Regular Session, 2014

HOUSE BILL NO. 1095

BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

AN ACT					
To appropriate funds to defray the	expenses of th	e Louisiar	na Judiciary,	, including the	
Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans					
Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.					
Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:					
Section 1.A. The sum of One H	Iundred Sevent	y-Nine Mil	lion One Hu	ndred Fourteen	
Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and N	No/100 (\$179,1	14,420.00)	Dollars, or s	o much thereof	
as may be necessary, is hereby app	propriated to d	efray the	expenses of	the judiciary,	
including the Supreme Court, Courts	of Appeal, the	District C	ourts, the Cr	riminal District	
Court of Orleans Parish, and of the ot	her courts.				
B. The total amount herein ap	propriated is h	ereby alloc	eated to prov	ide as follows:	
03-8170 SUPREME COURT					
Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the					
Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.					
Goal: To protect the rule of law.					
	ver tribunals.				
Total Filings Total Appeals Filed Total Writs Filed Total Dispositions Pandarad	2,852 10 2,613	2,769 10 2,639	3,017 18 2,790		
	Supreme Court, Courts of Appe Parish, and other courts; and te Be it enacted by the Legislature of Lo Section 1.A. The sum of One H Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and N as may be necessary, is hereby app including the Supreme Court, Courts Court of Orleans Parish, and of the ot B. The total amount herein ap 03-8170 SUPREME COURT Program Description: The Supreme Co over all lower courts. It may establish pr conflict with law and may assign a sitt Supreme Court has sole authority to prov as temporary or ad hoc judges. It con individual cases, and has criminal and on Court has exclusive original jurisdictio lawyers, recommendations of the Judic discipline of judges, and fact questions a inherent authority to regulate the legal p the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court a Judicial College and works to improve the Mission Statement: The mission of the J and promote the rule of law, to ensur- efficiently, to ensure the highest professi of both the bench and the bar, and to performance of all courts under its author Goal: To protect the rule of law. Objective: To provide a reasonable opper Supreme Court of decisions made by low General Performance Information: Total Filings Total Appeals Filed	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of th Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Cou- Parish, and other courts; and to provide for re- Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sevent Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and No/100 (\$179,1 as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to d including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts. B. The total amount herein appropriated is h 03-8170 SUPREME COURT Program Description : The Supreme Court has general s over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and adm conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired jua Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for app as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers application individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate juin Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for app as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers application discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appell inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and top the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judic Judicial College and works to improve the administration Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of and promote the rule of law. to ensure public trust, to efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, inte of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the prop- performance of all courts under its authority. Goal: To protect the rule of law. Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigan Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information: 2011 Total Filings 2,852 Total Appeals Filed 10 Total Writs Filed 2,613	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisian Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Crimin Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matu Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Seventy-Nine Mill Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and No/100 (\$179,114,420.00) as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Co Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts. B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby alloc 03-8170 SUPREME COURT Program Description : The Supreme Court has general supervisory in over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative ri- conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any co Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs individual cases, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. The Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceeding lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisian discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. Mission Statement : The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and cc of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administra- performance of all courts under its authority. Goal: To protect the rule of law. Dijective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek rev Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information: 2011 2012 Total Penformance Information: 2011 2012 Total Penformance Information: 2011 2012 Total Writs Filed 10, 10, 10 Total Writs Filed 2, 013	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District C Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters. Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Seventy-Nine Million One Hu Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and No/100 (\$179,114,420.00) Dollars, or s as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Cr Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts. B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to prov 03-8170 SUPREME COURT Program Description : The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorness as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications, for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appletale jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciptinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commisging of Justifice. Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court also provide judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justifice. Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law. to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, not to use public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority. Goal: To protect the rule of	

