



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: SB 544 SLS 14RS 745
Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED
Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Table with metadata: Date: May 22, 2014 8:54 AM; Author: HEITMEIER; Dept./Agy.: Second City Court of New Orleans; Subject: Jurisdiction and Vital Records; Analyst: Michael Cragin

COURTS EG SEE FISC NOTE LF RV See Note Page 1 of 1
Provides relative to the Second City Court of the city of New Orleans. (8/1/14)

Purpose of Bill: This bill provides that: 1) for cases within the Second City Court's civil jurisdiction, the amount in dispute or value of property involved does not exceed \$25,000; 2) the Court may issue certain vital records and collect related fees as specified by statute; and 3) the Court shall be subject to the provisions governing security of vital records information in the same manner as provided for the state registrar.

Table with 7 columns: EXPENDITURES, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 5-YEAR TOTAL. Rows include State Gen. Fd., Agy. Self-Gen., Ded./Other, Federal Funds, Local Funds, and Annual Total.

Table with 7 columns: REVENUES, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 5-YEAR TOTAL. Rows include State Gen. Fd., Agy. Self-Gen., Ded./Other, Federal Funds, Local Funds, and Annual Total.

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

According to officials with Second City Court of New Orleans, this measure is amending the jurisdictional limit statute of the court to reflect current practice. Therefore, no additional cases will be heard by the Court, and there is no anticipated change in expenditures.

According to officials with the Civil District Court of Orleans Parish, there is no anticipated impact on expenditures as a result of this bill.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

The impact on local government revenues is indeterminable as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the Second City Court of New Orleans, the revenue increase to the Court from fees collected for providing copies of vital records is not expected to be substantial.

- Senate Dual Referral Rules House
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}
6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Joy Irwin
Director of Advisory Services