LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 170** HLS 16RS 711
Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**
Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: April 6, 2016 4:16 PM
Author: TALBOT
Dept./Agy.: DHH/Medicaid
Subject: Cost containment measures
Analyst: Shawn Hotstream

MEDICAID OR -$34,298,198 GF EX See Note

Requires the Dept. of Health and Hospitals to institute Medicaid cost containment measures to the extent allowed by federal regulations

Proposed law provides the secretary shall develop and implement medical assistance program policies which apply to each cost sharing function authorized under 42 CFR 447.50 to Medicaid enrollees not exempted from cost sharing. The amount shall maximize the net reduction of state Medicaid program expenditures.

Proposed law provides the secretary of DHH to develop and implement policies that prohibit Medicaid reimbursement for any health care services delivered in an emergency room to a Medicaid enrollee if 1) the service is classified as non emergent, 2) the enrollee has been treated in an emergency room for any health condition classified by Medicaid as non emergent on 3 separate occasions within the past year, and Medicaid provided reimbursement on each occasion for such treatment.

EXPENDITURES	**2016-17**	**2017-18**	**2018-19**	**2019-20**	**2020-21**	**5 -YEAR TOTAL**
State Gen. Fd.	($34,298,198)	($34,298,198)	($34,298,198)	($34,298,198)	($34,298,198)	**($171,490,990)**
Agy. Self-Gen.	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Ded./Other	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Federal Funds	($56,959,684)	($56,959,684)	($56,959,684)	($56,959,684)	($56,959,684)	**($284,798,420)**
Local Funds	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Annual Total	**($91,257,882)**	**($91,257,882)**	**($91,257,882)**	**($91,257,882)**	**($91,257,882)**	**($456,289,410)**

REVENUES	**2016-17**	**2017-18**	**2018-19**	**2019-20**	**2020-21**	**5 -YEAR TOTAL**
State Gen. Fd.	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Agy. Self-Gen.	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Ded./Other	$5,425,140	$5,425,140	$5,425,140	$5,425,140	$5,425,140	**$27,125,700**
Federal Funds	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Local Funds	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Annual Total	**$5,425,140**	**$5,425,140**	**$5,425,140**	**$5,425,140**	**$5,425,140**	**$27,125,700**

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law provides for various cost containment measures that are anticipated to reduce Medicaid expenditures. This measure requires DHH to impose cost sharing for certain enrollees, in addition to coverage limitation in the Emergency Room under certain circumstances. Implementation of cost sharing and coverage limitation represent a cost avoidance savings in FY 17 and future fiscal years. See expenditure explanation (Roman numeral I and II). Net savings as a result of this measure assumes administrative costs associated with premium collection contract costs and tracking family income (See expenditure cost explanation in Roman numeral III. on page 2)

I. Cost Sharing:

Cost sharing requires certain Medicaid enrollees to make a contribution toward the cost of a Medicaid covered health service through deductibles, co payments, or coinsurance. The fiscal note anticipates a reduction in Medicaid costs by implementing co payments up to the maximum allowed under federal regulation on inpatient and outpatient services, preferred and non-preferred drugs, and non emergency services furnished in an emergency room. Savings are generated as result of reducing payments to providers by the co payment amount paid by the enrollee to the provider. Based on claims/encounter data (date of service from 7/1/14 to 6/0/15), total projected payment savings (payment reductions) in FY 17 resulting from max allowable co pays is approximately $91 M. This savings estimate is based on cost sharing limits reflected in the illustration (Table A) on page 2. **Continued on page 2**