1	Goal: To promote the rule of law.					
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely manr <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ner.				
4		2011	2012	2013		
5	Percentage of noncriminal case					
6	applications acted on within Supreme	06.604	00.00/	04.004		
8	Court standard of 120 days of filing	96.6%	93.3%	94.3%		
0 9	Percentage of criminal case applications					
10	acted on within Supreme Court					
11	standard of 120 days of filing	40.4%	45.9%	37%		
12	Percentage of pro se post conviction					
13	applications acted on within Supreme					
14 15	Court standard of 120 days of filing	5.2%	8.5%	4.8%		
16	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings acted upon within Supreme Court					
17	standard of 120 days of filing	98.7%	88.9%	95.2%		
18	Percentage of opinions rendered within					
19	Supreme Court standard of 84 days					
20	from argument	64.4%	80.6%	83.2%		
21	Goal: To ensure the public trust.					
<i>L</i> 1	Goal. 10 ensure die public dust.					
22	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	reme Court	decisions.			
23	General Performance Information:					
24		2011	2012	2013		
24 25 26	Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
$\frac{1}{27}$	to the public within 5 days of accision	100/0	10070	10070		
20						
28	Objective: To inform the public of operation	s and activi	ities.			
29 30	General Performance Information:	2011	2012	2013		
31	Number of outreach programs	63	2012 58	2013 78		
32	Number of media releases on court decisions	83	77	73		
33	Number of media releases on other matters	21	15	24		
34	Number of recipients of releases on					
35 36	court decisions	1,498	1,663	1,760		
30 37	Number of recipients of releases on other matters	2,876	3,672	4,694		
		,		,		
38	Objective: To ensure the highest professional	conduct, ir	ntegrity, and co	ompetence		
39 40	of the bench.					
40	General Performance Information:	2011	2012	2013		
42	Average number of hours acquired	2011	2012	2015		
43	through continuing legal education					
44 45	per judge	30.20	29.35	36.36		
45 46	Number of complaints filed against	561	527	106		
40	judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges	561	537	496		
48	and justices of the peace resolved or					
49	disposed of in the calendar year	562	619	526		
50		1 . •				
50 51	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar.	conduct, ir	itegrity, and co	ompetence		
52	General Performance Information:					
52 53		2011	2012	2013		
54 55	Average number of hours acquired through					
55 56	continuing legal education per lawyer	15.36	15.24	15.46		
56 57	Number of complaints filed against lawyers Number of complaints filed against lawyers	3,000	3,042	3,038		
58	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	2,997	2,966	3,319		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	- ,		
59	Payable out of the State General Fund (Di	rect):				
60	01 Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic		• •			
61	Associate Justices of the Supre	eme Court	t,			
62	as provided by R.S. 13:102				\$ 1,052,5	53

1 2 3	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	9,714,732
4 5 6 7 8	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
9	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,970,135
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adminis of Louis creation Adminis adminis human Managa judgesh courts, s	m Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supr stration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judi- siana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recom- n of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justic strator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judici stration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court ar resource system; technological services to courts; and, manages the ement Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office also ma- tip system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach services staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal service ind the Judiciary.	iciary mend ce. 2 ary, i ad App Trial anage to sta	Commission ations for the The Judicial ncluding the bellate Court Court Case s the ad hoc ate and local
21	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	2,025,534
22 23 24 25 26	under A compla	m Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitution Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investig ints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to sure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any duct.	ate, a the Su	nd prosecute preme Court
27	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	406,124
28	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	150,924
29 30	04	Expenses of the Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
31 32 33 34	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,518,993
35 36 37	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,996,817
38 39		m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.	n need	ls of the state
40 41 42 43	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	180,323
44 45 46 47	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,398,390
48 49 50 51	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,609,481

HB NO. 1095 10 Judicial College Program Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.

1

2 3

9 10

11 12

17

18 19 20

27

28 29 30

31 32

33

40

11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and			
	R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	17,781,862	
12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424	
13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	
14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry			
	(R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,395,496	
15	Information Technology	\$	1,101,061	
16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the			
	expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,083,423	
by deve manda cases; reporti	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to as eloping and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; develo ting the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; devel ing performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring p tal accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FIN	oping, imple reporting F oping, imple periodic fisc	ementing, and TNS informal ementing, and al reports and	
17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,685,190	
Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements set by the court.				
18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	<u>\$</u>	2,575,827	
of child	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to p dren in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accord Idren's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through loca	dance with t	he provisions	

of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

- 41 **TOTAL - GENERAL FUND**
- 42 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 43 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 44 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 45 R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less 46 estimated at \$ 6,223,724

47 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the 48 49 Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided $\dot{50}$ in R.S. 13:10.3.

ENROLLED

274,681

\$

\$ 62,127,970

1 2 3 4 5 6	20	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	<u>\$ 3,999,098</u>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Suprer crimin from c brancl & Cor	am Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) on the Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for track out, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders ourts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to con- the agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Departme- rections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and tra- led under C.Cr.P.887(F).	ing and managing s. Data is received courts and executive tent of Public Safety
14 15	-	- STATE GENERAL FUND TATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$ 10,222,822</u>
16 17 18 19	21	Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$ 6,000,000
20 21 22 23	22	Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	<u>\$ 4,436,500</u>
24 25		- STATE GENERAL FUND OUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS	<u>\$ 10,436,500</u>
26	TOTAL	SUPREME COURT	<u>\$ 82,787,292</u>

27 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

40

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

41 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

42 43 44	Objective: To provide a reasonable o decisions made by lower tribunals. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	pportunity for	multi-judge 1	review of
45 46		2011	2012	2013
47	Total appeals filed	2,838	2,689	2,382
48	Total writs filed	4,987	4,499	4,973
49	Total dispositions rendered	5,277	6,240	6,432

