 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Landalakina Eisaal O	CC1

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}