n Parts			= FISCAL OFFICE cal Note					
Louisiana			Fiscal Note On:	НВ	565	HLS	15RS	1271
Legiantive			Bill Text Version:	ENGRO	OSSED			
FiscalsOffice			Opp. Chamb. Action:					
			Proposed Amd.:					
A CALLENTINGS			Sub. Bill For.:					
Date: Ma	ay 6, 2015	7:56 AM		Author:	LEGER			
Dept./Agy.: Re	evenue							
Subject: In	definitely suspend	ds expemptions to 1% o	f state sales tax A	Analyst: Deborah Vivien				
TAX/SALES & US	AX/SALES & USE EG +\$165,000,000 G		000 GF RV See Note				Page 1	of 1

Suspends a certain portion of state sales and use tax exemptions

Current law imposes a 4% state tax on sales of tangible personal property and certain services. Exemptions are listed in the law.

<u>Proposed law</u> indefinitely suspends the exemptions for 1% of state sales and use tax imposed by R.S. 47:331, 0.03% of which is levied by the Tourism Promotion District. Exemptions related to the sale of motor vehicles for lease and those required by contractual obligations are not suspended.

Effective July 1, 2015.

8889899999999999999999999999999999999

EXPENDITURES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$165,000,000	\$168,000,000	\$171,000,000	\$175,000,000	\$178,000,000	\$857,000,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$6,700,000	\$6,800,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,100,000	\$7,200,000	\$34,800,000
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$171,700,000	\$174,800,000	\$178,000,000	\$182,100,000	\$185,200,000	\$891,800,000

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

The Department of Revenue indicates that any expense related to this bill will be absorbed in the current budget. However, there are numerous exemptions that are suspended by this bill, which will require a substantial effort to change forms, systems and provide customer service for such an extensive change to a multitude of items that were not previously taxed. Costs and human resources required for implementation could be significant.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

The Department of Revenue data indicates that the bill will increase SGF revenue by about \$165M beginning in FY 16 by suspending exemptions on a 1% sales tax rate on certain transactions. The figures are from the Tax Exemption Budget (TEB), which increases actual FY 14 exemptions by 2% to estimate FY 16, though total sales tax remittances did not grow as fast. The growth assumption beyond FY 16 is also 2%, which could fluctuate along with estimates. In addition, about \$1.6M was subtracted from the total to allow for vendors compensation payments (0.935% of sales tax remitted) and \$5.1M for the Tourism and Promotion District Levy, which is the .03% of the portion of the state sales tax referenced by the bill. The reported amounts are from a voluminous list of exemptions, though the major ones are:

	Business Utilities, Water and Steam Other Category of TEB (estimated by LDR at 25%) Trucks/Trailers Used 80% for Interstate Commerce	\$107,151,000 56,366,658 4,077,250
	Sales Tax Holidays	1,056,750
	Exemptions subject to 1% suspension	2,662,667
	Expected Increase in Sales Tax	\$171,314,325
less:	Tourism Promotion District Levy	(5,139,430)
	Additional Vendor's Compensation	(1,553,735)
	Expected Applied SCE impact (rounded)	#16E 000 000

Expected Annual SGF impact (rounded) \$165,000,000

It is not clear which transactions are impacted by contractual obligations, and no reduction in the estimate is made with respect to that language.

Collections are likely to be low in the first month or two of this suspension, then ramp up as compliance improves. A material portion of what is foregone at the beginning of the fiscal year will likely be recouped in the accrual period at the end of the fiscal year.

Senate	Dual Referral Rules	House	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	thegay V. alleelt
	5100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8 5500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	&H}	$\Box 6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\}$ $\Box 6.8(G) >= $500,000 \text{ Tax or Fee Increase}$	* 0
C	Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Chief Economist