HB NO. 1095

ENROLLED

1	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	•	ive: To resolve cases expeditiously. Il Performance Information:	2011	2012	2012	
4 5 6		e number of days from lodging of the to argument:	2011	2012	2013	
7	Time St	tandard = no more than 175 days.				
8		al cases	145	189	179	
10	Civil C Mediar	ases 1 number of days for all cases	175 155	161 172	160 165	
11 12		e number of days from argument to ing of the opinion:				
13		tandard = no more than 70 days.				
14		al cases	41	37	38	
15	Civil co		37	41	44	
16	Mediar	ı number of days for all cases	38	38	42	
17	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
18 19		ive: To facilitate public access to the all <i>Performance Information</i> :	decisions of	the courts of a	appeal.	
20 21			2011	2012	2013	
21		tage of written opinions available	1000/	1000/	1000/	
22 23	to	the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
24	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:				
25	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	es			
26	01	and forty-eight (48) Judges of				
27		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	311			\$ 7,399,228
						. , ,
28	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and			
29		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,			
30		First Circuit				\$ 10,007,183
31	03	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and			
32	03	maintenance of the Court of A				
33		Second Circuit	ppcai,			\$ 5,790,444
55		Second Chedit				φ 3,730,111
34	04	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and			
35		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,			
36		Third Circuit				\$ 8,732,316
27	05					
37	05	Salaries and expenses of opera				
38		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,			ф 7.070 <i>с</i> 40
39		Fourth Circuit				\$ 7,972,642
40	06	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and			
41	00	maintenance of the Court of A				
42		Fifth Circuit	1 I ·····,			<u>\$ 6,063,296</u>
43	TOTAL C	COURTS OF APPEAL				<u>\$ 45,965,109</u>
44	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				

44 **03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS**

45
46
46
46
47
47
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
49
49
49
49
49
41
49
41
49
41
41
42
43
44
44
44
44
45
46
47
48
48
48
49
49
49
41
49
41
41
42
43
44
44
44
44
45
46
47
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
48
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
49
40
41
41
42
41
42
42
42
43
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
44
<

HB NO. 1095

12345678

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. General Performance Information:

10 17 18	Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make couraccessible, and convenient. <i>General Performance Information:</i>			
19 20 21	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve compliance with	2011	2012	2013
21	the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)		89.6%	89.6%
23 24 25 26 27	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed and affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	whether m	easured	
28 29	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	2011	2012	2013
30 31	actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to assist self-represented litigants	93.8%	95.8%	95.8%
32	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by t	the court	and its	
33	activities in a timely and expeditious manner.			
34 35	Objective: To encourage timely case management and process <i>General Performance Information:</i>	sing.		
36		2011	2012	2013
37 38	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS	62	63	63
39 40	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS	97%	98%	99%
41 42 43 44	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2012-2013 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	91.7%	91.7%	91.7%
45	Objective: To enhance jury service.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , ,	,,,,,,
46	General Performance Information:	2011	2012	2012
47 48 49	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2012-2013	2011	2012	2013
50	to make jury service more convenient or effective	95.3%	93%	97.7%
51 52	Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the l business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all proce			
53 54	Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events operations as necessary.	and to ac	ljust court	
54 55 56 57	General Performance Information:	2011	2012	2013
58	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve employee			
59 60	training and development Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	93.8%	89.6%	89.6%
61 62	actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to install or implement technologies	93.8%	91.7%	91.7%

1 2

Objective	: To inform the community of the court's structure and function.
General P	erformance Information:

Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.

	8	J				
3 4 5 6 7 8		ve: To inform the community of the court's structure and <i>Performance Information:</i>				
5	D		2011	2012	2	2013
7		age of surveyed district court chief judges indicating t their courts regularly provided public education				
8		<i>l public outreach services in FY 2012-2013</i>	91.7%	89.6%	ç	93.8%
9	Payable or	at of the State General Fund:				
10	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one				
11		(191) District Judges as provided				
12		by R.S. 13:691			\$	25,414,778
13	02	Office and travel expenses of District				
14	02	Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and				
15		R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,850
						, ,
16	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of				
17		Civil District Court, Orleans Parish,			¢	1 0 6 0 0 6 7
18		as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,862,865
19	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District				
20		Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries				
21		of stenographers, clerks, law books,				
22		stationery, telephone, and like expenses				
23		as provided by R.S. 13:698			\$	80,500
24	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters				
25		of the Twentieth Judicial District Court,				
26		including retirement contributions, as				
27		provided by R.S. 13:966.1			\$	113,354
28	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans				
29		Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)			\$	10,000
30	07	State share of Group, Workers'				
31	07	Compensation, General Liability,				
32		and Property Insurance Premiums				
33		as provided by R.S.42:851			\$	5,771,512
					Ψ	5,771,512
34	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of				
35		the Nineteenth Judicial District and				
36		one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth				
37		Judicial District as provided by				
38		R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715,				
39		respectively			\$	526,015
40	09	Office expenses for the Judicial				
41		Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial				
42		District Court as provided by				
43		R.S. 13:711-713			\$	469,812
44	10	Office expenses for the Judicial				
45		Expense Fund of the Fifteenth				
46		Judicial District Court as provided				
47		by R.S. 13:714-716			\$	293,046
48	11	Law Clerk Twantiath Indiaial District				
48 49	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977			\$	47,968
77		Court as provided by Act 141 01 1711			φ	+1,700