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Proposed law provides for various cost containment measures that are anticipated to generate additional revenue for the Medicaid program. States can charge limited premiums on certain groups of Medicaid enrollees whose income exceeds certain levles specified in federal regulations (42 CFR 447.55). DHH has identified 12,917 current enrollees that could be assessed monthly premiums. Populations include pregnant women and infants with family income at or above 150% of the federal poverty level (FPL), certain disabled and working individuals with income above 150% of the FPL, disabled working individuals eligible under the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999, Disabled children eligible under the Family Opportunity Act, and medically needy individuals. Although there is not a specific maximum premium authorized, premiums (and or other cost sharing measures combined) cannot exceed 5% of total family income. Based on a total projected premium assessment of $35 per month ($420 per year), DHH Medicaid could generate $5.4 M annually.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House

☐ 13.5.1 >= $100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
☐ 6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
☒ 13.5.2 >= $500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
☐ 6.8(G) >= $500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

John D. Carpenter
John D. Carpenter
Legislative Fiscal Officer

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 170** HLS 16RS 711
Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**
Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: April 6, 2016 4:16 PM
Author: TALBOT
Dept./Agy.: DHH/Medicaid
Subject: Cost containment measures
Analyst: Shawn Hotstream

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:

Expenditure Explanation: Continued

Table A.
Max Allowable Copayments Schedule:

Federal Poverty Level	< 100%	101%-150%	> 150%
Inpatient	$75	10% Coinsurance	20% Coinsurance
Outpatient	$4	10% Coinsurance	20% Coinsurance
Pharmacy			
Preferred Drugs	$4	$4	$4
Non Preferred Drugs	$8	$8	20% Coinsurance
Non Emergency	$8	$8	

*For copayments, the state will reduce payments to certain providers and managed care organizations by the amount of beneficiary cost-sharing obligation.

1) ($78,811,908) - Cost sharing for inpatient and outpatient hospital service
2) ($11,533,049) - Cost sharing for preferred and non preferred drugs
3) ($946,620) - Cost sharing for non emergency services furnished in a hospital emergency department

*__**($91,291,577)**__ -Cost avoidance associated with co pays

II. Coverage Limitation:
Proposed law further limits reimbursement for non emergent Emergency Room visits to no more than 3 non emergent visits annually. Based on claims history, DHH's actuary estimated that 10% of non emergency ER visits were in excess of the 3 non emergency limit provided for in this measure . Savings are assumed based on a 10% reduction in non emergency ER visit payments, estimated to be $1.1 M in FY 17.

Coverage Limitation - Non Emergent ER Visit Illustration:

	Total payments (FY 15)	% of total
Less than or equal to 3 visits	$10,159,515	90%
Greater than/equal to 4 visits	***$1,128,835**	10%
Total	$11,288,350	

III. Note: CMS rules provide that total premiums and cost sharing may not exceed an aggregate limit of 5% of a family's income. Additional administrative costs are anticipated associated with tracking each Medicaid beneficiaries incomes, to ensure out of pocket costs do not exceed limits provided in federal law. DHH intends this administrative duty would be the responsibility of the Bayou Health plans. Additional administrative costs of an indeterminable amount would be incurred by the plans (until determined by the rate actuary), and reflected as an increase adjustment in managed care per member per month premiums. DHH anticipates a nominal increase in the PMPM for this new administrative function. Any new administrative costs would net against savings reflected in the Expenditure table above. In addition, information provided by DHH indicates additional costs of $7.50 per enrollee per month would be charged under an existing contract with the Office of Group Benefits for billing and collection of premiums required under this measure. Based on the number of enrollees eligible for premium assessments, FY 17 administrative costs are projected to be ***$1,162,530**. This annual cost is reduced from co payment cost avoidance savings discussed above.

Total net expenditure impact

* I. ($91,291,577) - total projected cost avoidance from co pay initiatives
* II. ($1,128,835) - total projected cost avoidance from coverage limitation
* III. $1,162,530 - minimal projected administrative cost associated with implementing cost sharing initiatives
($91,257,882) - Net expenditure impact in FY 17

Senate Dual Referral Rules House

☐ 13.5.1 >= $100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
☒ 13.5.2 >= $500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
☐ 6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
☐ 6.8(G) >= $500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

John D. Carpenter
Legislative Fiscal Officer