ENROLLED

12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$ 35,</u>	<u>910,700</u>
13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

14 15 16	 A. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691 	\$	1,729,803
17 18	B. Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
19 20	C. State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	604,800
21 22	D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	284,216
23 24	E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	449,172
25 26 27	F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	463,745
28 29	G. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
30 31	H. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	72,898
32 33	I. Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	54,954
34 35	J. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	1,001,031
36	K. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	729,085
37	L. Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	211,288
38	M. Sanity Commissions	\$	102,120
39	N. Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	416,919
40	SUBTOTAL	<u>\$</u>	6,204,781
41	14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
42 43	 A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 	\$	1,862,865

	HB NO. 1095	ENROLLED			
1 2	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 532,248			
3 4 5	C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$ 103,500</u>			
6	SUBTOTAL	<u>\$ 2,498,613</u>			
7	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$ 44,614,094</u>			
8 9	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	XPENSES AS			
10 11 12	Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).				
13 14 15 16 17 18	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.				
19	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.				
20 21 22 23 24 25	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i> 2011 2012 2013				
25 26 27	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to assist pro se litigants 98.1% 96.2%	100%			
28 29	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.				
30 31	Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.				
31 32 33 34 35 36	General Performance Information:20112012Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2012-2013 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing90.4%86.5%	2013 84.3%			
37 38	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.				
39 40	Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. <i>General Performance Information:</i>				
41 42 43 44	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and	2013 92.2%			
45 46	Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust cour operations as necessary.	t			
47 48 49	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating	2013			
50 51 52	actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to improve employee training and development 90.4% 92.3% Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating	96.1%			
53 54	actions taken in FY 2012-2013 to install or implement technologies 90.4% 86.5%	86.3%			

	HB NO. 1	095	ENROLLED		
1	Payable out of the State General Fund:				
2 3	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$ 2,577,741		
4 5 6 7	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively	<u>\$ 415,775</u>		
8	TOTAL C	TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE\$ 2,993,516			
9 10	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	XPENSES NOT		
11	Payable o	Payable out of the State General Fund:			
12 13	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$ 584,475		
14 15 16	Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program.				
17	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$ 88,370		
18 19	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	<u>\$ 63,189</u>		
20 21	Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems.				
22	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$ 736,034</u>		
23	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES			
24	Payable out of the State General Fund:				
25	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	<u>\$ 2,018,375</u>		
26 27 28 29 30	Program Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.				
31	TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES\$ 2,018,375				
32	Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the				
33	State General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total				
34	amount of Three Million One Hundred Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Ninety and				
35	No/100 (\$3,116,190.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary				
36	Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.				
37	Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and				
38	appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or				
39	for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the				
40	state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all				

HB NO. 1095

other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and
 warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant
 provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the
state.

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the
state general fund on or before September 1, 2015.

D. For Fiscal Year 2014-2015, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number
of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected,
subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the
Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other
 judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.
 Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2014; if vetoed by the governor
 and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1,
 2014, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2013-2014 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2014-2015 as contained in the original bill.

С	perating Budget	Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2013-2014	FY 2014-2015
Supreme Court Total Supreme Court	\$67,149,982	\$72,564,470
Courts of Appeal Total Courts of Appeal	\$42,983,136	\$45,965,109
District Courts Total District Courts	\$42,047,475	\$44,614,094
Other Courts Total Other Courts	\$2,925,833	\$2,993,516
Other Programs Total Other Programs	\$692,508	\$736,034
Non-Judicial State Expenses Total State Expenses	<u>\$1,976,474</u>	<u>\$2,018,375</u>
Total State General Fund and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$157,775,408</u>	<u>\$168,891,598</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$9,797,469</u>	<u>\$10,222,822</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$167,572,877</u>	<u>\$179,114,420</u>

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: _____